# TRAFFIC IMPACT AND ACCESS STUDY

## 112 AND 114 GREELEY STREET Hudson, New Hampshire

June 22, 2021

Prepared for Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc.

**TEPP LLC** 

TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING, PLANNING AND POLICY

## TRAFFIC IMPACT AND ACCESS STUDY

## 112 AND 114 GREELEY STREET Hudson, New Hampshire

June 22, 2021



Prepared for Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc.

# TEPP LLC

TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING, PLANNING AND POLICY

93 Stiles Road, Suite 201, Salem, New Hampshire 03079 USA 800 Turnpike Street, Suite 300, North Andover, Massachusetts 01845 USA Phone (603) 212-9133 and Fax (603) 226-4108 Email tepp@teppllc.com and Web www.teppllc.com

# CONTENTS

SUMMARY1
Project Description1
Study Scope1
Trip Generation1
Capacity Analysis1
Traffic Impacts2
INTRODUCTION
Project Description
Study Approach
EXISTING CONDITIONS
Introduction
Physical Conditions
Traffic Volumes
Vehicle Speeds7
Sight Distances7
FUTURE CONDITIONS
Introduction
Planned Road Improvements
Background-Traffic Growth9
No-Build Traffic Volumes
Site Traffic10
Trip Distribution and Network Assignment11
Build Traffic Volumes11
Traffic-Volume Changes11
CAPACITY ANALYSIS
Introduction16
Methods16

TEPP

# TEPP

Results	
CONCLUSION	21
Project Description	21
Trip Generation	21
Capacity Analysis	21
Traffic Impacts	21
APPENDIX	
Appendix A: Project Plan	

11	5
Appendix B:	Traffic Counts
Appendix C:	Monthly Traffic Volumes
Appendix D:	Vehicle Speeds9
Appendix E:	Capacity-Analysis Worksheets



# TABLES

Table 1.	2021 existing traffic volumes	6
Table 2.	Vehicle speeds.	7
Table 3.	Sight distances.	8
Table 4.	No-build traffic volumes	10
Table 5.	Calculated vehicle-trip generation	10
Table 6.	Trip distribution and network assignment.	11
Table 7.	Traffic-volume changes	15
Table 8.	Level-of-service criteria for intersections	17
Table 9.	Capacity-analysis summary.	19



# FIGURES

Figure 1.	Site location.	. 4
Figure 2.	Site traffic volumes	12
Figure 4.	2022 build traffic volumes	13
Figure 5.	2032 build traffic volumes	14



#### SUMMARY

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc. (KNA) has retained TEPP LLC to prepare this traffic impact and access study (TIAS) for a proposed residential redevelopment in the Town of Hudson, New Hampshire.

The proposed redevelopment will:

- be at 112 and 114 Greeley Street
- replace one existing single-family-detached housing units with 47 proposed senior-adultdetached housing units
- have one driveway to the west side of Greeley Street

#### STUDY SCOPE

The TIAS study area includes the Greeley Street/proposed road intersection.

This TIAS analyzes the following conditions:

- 2021 existing
- 2022 and 2032 no-build, with background-traffic growth
- 2022 and 2032 build, with background-traffic growth and the proposed redevelopment

#### TRIP GENERATION

Calculated vehicle-trips due to the proposed redevelopment are:

- weekday daily, 201 (total of in and out)
- weekday AM-street-peak hour, 23 (8 in and 15 out)
- weekday PM-street-peak hour, 27 (16 in and 11 out)

#### **CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

Capacity analysis shows low delays at the Greeley Street/proposed driveway intersection.



#### TRAFFIC IMPACTS

Analysis indicates no significant area impact due to the proposed redevelopment.



#### INTRODUCTION

#### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

KNA has retained TEPP LLC to prepare this TIAS for a proposed residential redevelopment in the Town of Hudson, New Hampshire.

The proposed redevelopment will:

- be at 112 and 114 Greeley Street
- replace one existing single-family-detached housing units with 47 proposed senior-adultdetached housing units
- have one driveway to the west side of Greeley Street

Figure 1 shows site location. The project plan is in Appendix A.

#### STUDY APPROACH

This TIAS assesses traffic impacts and access for the proposed redevelopment.

The TIAS study area includes the Greeley Street/proposed road intersection.

This TIAS analyzes traffic operations for the following hours as applicable:

- weekday AM street-peak hour
- weekday PM street-peak hour

This TIAS analyzes the following conditions:

- 2021 existing
- 2022 and 2032 no-build, with background-traffic growth
- 2022 and 2032 build, with background-traffic growth and the proposed redevelopment





Figure 1. Site location.



### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

#### INTRODUCTION

Existing conditions include:

- physical conditions of the transportation network, roads, and intersections
- traffic volumes
- other relevant information

#### PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

Figure 1 shows the transportation network.

Greeley Street:

- is oriented approximately north-south
- functions as an arterial street
- to the south, connects with New Hampshire Route 111 (NH 111), an arterial highway, and the Town Center
- to the north, provides a connection to and from NH 102, an arterial highway
- has a horizontal alignment that is tangent at the proposed driveway location and includes a minor horizontal curve north of the proposed driveway location
- has a vertical alignment that includes a minor southbound downgrade near the proposed driveway location
- has a two-lane cross-section with one travel lane per direction
- has asphaltic-cement concrete (ACC) pavement in overall good condition
- includes utility poles along the east side
- has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour (mph)
- has nearby wooded land
- is under the jurisdiction of the Town



#### **TRAFFIC VOLUMES**

#### **TRAFFIC COUNTS**

TEPP LLC obtained an automatic traffic counter (ATR) count:

- on Greeley Street along the site frontage
- from Tuesday, April 13, to Wednesday, April 14, 2021

The ATR data are in Appendix B.

#### MONTHLY ADJUSTMENT

The April 2021 traffic volumes were adjusted considering NHDOT 2019 monthly traffic volumes for Group 4 (Urban Highways) averages in Appendix C.

Adjustments reflected:

- peak-month conditions
- an increase of 9 percent

#### RESULTS

Table 1 shows 2021 existing traffic volumes.

Table 1.2021 existing traffic volumes.			
Location and Time Period	Vehicles <sup>a</sup>	K-factor <sup>b</sup>	Percent Direction
Greeley Street near Site Frontage			
Weekday Daily	4,589		
Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour	408	8.9	54 Southbound
Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour	447	8.9	57 Northbound

<sup>a</sup> Two-way-total volumes.

<sup>b</sup> K = hour volume as a percent of daily volume.

Greeley Street along the site frontage showed about:

- 4,589 weekday-daily vehicles
- 408 vehicles during the weekday AM street-peak hour, predominantly southbound



• 447 vehicles during the weekday PM street-peak hour, predominantly northbound

TEPP LLC checked the 2021 existing weekday daily traffic volume against pre-pandemic conditions. NHDOT count station 82229084, on Greeley Street north of Highland Street, showed a weekday daily volume of 4,489 vehicles (total of both directions) for August 2018. August reflects peak-month conditions for Group 4 (Urban Highways).

#### **VEHICLE SPEEDS**

The ATR collected vehicle speeds:

- on Greeley Street along the site frontage
- from Tuesday, April 13, to Wednesday, April 14, 2021

The data are in Appendix D and are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 indicates that on Greeley Street:

Table 2. Vehicle speeds.			
		Speeds (mph	)
Location and Direction Speed Limit Mean <sup>a</sup> 85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>a</sup>			85 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>a</sup>
Greeley Street along Site Frontage			
Northbound	30	37.5	41.5
Southbound	30	37.5	41.5

<sup>a</sup> From ATR conducted from Tuesday, April 13, to Wednesday, April 14, 2021

- the posted speed limit was 30 mph
- the northbound the mean speed was 37.5 mph and the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed was 41.5 mph
- for southbound the mean speed was 37.5 mph and the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed was 41.5 mph

#### SIGHT DISTANCES

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) has established authoritative policy for sight distances at unsignalized intersections<sup>1</sup> in terms of:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AASHTO, *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, 6th Edition (Washington, DC, 2011), pages 9-28 to 9-29.



- stopping sight distance (SSD)
- optional intersection sight distance (ISD)

SSD:  $^2$ 

- provides for safety
- enables a driver, on the major road, to perceive and react accordingly to a vehicle entering the major road from a minor road
- is conservative because it encompasses a wide range of brake-reaction times and deceleration rates

Optional ISD:<sup>3</sup>

- is ordinarily greater than SSD and may enhance traffic operations
- is not required for safety

Table 3 shows relevant available sight distances that are at least 400 ft, per NHDOT practice, and are adequate.

Table 3. Sight distances.				
Intersection Movements	Available Sight		Speeds (miles per	hour)
and View	Distance (ft) <sup>a</sup>	Limit	SSD Provides For	ISD Provides For
Portland Street/Proposed Road for Proposed Road Movements				
Portland Street to/from South	400	30	45+	36+
Portland Street to/from North	400	30	45+	36+

<sup>a</sup> With appropriate roadside and vegetation maintenance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AASHTO, pages 3-2 to 3-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> AASHTO, pages 9-22 to 9-55.



### **FUTURE CONDITIONS**

#### INTRODUCTION

Future conditions include:

- planned road improvements independent of the proposed redevelopment
- future no-build traffic volumes, with background-traffic growth and without the proposed redevelopment
- future build traffic volumes, with background-traffic growth and with the proposed redevelopment

#### PLANNED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

TEPP LLC identified no significant planned road improvement in the study area independent of the project.

#### BACKGROUND-TRAFFIC GROWTH

Background-traffic growth:

- is independent of the proposed redevelopment
- is related to land development in the immediate area, population and economic development in the region and changes in travel patterns in the region
- typically considers two factors: a general traffic-growth rate and specific planned land developments in the immediate area

This TIAS uses a 1.0-percent annual growth rate. This yields about 11.6-percent growth between 2021 and 2031.

#### NO-BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The background-traffic growth described above was applied to 2021 existing traffic volumes. Table 4 shows 2022 and 2032 no-build traffic volumes.

#### Table 4. No-build traffic volumes.

	Vehicles Per Hour		
Location, Condition, and Time Period	Total	Northbound	Southbound
Greeley Street near Site Frontage, 2021 Existing			
Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour	408	188	220
Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour	447	257	190
Greeley Street near Site Frontage, 2022 No-Build			
Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour	412	190	222
Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour	451	259	192
Greeley Street near Site Frontage, 2032 No-Build			
Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour	455	210	245
Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour	499	287	212

#### SITE TRAFFIC

#### **TRIP GENERATION**

The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) compiles and publishes trip-generation information for a variety of land uses in Trip Generation Manual.<sup>4</sup> This authoritative guide for site traffic includes senior-adult-detached housing, land use 251, based on dwelling units.<sup>5</sup>

Table 5 shows calculated vehicle-trips due to the proposed redevelopment as:

Table 5.     Calculated vehicle-trip generation			
	Calc	culated Vehicle-T	rips
Time Period	Total	In	Out
Weekday Daily <sup>a</sup>	201	100	101
Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour	23	8	15
Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour	27	16	11

Based on ITE, *Trip Generation Manual*, senior-adult-detached housing, land use 251, 103 dwelling units.

<sup>4</sup> ITE, *Trip Generation Manual*, 10th edition (Washington DC, September 2017).

<sup>5</sup> ITE, *Trip Generation Manual*, Volume 2, Data, Residential (Land Uses 200-299), pages 337 to 351.



- weekday daily, 201 (total of in and out)
- weekday AM-street-peak hour, 23 (8 in and 15 out)
- weekday PM-street-peak hour, 27 (16 in and 11 out)

Table 5 conservatively does show deduction of trips related to the existing single-family-detached dwelling unit.

#### TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND NETWORK ASSIGNMENT

Trip distribution and network assignment of vehicle-trips to and from the site may consider such factors as existing site distribution, travel patterns, population, regional land development and site access. Trip distribution and network assignment for this TIAS considered the 2021 existing volumes.

Table 6 shows trip distribution and network assignment. Figure 2 shows site traffic volumes.

Table 6.	Table 6.     Trip distribution and network assignment.		
Road and I	Direction (To/From)	Approximate Percent	
Greeley Str	reet to/from South	40	
Greeley Str	reet to/from South	<u>60</u>	
Total		100	

#### **BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES**

Site traffic volumes were superimposed on the no-build traffic volumes to estimate build traffic volumes. Figures 3 and 4 show the resulting 2022 and 2032 build traffic volumes.

#### TRAFFIC-VOLUME CHANGES

Table 7 presents calculated traffic-volume changes due to the proposed redevelopment for the:

- weekday AM-street-peak hour
- weekday PM-street-peak hour

Table 7 shows peak-hour-traffic-volume increases:





Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour



Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour

Figure 2. Site traffic volumes.





Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour



Figure 4. 2022 build traffic volumes.





Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour



Figure 5. 2032 build traffic volumes.



#### Table 7. Traffic-volume changes. 2022 Traffic Volumes (vph)<sup>a</sup> 2032 Traffic Volumes (vph) No-Build Location and Time Period Build Change No-Build Build Change Greeley Street North of Proposed Driveway 9 9 Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour 412 421 455 464 Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour 451 461 10 499 509 10 Greeley Street South of Proposed Driveway 426 14 455 469 14 Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour 412 499 Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour 451 468 17 516 17

<sup>a</sup> Two-way total volumes.

- of 9 to 17 vehicle-trips
- constituting about one vehicle-trip per three to seven minutes
- that are further split by northbound and southbound direction on Greeley Street

### **CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

#### INTRODUCTION

This TIAS has quantified existing, future-no-build and future-build traffic volumes. Capacity analysis models the quality of traffic operations. Comparing build conditions to the no-build conditions indicates impacts of the proposed redevelopment on quality of traffic operations.

#### METHODS

Capacity analysis calculates LOS for transportation facilities. LOS indicates the quality of traffic operations based on delay and other measures. The six LOS are designated A to F. LOS A represents the best or highest operating conditions. LOS F is the lowest, but does not necessarily connote failure.

LOS is a function of traffic volumes and traffic control. Because these volumes can vary, LOS of a transportation facility can differ by time of day, day of the week, or month. For example, a transportation facility with a low LOS during peak hours may have a high LOS during other hours. The operational analysis methods of the Transportation Research Board (TRB)<sup>6</sup> models LOS for intersections based on calculated delay per vehicle, as shown in Table 8. Synchro analysis software was used.

Method inputs include:

- intersection geometry
- traffic control, such as YIELD sign, two-way STOP sign, all-way STOP sign, roundabout, or signal (including phasing, timing, and progression)
- traffic volumes
- vehicle composition, such as passenger cars and trucks

The methods are all approximate. In particular, the method for two-way STOP-sign control can be conservative, with observed delays and queuing shorter than those modeled.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> TRB, *Highway Capacity Manual 2000* (Washington DC 2000) and *Highway Capacity Manual 2010* (Washington DC, 2010).

Table 8.     Level-of-service criteria for intersections.				
	Control Delay (seconds/vehicle)			
Level of Service	Unsignalized Intersections <sup>a</sup>	Signalized Intersections		
А	≤10.0	≤10.0		
В	$>10.0 \text{ and } \le 15.0$	$>10.0 \text{ and } \le 20.0$		
С	>15.0 and ≤25.0	>20.0 and ≤35.0		
D	>25.0 and ≤35.0	>35.0 and ≤55.0		
Е	$>35.0$ and $\leq 50.0$	$>55.0 \text{ and } \le 80.0$		
F	>50	>80		

From Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (Washington D.C., 2010).

<sup>a</sup> For YIELD sign, two-way STOP sign or all-way STOP sign, control delay defines LOS. For roundabout approaches and overall intersection, control delay defines LOS. For roundabout lanes with volume/capacity ratio ≤1.0, control delay defines LOS. For roundabout lanes with volume/capacity ratio > 1.0, LOS is F regardless of control delay.

#### RESULTS

Table 9 shows computed LOS, delays, and queues at study-area intersections for the:

- weekday AM-street-peak hour
- weekday PM-street-peak hour

The analysis is under the following conditions:

- 2021 existing
- 2022 and 2032 no build
- 2022 and 2032 build

Capacity-analysis worksheets that give detail and explanation are in Appendix E.

Table 9 shows low delays at Greeley Street/proposed driveway intersection.

Table 9. Capacity-analysis summary	y.							
		2022	Build		_	2032	Build	
Intersection, Control, Hour and Movement	LOS <sup>a</sup>	Delay <sup>b</sup>	V/C <sup>c</sup>	Queued	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue
Greeley Street/Proposed Driveway Intersection	on, Weekd	ay AM-Stree	t-Peak Ho	ur				
Greeley Street NB L	А	7.7	0.004	0.0	А	7.8	0.004	0.0
Proposed Driveway EB LR	В	10.5	0.025	0.1	В	10.8	0.026	0.1
Greeley Street/Proposed Driveway Intersection	on, Weekd	ay PM-Street	t-Peak Hou	ur				
Greeley Street NB L	А	7.7	0.008	0.0	А	7.7	0.008	0.0
Proposed Driveway EB LR	В	10.5	0.018	0.1	В	10.8	0.019	0.1

 $^{a}$  LOS = level of service.

<sup>b</sup> Delay = average delay in seconds per vehicle.

<sup>c</sup> V/C = volume/capacity ratio.

<sup>d</sup> 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue in vehicles.

EB = eastbound, WB = westbound, SB = southbound, NB = northbound, L = left, T = through, R = right.



### CONCLUSION

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed redevelopment will:

- be at 112 and 114 Greeley Street
- replace one existing single-family-detached housing units with 47 proposed senior-adultdetached housing units
- have one driveway to the west side of Greeley Street

#### **TRIP GENERATION**

Calculated vehicle-trips due to the proposed redevelopment are:

- weekday daily, 201 (total of in and out)
- weekday AM-street-peak hour, 23 (8 in and 15 out)
- weekday PM-street-peak hour, 27 (16 in and 11 out)

#### **CAPACITY ANALYSIS**

Capacity analysis shows low delays at the Greeley Street/proposed driveway intersection.

#### **TRAFFIC IMPACTS**

Analysis indicates no significant area impact due to the proposed redevelopment.



#### APPENDIX



Appendix A: Project Plan





Appendix B: Traffic Counts

4/12/2021	Mond	lay	Tuesd	ay	Wednes	day	Thurs	day	Frid	ay	Satur	day	Sunda	ау	Week Ave	erage
Time	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,	NB,	SB,
12:00 AM	*	*	5	8	9	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7	5
1:00	*	*	1	1	1	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1	0
2:00	*	*	6	0	2	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	0
3:00	*	*	1	2	2	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	2	1
4:00	*	*	11	18	10	16	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	10	17
5:00	*	*	32	50	31	50	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	32	50
6:00	*	*	86	98	94	106	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	90	102
7:00	*	*	171	216	174	187	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	172	202
8:00	*	*	129	148	141	131	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	135	140
9:00	*	*	76	103	94	121	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	85	112
10:00	*	*	83	96	93	101	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	88	98
11:00	*	*	90	85	91	92	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	90	88
12:00 PM	*	*	133	122	119	115	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	126	118
1:00	*	*	114	108	130	102	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	122	105
2:00	*	*	156	172	172	186	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	164	179
3:00	*	*	221	188	192	168	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	206	178
4:00	*	*	219	163	254	185	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	236	174
5:00	*	*	190	180	225	164	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	208	172
6:00	*	*	136	107	137	146	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	136	126
7:00	*	*	82	72	84	101	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	83	86
8:00	*	*	59	66	56	76	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	58	71
9:00	*	*	33	32	34	49	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	34	40
10:00	*	*	23	21	21	11	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	22	16
11:00	*	*	11	7	13	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	12	8
Total	0	0	2068	2063	2179	2118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2123	2088
Day	0		4131	1	4297	,	0		0		0		0		4211	
AM Peak			7:00	7:00	7:00	7:00									7:00	7:00
Volume			171	216	174	187									172	202
PM Peak			3:00	3:00	4:00	2:00									4:00	2:00
Volume			221	188	254	186									236	17 <u></u> 9
Comb Total	0		4131	1	4297	7	0		0		0		0		4211	
ADT	A	DT: 4,214	AAD	DT: 4,214												



Appendix C: Monthly Traffic Volumes

## Year 2019 Monthly Data

Group 4 Averages: Urban Highways

		Adjustment	Adjustment
<u>Month</u>	<u>ADT</u>	<u>to Average</u>	<u>to Peak</u>
January	11,431	1.12	1.23
February	11,848	1.08	1.18
March	12,141	1.06	1.15
April	12,860	1.00	1.09
May	13,551	0.95	1.03
June	13,785	0.93	1.02
July	13,942	0.92	1.01
August	14,016	0.92	1.00
September	13,379	0.96	1.05
October	13,339	0.96	1.05
November	12,265	1.05	1.14
December	11,496	1.12	1.22
Average ADT:	12,838		
Peak ADT:	14,016		



Appendix D: Vehicle Speeds

Location : Greeley Street Location : Near 112-114 Greeley St City/State: Hudson, NH Direction: NB,

4/13/2021	0 - 15	> 15 -	> 20 -	> 25 -	> 30 -	> 35 -	> 40 -	> 45 -	> 50 -	> 55 -	> 60 -	> 65 -	> 70	
Time	MPH	20 MPH	25 MPH	30 MPH	35 MPH	40 MPH	45 MPH	50 MPH	55 MPH	60 MPH	65 MPH	70 MPH	MPH	Total
12:00 AM	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
1:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
2:00	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
3:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:00	0	0	0	1	1	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
5:00	0	0	0	0	4	16	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	32
6:00	0	0	0	2	17	37	25	4	1	0	0	0	0	86
7:00	0	0	0	5	33	83	41	8	0	1	0	0	0	171
8:00	0	0	1	20	33	45	26	3	1	0	0	0	0	129
9:00	0	0	0	5	16	35	19	1	0	0	0	0	0	76
10:00	0	0	2	5	25	32	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	83
11:00	0	0	0	4	22	45	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	90
12:00 PM	0	0	0	2	38	54	29	10	0	0	0	0	0	133
1:00	0	0	0	3	27	49	27	7	1	0	0	0	0	114
2:00	0	0	0	2	46	71	33	4	0	0	0	0	0	156
3:00	0	0	0	10	38	110	48	12	1	0	0	2	0	221
4:00	0	0	0	4	32	120	58	5	0	0	0	0	0	219
5:00	0	0	0	3	48	89	47	3	0	0	0	0	0	190
6:00	0	0	0	2	18	69	37	8	2	0	0	0	0	136
7:00	0	0	0	5	20	37	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	82
8:00	0	0	1	3	20	30	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
9:00	0	0	0	2	7	20	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	33
10:00	0	0	0	1	4	9	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	23
11:00	0	0	0	2	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Total	0	0	4	84	452	971	466	79	9	1	0	2	0	2068
		I	Percentile	15th	50th	85th	95th							
			Speed	33.5	37.2	41.5	44.6							

Mean Speed (Average) 10 MPH Pace Speed 37.6 35-44 Number in Pace 1437 Percent in Pace 69.5%

Number > 45 MPH 91 Percent > 45 MPH 4.4% 15490001

Location : Greeley Street Location : Near 112-114 Greeley St City/State: Hudson, NH Direction: NB,

4/14/2021	0 - 15	> 15 -	> 20 -	> 25 -	> 30 -	> 35 -	> 40 -	> 45 -	> 50 -	> 55 -	> 60 -	> 65 -	> 70	
Time	MPH	20 MPH	25 MPH	30 MPH	35 MPH	40 MPH	45 MPH	50 MPH	55 MPH	60 MPH	65 MPH	70 MPH	MPH	Total
12:00 AM	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
1:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
2:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
3:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
4:00	0	0	0	0	3	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
5:00	0	0	0	0	8	13	7	1	2	0	0	0	0	31
6:00	0	0	0	2	22	51	15	4	0	0	0	0	0	94
7:00	1	0	0	1	53	87	28	3	1	0	0	0	0	174
8:00	0	0	1	8	27	72	24	9	0	0	0	0	0	141
9:00	0	0	1	13	57	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	94
10:00	0	0	0	2	28	42	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	93
11:00	0	0	0	12	26	33	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	91
12:00 PM	1	0	0	1	22	55	34	6	0	0	0	0	0	119
1:00	0	0	0	7	29	69	20	3	2	0	0	0	0	130
2:00	0	0	1	7	41	93	26	3	0	1	0	0	0	172
3:00	0	0	0	7	51	70	56	5	3	0	0	0	0	192
4:00	0	0	0	1	38	137	72	6	0	0	0	0	0	254
5:00	0	0	0	4	30	121	59	8	3	0	0	0	0	225
6:00	0	0	0	5	31	62	33	4	2	0	0	0	0	137
7:00	0	0	0	4	15	43	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	84
8:00	0	0	0	2	11	31	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	56
9:00	0	0	0	0	15	15	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	34
10:00	0	0	0	0	6	10	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	21
11:00	0	0	0	0	5	3	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	13
Total	2	0	3	78	521	1035	460	62	16	2	0	0	0	2179
		ŀ	Percentile	15th	50th	85th	95th							
			Speed	32.8	37.2	41.5	44							
	Mea	an Speed (	(Average)	37.4										
	10	) MPH Pa	ce Speed	31-40										
		Numbe	er in Pace	1545										
		Percer	nt in Pace	70.9%										
		Number >	• 45 MPH	80										
		Percent >	• 45 MPH	3.7%										
Grand Total	2	0	7	162	973	2006	926	141	25	3	0	2	0	4247
Stats		ŀ	Percentile	15th	50th	85th	95th							
			Speed	32.8	37.2	41.5	44.6							
	Mea	an Speed (	(Average)	37.5										
	10	) MPH Pa	ce Speed	31-40										
		Numbe	er in Pace	2971										
		Percer	nt in Pace	70.0%										
		Number >	• 45 MPH	171										
		Percent >	• 45 MPH	4.0%										

Location : Greeley Street Location : Near 112-114 Greeley St City/State: Hudson, NH Direction: SB,

4/13/2021	0 - 15	> 15 -	> 20 -	> 25 -	> 30 -	> 35 -	> 40 -	> 45 -	> 50 -	> 55 -	> 60 -	> 65 -	> 70	
Time	MPH	20 MPH	25 MPH	30 MPH	35 MPH	40 MPH	45 MPH	50 MPH	55 MPH	60 MPH	65 MPH	70 MPH	MPH	Total
12:00 AM	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
1:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
2:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:00	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
4:00	0	0	0	1	3	6	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	18
5:00	0	0	0	2	12	23	10	3	0	0	0	0	0	50
6:00	0	0	1	0	12	45	30	7	3	0	0	0	0	98
7:00	1	0	2	9	41	102	49	12	0	0	0	0	0	216
8:00	0	0	3	4	35	67	34	5	0	0	0	0	0	148
9:00	0	0	0	4	31	37	26	4	1	0	0	0	0	103
10:00	1	0	2	4	41	34	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	96
11:00	0	1	2	2	27	41	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	85
12:00 PM	0	1	0	0	24	58	30	8	1	0	0	0	0	122
1:00	0	3	0	1	26	44	25	9	0	0	0	0	0	108
2:00	0	0	3	12	40	81	28	8	0	0	0	0	0	172
3:00	0	0	2	1	26	105	47	6	1	0	0	0	0	188
4:00	0	0	0	2	29	72	49	9	1	1	0	0	0	163
5:00	0	0	0	0	53	76	45	5	1	0	0	0	0	180
6:00	0	1	0	2	13	51	28	11	1	0	0	0	0	107
7:00	0	0	0	4	9	39	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	72
8:00	0	0	0	2	14	34	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	66
9:00	0	0	0	2	6	16	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	32
10:00	1	0	0	0	7	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
11:00	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total	3	6	15	52	452	944	475	104	11	1	0	0	0	2063
		I	Percentile	15th	50th	85th	95th							

Speed 33.5 37.2 42.2 45.3

Mean Speed (Average) 10 MPH Pace Speed 37.7 35-44 Number in Pace 1419 68.8% Percent in Pace Number > 45 MPH 116

Percent > 45 MPH 5.6% 15490001

Location : Greeley Street

Location : Near 112-114 Greeley St

City/State: Hudson, NH Direction: SB,

4/14/2021 > 15 -> 20 -> 25 -> 30 -> 35 -> 40 -> 45 -> 50 -> 55 -> 60 -> 65 -> 70 0 - 15 MPH 20 MPH 25 MPH 30 MPH 35 MPH 40 MPH 45 MPH 50 MPH 55 MPH 60 MPH 65 MPH 70 MPH MPH Time Total 12:00 AM 1:00 2:00 3:00 4:00 5:00 6:00 7:00 8:00 9:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 PM 1:00 2:00 3:00 4:00 5:00 6:00 7:00 8:00 9:00 10:00 11:00 Total Percentile 15th 50th 85th 95th Speed 32.8 37.2 41.5 Mean Speed (Average) 37.3 10 MPH Pace Speed 31-40 Number in Pace Percent in Pace 69.8% Number > 45 MPH 3.7% Percent > 45 MPH Grand Total Stats Percentile 15th 50th 85th 95th 32.8 37.2 41.5 44.6 Speed Mean Speed (Average) 37.5 10 MPH Pace Speed 31-40 Number in Pace Percent in Pace 68.9% Number > 45 MPH Percent > 45 MPH 4.7%



Appendix E: Capacity-Analysis Worksheets

# Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0.5						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			÷	ef 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	9	5	190	222	3	
Future Vol, veh/h	6	9	5	190	222	3	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	7	10	6	211	247	3	

Major/Minor	Minor2	I	Major1	Maj	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	472	249	250	0	-	0	
Stage 1	249	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	223	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	551	790	1316	-	-	-	
Stage 1	792	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	814	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	548	790	1316	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	548	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	788	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	814	-	-	-	-	-	
					-		

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.5	0.2	0	
HCM LOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EB	Ln1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1316	-	671	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.004	- 0.	025	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0 1	10.5	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	В	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0.1	-	-

TEPP LLC

# Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0.4						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			÷	ef 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	4	7	10	259	192	6	
Future Vol, veh/h	4	7	10	259	192	6	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	4	8	11	288	213	7	

Major/Minor	Minor2	ļ	Major1	Maj	jor2			
Conflicting Flow All	527	217	220	0	-	0		
Stage 1	217	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	310	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	512	823	1349	-	-	-		
Stage 1	819	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	744	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	507	823	1349	-	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	507	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	811	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	744	-	-	-	-	-		

Approach	EB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	10.5	0.3	0
HCM LOS	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	BLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1349	-	671	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.008	-	0.018	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0	10.5	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	Α	В	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0.1	-	-

#### 06/22/2021

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	- Y			्र	4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	9	5	210	245	3
Future Vol, veh/h	6	9	5	210	245	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	7	10	6	233	272	3

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Maj	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	519	274	275	0	-	0	
Stage 1	274	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	245	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	517	765	1288	-	-	-	
Stage 1	772	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	796	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	514	765	1288	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	514	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	768	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	796	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		

Approach	ER	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.8	0.2	0	
HCM LOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1288	- 640	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.004	- 0.026	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.8	0 10.8	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	A B	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.1	-	-	

#### Intersection Int Delay, s/veh 0.4 Movement EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR Y Lane Configurations đ Ъ 212 Traffic Vol, veh/h 4 7 10 287 6 Future Vol, veh/h 4 7 10 287 212 6 Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free RT Channelized None -None -None -Storage Length 0 -----Veh in Median Storage, # 0 --0 0 -Grade, % 0 0 0 ---Peak Hour Factor 90 90 90 90 90 90 Heavy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 Mvmt Flow 4 8 11 319 236 7

Major/Minor	Minor2	1	Major1	Maj	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	581	240	243	0	-	0	
Stage 1	240	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	341	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	476	799	1323	-	-	-	
Stage 1	800	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	720	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	471	799	1323	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	471	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	792	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	720	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.8		0.3		0		

HCM LOS B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1323	- 638	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.008	- 0.019	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0 10.8	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	A B	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.1	-	-