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## SUMMARY

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Keach-Nordstrom Associates, Inc. (KNA) has retained TEPP LLC to prepare this traffic impact and access study (TIAS) for a proposed residential redevelopment in the Town of Hudson, New Hampshire.

The proposed redevelopment will:

- be at 112 and 114 Greeley Street
- replace one existing single-family-detached housing units with 47 proposed senior-adultdetached housing units
- have one driveway to the west side of Greeley Street


## STUDY SCOPE

The TIAS study area includes the Greeley Street/proposed road intersection.
This TIAS analyzes the following conditions:

- 2021 existing
- 2022 and 2032 no-build, with background-traffic growth
- 2022 and 2032 build, with background-traffic growth and the proposed redevelopment


## TRIP GENERATION

Calculated vehicle-trips due to the proposed redevelopment are:

- weekday daily, 201 (total of in and out)
- weekday AM-street-peak hour, 23 (8 in and 15 out)
- weekday PM-street-peak hour, 27 (16 in and 11 out)


## CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Capacity analysis shows low delays at the Greeley Street/proposed driveway intersection.

## TRAFFIC IMPACTS

Analysis indicates no significant area impact due to the proposed redevelopment.

## INTRODUCTION

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

KNA has retained TEPP LLC to prepare this TIAS for a proposed residential redevelopment in the Town of Hudson, New Hampshire.

The proposed redevelopment will:

- be at 112 and 114 Greeley Street
- replace one existing single-family-detached housing units with 47 proposed senior-adultdetached housing units
- have one driveway to the west side of Greeley Street

Figure 1 shows site location. The project plan is in Appendix A.

## STUDY APPROACH

This TIAS assesses traffic impacts and access for the proposed redevelopment.
The TIAS study area includes the Greeley Street/proposed road intersection.
This TIAS analyzes traffic operations for the following hours as applicable:

- weekday AM street-peak hour
- weekday PM street-peak hour

This TIAS analyzes the following conditions:

- 2021 existing
- 2022 and 2032 no-build, with background-traffic growth
- 2022 and 2032 build, with background-traffic growth and the proposed redevelopment


Figure 1. Site location.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## INTRODUCTION

Existing conditions include:

- physical conditions of the transportation network, roads, and intersections
- traffic volumes
- other relevant information


## PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

Figure 1 shows the transportation network.
Greeley Street:

- is oriented approximately north-south
- functions as an arterial street
- to the south, connects with New Hampshire Route 111 (NH 111), an arterial highway, and the Town Center
- to the north, provides a connection to and from NH 102, an arterial highway
- has a horizontal alignment that is tangent at the proposed driveway location and includes a minor horizontal curve north of the proposed driveway location
- has a vertical alignment that includes a minor southbound downgrade near the proposed driveway location
- has a two-lane cross-section with one travel lane per direction
- has asphaltic-cement concrete (ACC) pavement in overall good condition
- includes utility poles along the east side
- has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour (mph)
- has nearby wooded land
- is under the jurisdiction of the Town


## TRAFFIC COUNTS

TEPP LLC obtained an automatic traffic counter (ATR) count:

- on Greeley Street along the site frontage
- from Tuesday, April 13, to Wednesday, April 14, 2021

The ATR data are in Appendix B.

## MONTHLY ADJUSTMENT

The April 2021 traffic volumes were adjusted considering NHDOT 2019 monthly traffic volumes for Group 4 (Urban Highways) averages in Appendix C.

Adjustments reflected:

- peak-month conditions
- an increase of 9 percent


## RESULTS

Table 1 shows 2021 existing traffic volumes.

Table 1. 2021 existing traffic volumes.

| Location and Time Period | Vehicles $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | K-factor $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Percent Direction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greeley Street near Site Frontage |  |  |  |
| Weekday Daily | 4,589 | --- | --- |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 408 | 8.9 | 54 Southbound |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 447 | 8.9 | 57 Northbound |

a Two-way-total volumes.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{K}=$ hour volume as a percent of daily volume.

Greeley Street along the site frontage showed about:

- 4,589 weekday-daily vehicles
- 408 vehicles during the weekday AM street-peak hour, predominantly southbound
- 447 vehicles during the weekday PM street-peak hour, predominantly northbound

TEPP LLC checked the 2021 existing weekday daily traffic volume against pre-pandemic conditions. NHDOT count station 82229084, on Greeley Street north of Highland Street, showed a weekday daily volume of 4,489 vehicles (total of both directions) for August 2018. August reflects peak-month conditions for Group 4 (Urban Highways).

## VEHICLE SPEEDS

The ATR collected vehicle speeds:

- on Greeley Street along the site frontage
- from Tuesday, April 13, to Wednesday, April 14, 2021

The data are in Appendix D and are summarized in Table 2.
Table 2 indicates that on Greeley Street:

| Table 2. Vehicle speeds. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Speeds (mph) |  |  |
| Location and Direction | Speed Limit | Mean $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Greeley Street along Site Frontage |  |  |  |
| Northbound | 30 |  |  |
| Southbound | 30 | 37.5 | 41.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From ATR conducted from Tuesday, April 13, to Wednesday, April 14, 2021

- the posted speed limit was 30 mph
- the northbound the mean speed was 37.5 mph and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was 41.5 mph
- for southbound the mean speed was 37.5 mph and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed was 41.5 mph


## SIGHT DISTANCES

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) has established authoritative policy for sight distances at unsignalized intersections ${ }^{1}$ in terms of:

[^0]- stopping sight distance (SSD)
- optional intersection sight distance (ISD)

SSD: ${ }^{2}$

- provides for safety
- enables a driver, on the major road, to perceive and react accordingly to a vehicle entering the major road from a minor road
- is conservative because it encompasses a wide range of brake-reaction times and deceleration rates


## Optional ISD: ${ }^{3}$

- is ordinarily greater than SSD and may enhance traffic operations
- is not required for safety

Table 3 shows relevant available sight distances that are at least 400 ft , per NHDOT practice, and are adequate.

## Table 3. Sight distances.

| Intersection, Movements, <br> and View | Available Sight <br> Distance (ft) | Speeds (miles per hour) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limit | SSD Provides For | ISD Provides For |  |  |
| Portland Street to/from South |  | 400 | 30 | $45+$ | $36+$ |
| Portland Street to/from North | 400 | 30 | $45+$ | $36+$ |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ With appropriate roadside and vegetation maintenance.

[^1]
## INTRODUCTION

Future conditions include:

- planned road improvements independent of the proposed redevelopment
- future no-build traffic volumes, with background-traffic growth and without the proposed redevelopment
- future build traffic volumes, with background-traffic growth and with the proposed redevelopment


## PLANNED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

TEPP LLC identified no significant planned road improvement in the study area independent of the project.

## BACKGROUND-TRAFFIC GROWTH

Background-traffic growth:

- is independent of the proposed redevelopment
- is related to land development in the immediate area, population and economic development in the region and changes in travel patterns in the region
- typically considers two factors: a general traffic-growth rate and specific planned land developments in the immediate area

This TIAS uses a 1.0-percent annual growth rate. This yields about 11.6-percent growth between 2021 and 2031.

## NO-BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The background-traffic growth described above was applied to 2021 existing traffic volumes. Table 4 shows 2022 and 2032 no-build traffic volumes.

| Location, Condition, and Time Period | Vehicles Per Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Northbound | Southbound |
| Greeley Street near Site Frontage, 2021 Existing |  |  |  |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 408 | 188 | 220 |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 447 | 257 | 190 |
| Greeley Street near Site Frontage, 2022 No-Build |  |  |  |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 412 | 190 | 222 |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 451 | 259 | 192 |
| Greeley Street near Site Frontage, 2032 No-Build |  |  |  |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 455 | 210 | 245 |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 499 | 287 | 212 |

## SITE TRAFFIC

## TRIP GENERATION

The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) compiles and publishes trip-generation information for a variety of land uses in Trip Generation Manual. ${ }^{4}$ This authoritative guide for site traffic includes senior-adult-detached housing, land use 251, based on dwelling units. ${ }^{5}$

Table 5 shows calculated vehicle-trips due to the proposed redevelopment as:

| Table 5. Calculated vehicle-trip generation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calculated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
| Time Period | Total | In | Out |
| Weekday Daily $^{\text {a }}$ | 201 | 100 | 101 |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 23 | 8 | 15 |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 27 | 16 | 11 |

Based on ITE, Trip Generation Manual, senior-adult-detached housing, land use 251, 103 dwelling units.

[^2]- weekday daily, 201 (total of in and out)
- weekday AM-street-peak hour, 23 ( 8 in and 15 out)
- weekday PM-street-peak hour, 27 ( 16 in and 11 out)

Table 5 conservatively does show deduction of trips related to the existing single-familydetached dwelling unit.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND NETWORK ASSIGNMENT

Trip distribution and network assignment of vehicle-trips to and from the site may consider such factors as existing site distribution, travel patterns, population, regional land development and site access. Trip distribution and network assignment for this TIAS considered the 2021 existing volumes.

Table 6 shows trip distribution and network assignment. Figure 2 shows site traffic volumes.


## BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Site traffic volumes were superimposed on the no-build traffic volumes to estimate build traffic volumes. Figures 3 and 4 show the resulting 2022 and 2032 build traffic volumes.

## TRAFFIC-VOLUME CHANGES

Table 7 presents calculated traffic-volume changes due to the proposed redevelopment for the:

- weekday AM-street-peak hour
- weekday PM-street-peak hour

Table 7 shows peak-hour-traffic-volume increases:


## Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour



Figure 2. Site traffic volumes.


## Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour



Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour

Figure 4. 2022 build traffic volumes.


## Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour



Figure 5. 2032 build traffic volumes.

## Table 7. Traffic-volume changes.

| Location and Time Period | 2022 Traffic Volumes (vph) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 2032 Traffic Volumes (vph) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No-Build | Build | Change | No-Build | Build | Change |
| Greeley Street North of Proposed Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 412 | 421 | 9 | 455 | 464 | 9 |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 451 | 461 | 10 | 499 | 509 | 10 |
| Greeley Street South of Proposed Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday AM-Street-Peak Hour | 412 | 426 | 14 | 455 | 469 | 14 |
| Weekday PM-Street-Peak Hour | 451 | 468 | 17 | 499 | 516 | 17 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Two-way total volumes.

- of 9 to 17 vehicle-trips
- constituting about one vehicle-trip per three to seven minutes
- that are further split by northbound and southbound direction on Greeley Street


## INTRODUCTION

This TIAS has quantified existing, future-no-build and future-build traffic volumes. Capacity analysis models the quality of traffic operations. Comparing build conditions to the no-build conditions indicates impacts of the proposed redevelopment on quality of traffic operations.

## METHODS

Capacity analysis calculates LOS for transportation facilities. LOS indicates the quality of traffic operations based on delay and other measures. The six LOS are designated A to F. LOS A represents the best or highest operating conditions. LOS F is the lowest, but does not necessarily connote failure.

LOS is a function of traffic volumes and traffic control. Because these volumes can vary, LOS of a transportation facility can differ by time of day, day of the week, or month. For example, a transportation facility with a low LOS during peak hours may have a high LOS during other hours. The operational analysis methods of the Transportation Research Board (TRB) ${ }^{6}$ models LOS for intersections based on calculated delay per vehicle, as shown in Table 8. Synchro analysis software was used.

Method inputs include:

- intersection geometry
- traffic control, such as YIELD sign, two-way STOP sign, all-way STOP sign, roundabout, or signal (including phasing, timing, and progression)
- traffic volumes
- vehicle composition, such as passenger cars and trucks

The methods are all approximate. In particular, the method for two-way STOP-sign control can be conservative, with observed delays and queuing shorter than those modeled.

[^3]Table 8. Level-of-service criteria for intersections.
Control Delay (seconds/vehicle)

| Level of Service | Unsignalized Intersections $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Signalized Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | $>10.0$ and $\leq 15.0$ | $>10.0$ and $\leq 20.0$ |
| C | $>15.0$ and $\leq 25.0$ | $>20.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ |
| D | $>25.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ | $>35.0$ and $\leq 55.0$ |
| E | $>35.0$ and $\leq 50.0$ | $>55.0$ and $\leq 80.0$ |
| F | $>50$ | $>80$ |

From Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (Washington D.C., 2010).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For YIELD sign, two-way STOP sign or all-way STOP sign, control delay defines LOS. For roundabout approaches and overall intersection, control delay defines LOS. For roundabout lanes with volume/capacity ratio $\leq 1.0$, control delay defines LOS. For roundabout lanes with volume/capacity ratio $>1.0$, LOS is F regardless of control delay.

## RESULTS

Table 9 shows computed LOS, delays, and queues at study-area intersections for the:

- weekday AM-street-peak hour
- weekday PM-street-peak hour

The analysis is under the following conditions:

- 2021 existing
- 2022 and 2032 no build
- 2022 and 2032 build

Capacity-analysis worksheets that give detail and explanation are in Appendix E.
Table 9 shows low delays at Greeley Street/proposed driveway intersection.

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ LOS $=$ level of service.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Delay $=$ average delay in seconds per vehicle.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}=$ volume/capacity ratio.
$\mathrm{d} 95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue in vehicles.
$\mathrm{EB}=$ eastbound, $\mathrm{WB}=$ westbound, $\mathrm{SB}=$ southbound, $\mathrm{NB}=$ northbound, $\mathrm{L}=$ left, $\mathrm{T}=$ through, $\mathrm{R}=$ right.

## CONCLUSION

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed redevelopment will:

- be at 112 and 114 Greeley Street
- replace one existing single-family-detached housing units with 47 proposed senior-adultdetached housing units
- have one driveway to the west side of Greeley Street


## TRIP GENERATION

Calculated vehicle-trips due to the proposed redevelopment are:

- weekday daily, 201 (total of in and out)
- weekday AM-street-peak hour, 23 (8 in and 15 out)
- weekday PM-street-peak hour, 27 (16 in and 11 out)


## CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Capacity analysis shows low delays at the Greeley Street/proposed driveway intersection.

TRAFFIC IMPACTS

Analysis indicates no significant area impact due to the proposed redevelopment.

APPENDIX

Appendix A: Project Plan


Appendix B: Traffic Counts

| 4/12/2021 | Monday |  |  | Tuesday |  | Wednesday |  | Thursday |  |  |  | Friday |  |  | Saturday |  |  |  | Sunday |  |  |  | Week Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | NB, |  | SB, | NB, | SB, | NB, | SB, | NB, |  | SB, |  | NB, |  | SB, | NB, |  | SB, |  | NB, |  | SB, |  | NB, | SB, |
| 12:00 AM |  | * | * | 5 | 8 | 9 | 2 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  |  |  | * |  | * |  | * | 7 | 5 |
| 1:00 |  | * | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 1 | 0 |
| 2:00 |  | * | * | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 4 | 0 |
| 3:00 |  | * | * | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 2 | 1 |
| 4:00 |  | * | * | 11 | 18 | 10 | 16 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 10 | 17 |
| 5:00 |  | * | * | 32 | 50 | 31 | 50 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 32 | 50 |
| 6:00 |  | * | * | 86 | 98 | 94 | 106 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 90 | 102 |
| 7:00 |  | * | * | 171 | 216 | 174 | 187 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 172 | 202 |
| 8:00 |  | * | * | 129 | 148 | 141 | 131 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 135 | 140 |
| 9:00 |  | * | * | 76 | 103 | 94 | 121 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 85 | 112 |
| 10:00 |  | * | * | 83 | 96 | 93 | 101 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 88 | 98 |
| 11:00 |  | * | * | 90 | 85 | 91 | 92 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 90 | 88 |
| 12:00 PM |  | * | * | 133 | 122 | 119 | 115 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 126 | 118 |
| 1:00 |  | * | * | 114 | 108 | 130 | 102 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 122 | 105 |
| 2:00 |  | * | * | 156 | 172 | 172 | 186 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 164 | 179 |
| 3:00 |  | * | * | 221 | 188 | 192 | 168 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 206 | 178 |
| 4:00 |  | * | * | 219 | 163 | 254 | 185 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 236 | 174 |
| 5:00 |  | * | * | 190 | 180 | 225 | 164 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 208 | 172 |
| 6:00 |  | * | * | 136 | 107 | 137 | 146 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 136 | 126 |
| 7:00 |  | * | * | 82 | 72 | 84 | 101 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 83 | 86 |
| 8:00 |  | * | * | 59 | 66 | 56 | 76 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 58 | 71 |
| 9:00 |  | * | * | 33 | 32 | 34 | 49 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 34 | 40 |
| 10:00 |  | * | * | 23 | 21 | 21 | 11 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 22 | 16 |
| 11:00 |  | * | * | 11 | 7 | 13 | 8 |  | * |  | * |  | * | * |  | * |  | * |  | * |  | * | 12 | 8 |
| Total |  | 0 | 0 | 2068 | 2063 | 2179 | 2118 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2123 | 2088 |
| Day |  | 0 |  | 4131 |  | 4297 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 4211 |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  | 7:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7:00 | 7:00 |
| Volume |  |  |  | 171 | 216 | 174 | 187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 | 202 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  | 3:00 | 3:00 | 4:00 | 2:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4:00 | 2:00 |
| Volume |  |  |  | 221 | 188 | 254 | 186 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 236 | 179 |
| Comb Total | 0 |  |  | 4131 <br> AADT: 4,214 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 4211 |  |
| ADT | ADT: 4,214 |  |  |  |  | 4297 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C: Monthly Traffic Volumes

Year 2019 Monthly Data

Group 4 Averages:

| Month | ADT | Adjustment to Average | Adjustmen to Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 11,431 | 1.12 | 1.23 |
| February | 11,848 | 1.08 | 1.18 |
| March | 12,141 | 1.06 | 1.15 |
| April | 12,860 | 1.00 | 1.09 |
| May | 13,551 | 0.95 | 1.03 |
| June | 13,785 | 0.93 | 1.02 |
| July | 13,942 | 0.92 | 1.01 |
| August | 14,016 | 0.92 | 1.00 |
| September | 13,379 | 0.96 | 1.05 |
| October | 13,339 | 0.96 | 1.05 |
| November | 12,265 | 1.05 | 1.14 |
| December | 11,496 | 1.12 | 1.22 |

Urban Highways

Adjustment Adjustment
o Average to Peak
1.23
1.15
1.09
1.03
1.01
1.00
1.05
1.22

Average ADT: 12,838
Peak ADT: 14,016

Appendix D: Vehicle Speeds

City/State: Hudson, NH


Location : Near 112-114 Greeley St
City/State: Hudson, NH

| Direction: NB, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/14/2021 | 0-15 | $\begin{gathered} >15- \\ 20 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20- \\ 25 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >25- \\ 30 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30- \\ 35 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >35- \\ 40 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >40- \\ 45 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >45- \\ 50 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50- \\ 55 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >55- \\ 60 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >60- \\ 65 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >65- \\ 70 \mathrm{MPH} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >70 \\ & \mathrm{MPH} \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Time | MPH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 51 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 7:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 87 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 27 | 72 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 57 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 42 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 33 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 55 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 69 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 93 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 51 | 70 | 56 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 137 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 121 | 59 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 31 | 62 | 33 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 43 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 31 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 3 | 78 | 521 | 1035 | 460 | 62 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2179 |
|  |  |  | Percentile | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Speed | 32.8 | 37.2 | 41.5 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | n Speed | Average) | 37.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MPH Pa | ce Speed | 31-40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Numbe | r in Pace | $1545$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percen | t in Pace | $70.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number > | 45 MPH | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percent $>$ | 45 MPH | 3.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 2 | 0 | 7 | 162 | 973 | 2006 | 926 | 141 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4247 |
| Stats |  |  | Percentile | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Speed | 32.8 | 37.2 | 41.5 | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Speed | Average) | 37.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MPH Pa | ce Speed | 31-40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Numb | r in Pace | 2971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percen | in in Pace | 70.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number > | 45 MPH | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Percent $>$ | 45 MPH | 4.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

City/State: Hudson, NH


City/State: Hudson, NH

| Direction: SB, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/14/2021 | 0-15 | $>15-$20 MPH | > 20 - | > 25 - | > $30-$ | > 35 - | > 40 - | > 45 - | > $50-$ | > $55-$ | > 60 - | > 65 - | > 70 |  |
| Time | MPH |  | 25 MPH | 30 MPH | 35 MPH | 40 MPH | 45 MPH | 50 MPH | 55 MPH | 60 MPH | 65 MPH | 70 MPH | MPH | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 21 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 47 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 31 | 100 | 38 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| 8:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 71 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 58 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 48 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 33 | 39 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 54 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| 1:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 55 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 38 | 92 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 |
| 3:00 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 48 | 72 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 27 | 88 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| 5:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 91 | 35 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| 6:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 73 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 |
| 7:00 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 50 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 8:00 | , | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 31 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| 9:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 11:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 7 | 96 | 491 | 997 | 441 | 68 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2118 |
| Percentile |  |  |  | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Speed (Average) |  |  |  | 32.8 | 37.2 | 41.5 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 37.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 MPH Pace Speed |  |  | 31-40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number in Pace |  |  | $1479$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent in Pace |  |  | $69.8 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number > 45 MPH |  |  | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent > 45 MPH |  |  |  | 3.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 6 | 10 | 22 | 148 | 943 | 1941 | 916 | 172 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4181 |
| Stats | Percentile |  |  | 15th | 50th | 85th | 95th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Speed |  |  | 32.8 | 37.2 | 41.5 | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean Speed (Average) |  |  | 37.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 MPH Pace Speed |  |  | 31-40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number in Pace |  |  | 2879 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent in Pace |  |  | 68.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { Number > } 45 \mathrm{MPH}$ |  |  | 195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent > 45 MPH |  |  | 4.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix E: Capacity-Analysis Worksheets


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 472 | 249 | 250 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 249 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 223 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 551 | 790 | 1316 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 792 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 814 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 548 | 790 | 1316 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 548 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 788 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 814 | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.5 | 0.2 | 0 |

```
HCMLOS B
```

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1316 | -671 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.004 | -0.025 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 10.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - |
| (v) |  | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 527 | 217 | 220 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 217 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 310 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 512 | 823 | 1349 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 819 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 744 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 507 | 823 | 1349 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 507 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 811 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 744 | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.5 | 0.3 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1349 | -671 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | -0.018 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 10.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 | 0.2 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1288 | -640 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.004 | -0.026 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 0 | 10.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 | 0.3 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1323 | -638 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | -0.019 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | 10.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ AASHTO, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 6th Edition (Washington, DC, 2011), pages 928 to 9-29.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ AASHTO, pages 3-2 to 3-6.
    ${ }^{3}$ AASHTO, pages 9-22 to 9-55.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ ITE, Trip Generation Manual, $10_{\text {th }}$ edition (Washington DC, September 2017).
    ${ }^{5}$ ITE, Trip Generation Manual, Volume 2, Data, Residential (Land Uses 200-299), pages 337 to 351.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ TRB, Highway Capacity Manual 2000 (Washington DC 2000) and Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (Washington DC, 2010).

