



Zoning Board of Adjustment

Charlie Brackett, Chairman Marilyn E. McGrath, Selectmen Liaison

12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

MEETING AGENDA – June 25, 2020

COVID-19 Meeting Procedure

In response to the NH State of Emergency Order #12 Pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04 regarding COVID-19, The Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a virtual and public meeting & hearings electronically by remote video & conference call and physically at the Community Center, 12 Lions Ave, Hudson on Thursday, June 25, 2020, at 7:00 PM. Written comments can be sent in advance either by: 1) Email to bbuttrick@hudsonnh.gov prior to 5:00 pm, June 25, 2020; or 2) Mail by June 22, 2020 to ZBA, c/o Bruce Buttrick, Hudson Town Hall, 12 School St., Hudson, NH 03051. For the public to participate and comment, please follow the instructions on the town website: https://www.hudsonnh.gov/bc-zba/page/public-hearing-process or call (603) 886-6008 prior to the date of the meeting. Applications on the agenda may be viewed online at: https://www.hudsonnh.gov/bc-zba (click: View Agendas, Minutes, Packets & Videos). The meeting will be streamed live on Hudson Community Television, Cable Channel 22 or online at: https://budsonctv.com/CablecastPublicSite/watch/2?channel=3.

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS OF SCHEDULED APPLICATIONS BEFORE THE BOARD:

- <u>Case 173-022 (06-25-20) (deferred from 05-28-20)</u>: Turbo Realty, LLC by Patricia M. Panciocco, One Club Acre Lane, Bedford, NH requests to amend the Variance application heard on the 5/28/20 ZBA meeting for 15 Tolles St., Hudson, NH to request a one-year extension from the previously imposed June 7, 2020 deadline, to submit a complete site plan application to the Hudson Planning Board. [Map 173, Lot 022-000; Zoned Town Residence (TR); HZO Article V, Permitted Uses, §334-21, Table of Permitted Principal Uses].
- <u>Case 173-012 & 014 (06-25-20) (deferred from 05-28-20)</u>: Turbo Realty, LLC by Patricia M. Panciocco, One Club Acre Lane, Bedford, NH requests a Variance at 4 & 14 Tolles St., Hudson, NH to be allowed to extend the same uses to Lots 173-012 & 014 as were granted by the ZBA on June 7, 2018 for three (3) variances at 15 & 17 Tolles St. [Map 173, Lot 012-000 and Lot 014-000; Zoned Town Residence (TR); HZO Article V, Permitted Uses, §334-21, Table of Permitted Principal Uses].
- <u>Case 158-035 (06-25-20)</u>: Carolyn Sassak, 145 Highland Street, Hudson, NH requests a Home Occupation Special Exception for a home beauty salon business in the garage of the primary residence. [Map 158, Lot 035-000; Zoned Residential-Two (R-2); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-24, Home Occupations].
- Case 204-002 (06-25-20): Keri Demers, 23 Dexter Street, Nashua, NH requests a Special Exception for 153 Lowell Rd, Hudson, NH to allow a dog day care, grooming, animal rescue services, retail sales, community pet education and kennel (day & overnight boarding) facility. [Map 204, Lot 002-000; Zoned Business (B); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-23, General Requirements].

III. REQUEST FOR REHEARING: None

IV. REVIEW OF MINUTES: 5/21/20 Minutes; 5/28/20 Minutes

V. OTHER

Bruce Buttrick Zoning Administrator

Land Use Division



12 School Street · Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 · Tel: 603-886-6008 · Fax: 603-594-1142

Zoning Administrator Staff Report Meeting Date: June 25, 2020 97 6-17-20

<u>Case 173-022 (06-25-20) (deferred from 05-28-20):</u> Turbo Realty, LLC by Patricia M. Panciocco, One Club Acre Lane, Bedford, NH requests to amend the Variance application heard on the 5/28/20 ZBA meeting for 15 Tolles St., Hudson, NH to request a one-year extension from the previously imposed June 7, 2020 deadline, to submit a complete site plan application to the Hudson Planning Board. [Map 173, Lot 022-000; Zoned Town Residence (TR); HZO Article V, Permitted Uses, §334-21, Table of Permitted Principal Uses].

Summary:

This is a matter of a formality mainly for re-noticing this particular case, Applicant had originally requested an extension for 90 days, but after the testimony on 05-28-20 the applicant submitted a revision/change to the original request: **to request an extension to June 2021**, to be able to prepare a global site plan which also encompasses other parcels.

Property description:

This is an existing developed lot of record with non-conforming uses (by variance).

In-house (Town) review/comments:

N/A refer to 05-28-20 mtg packet

HISTORY: N/A refer to 05-28-20 mtg packet

Attachments: N/A refer to 05-28-20 mtg packet

	MENDED
JUN 0 9 ZUZO	IN FOR A VARIANCE JUN 09 2020
Dhing Departme To: Zoning Board of Adjustment Town of Hudson	Entries in this box are to be filled out by Land Use Division personnel ^{1/9} Department Case No. 173-022 (66-25-20)
	Date Filed 6/9/20
Name of Applicant Turbo Realty, LLC by Patricia	M. Panciocco Map: <u>173</u> Lot <u>022-00</u> Zoning District: <u>TR</u>
Telephone Number (Home)	(Work) (603) 518-5370
Mailing Address <u>One Club Acre Lane Bedfor</u>	rd, New Hampshire 03110
Owner <u>Turbo Realty, LLC</u>	
	es 6-7 AMENDED"
(Street Addre	ess)
Tatarria tanenacca	June 8, 2020
Signature of Applicant	Date

NOTE: Fill in all portions of the Application Form(s) as appropriate. This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if space provided is inadequate. If you are not the property owner, you must provide written documentation signed by the property owner(s) to confirm that the property owner(s) are allowing you to speak on his/her/their behalf or that you have permission to seek the described variance.

Items in this box are to be filled out by Lar	nd Use Division p]
COST: Application fee: Direct Abutters x \$4.05 = Indirect Abutters x \$0.55 = Total amount due: Received by:	<u>\$130.00</u> <u>\$</u>	Date received: <u>6/9/26</u> Amt. received: <u>\$ /66.65</u> Receipt No.: <u>599,239</u>	
By determination of the Zoning Administrator Departmental review is required: EngineeringFire Departme			

AMENDED APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE FOR JUNE 25, 2020 MEETING (Applicant responses in bold)

This form constitutes a request for a variance from the literal provisions of the Hudson Zoning Ordinance Article <u>SEE BELOW</u> Section(s) <u>SEE BELOW</u>:

This Variance requests a modification of Stipulation (3) and Stipulation (6) of the three (3) variances granted by the ZBA on June 7, 2018 for 15-17 Tolles Street ("2018 Variances") copies of which are attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>. Stipulation (3) and (6) are identical in all three variances.

ARGUMENT 1:

Stipulation (6) of each 2018 Variance states the approvals are "contingent upon the applicant completing a Site Plan Review through the Planning Board within a two-year period..." from date of approval.

Since June 7, 2018, the property has been surveyed and partially engineered as shown on the ZBA Plan attached as <u>Exhibit B</u>. The Applicant intends to present a fully engineered Site Plan to the Planning Board, but would like to include Lot 173-012 and Lot 173-014 on the same Plan. By doing so, the Planning Board will review one Site Plan for the entire site. The Applicant is requesting a one-year extension from the previously imposed June 7, 2020 deadline, to submit a complete site plan application to the Hudson Planning Board for all the Lots on Tolles Street. The additional time is being requested to allow the Applicant to recover economically from the current pandemic to be able to cover the cost of the additional engineering for the site plan.

For this reason, the Applicant requests Stipulation (6) to now read: "contingent upon the applicant submitting a full site plan application to the Planning Board on or before June 7, 2021."

ARGUMENT 2:

As to Stipulation (3), in order to avoid future conflicts if enforcement of hours of operation is required, the Applicant requests the ZBA confirm it will defer to any decision made by the Planning Board regarding the hours of operation as controlling but will otherwise abide by the current hours of operation set by the ZBA. The Applicant requests Stipulation (3) to read: The "hours of operation imposed by the Variances shall cease and expire upon a successful site plan approval by the Hudson Planning Board".

You must attach to this application a copy of some form of determination that the proposed change or use is not permitted without a variance, consisting of a denial in writing of a building permit or use authorization by the Zoning Office, with the reasons for the denial being cited thereon.

FACTS SUPPORTING THIS REQUEST:

The power to grant variances from the local zoning ordinances is established in NH RSA 674:33 I (b), as follows:

- I. "The Zoning Board of Adjustment shall have the power to:
 - (b) Authorize upon appeal in specific cases a variance from the terms of the zoning ordinance if:
 - (1) The variance will not be contrary to the public interest;
 - (2) The spirit of the ordinance is observed;
 - (3) Substantial justice is done;
 - (4) The values of surrounding properties are not diminished; and
 - (5) Literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship.
 - (A) For purposes of this subparagraph, "unnecessary hardship" means that, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area:
 - (i) No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property; and
 - (ii) The proposed use is a reasonable one.
 - (B) If the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

The definition of "unnecessary hardship" set forth in subparagraph (5) shall apply whether the provision of the ordinance from which a variance is sought is a restriction of use, a dimensional or other limitation on a permitted use, or any other requirement of the ordinance.

SENDER		TOWN OF HUDSON 12 SCHOOL STREET HUDSON, NH 03051	US POSTAL SERVICE - CERTIFIED MAIL	Case# 173-022 Variance AMENDED 15 Tolles Street Map 173/Lot 022-000 1 of 1
SENDER	:	HUDSON, NH USUSI		Mab 173/Lot 022-000 1011
		ARTICLE NUMBER	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address	6/25/2020 ZBA Meeting
1	201.A	2270 0001 3001 4062	ERIN E. JENKINS; ERIC MAITLAND	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
			6 CAMPBELLO ST., HUDSON, NH 03051	
2	2018	2290 0001 3001 4079	TURBO REALTY, LLC	APPLICANT/OWNER-NOTICE SENT
	1000		15 TOLLES STREET, SUITE C, HUDSON, NH 03051	
3	7018	2290 0001 3001 4086	RICHARD L. & JACQUELINE SUTER	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
			12 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
4	7018	2290 0001 3001 4093	LARRY L. & MEREDITH RACKLIFF	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
			10 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
5	7018	2290 0001 3001 4109	EDWARD MCNULTY	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
			8 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
6	7018	2290 0001 3001 4116	GLEN SCOTT KOMINIK	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
-			11 TOLLES ST., HUDSON, NH 03051	APPLICANT/OWNER-NOTICE SENT
7	7018	2290 0001 3001 4123	PATRICIA M. PANCIOCCO, ESQUIRE; PANCIOCCO LAW, LLC	APPLICANI/OWNER-NOTICE SENT
0			ONE CLUB ACRE LANE, BEDFORD, NH 03110 GRANITE ENGINEERING	APPLICANT OWNERS NOTICE SENT
8	2019	2290 0001 3001 4130	250 COMMERCIAL ST., STE 3008, MANCHESTER, NH 03101	AFFLICANT DAVIDAGING OF SENT
	100 ANY 110 AN		250 COMMERCIAL S1., STE 3008, MANCHESTER, NH 03101	8
9				1800 B
-				17 2020 JUN 17 2020
10	1			- NUN .
11	a			
				USP3
12				
		Total Number of pieces listed b	y Total number of pieces rec'vd at Post Office	Postmaster (receiving Employee)
		sender 8		(∇)

SENDER:	TOWN OF HUDSON 12 SCHOOL STREET HUDSON, NH 03051	US POSTAL SERVICE - FIRST CLASS MAIL	Case# 173-022 Variance AMENDED15 Tolles StreetMap 173/Lot 022-0001 of 1
	ARTICLE NUMBER	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address	6/25/2020 ZBA Meeting
1	Mailed First Class	ROBERT J. & KATHERINE A. GREENE,	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
2	Mailed First Class	11 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051 BEATRIZ JAUREGUI, TR.; JOSE ALEJANDRO URRUTIA, TR., AGARRECHU REVOCABLE TRUST	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
		9 CAMPBELLO ST., HUDSON, NH 03051	
3	Mailed First Class	STEVEN E. & SHARA THERESA KATSOS	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
		7 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
4	Mailed First Class	DIANE BALBONI	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
5	Mailed First Class	5 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051 LAN X. PHAM; HENRY NGUYEN	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
		3 CAMPBELLO STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
6	Mailed First Class	NICHOLAS J. DELUCA	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
		6 TOLLES ST., HUDSON, NH 03051	
7	Mailed First Class	PAUL D. & DONNA I. THORN	ABUTTER NOTICE SENT
		12 GROUSE LANE, LITCHFIELD, NH 03052	
8			
9			
10			50N NH 03057-90
11			50N NH 03057-39 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 50 30 57 30 88 88 88
12			JUN 17 2020
13			
14			USPS
15			
	Total Number of pieces listed by sender 7	Total number of pieces rec'vd at Post Office	Postmaster (receiving Employee)

Printed 6/12/2020 1:08PM Created 6/12/2020 1:00 PM			Town o 12 S	tion Receipt f Hudson, NH chool Street NH 03051-4249		Receipt	599,239 tgoodwyn
		Description		Current Invoice	Payment	Balanc	e Due
	1.00	ZBA Amended Applicat 15 Tolles Street Map/Lot 173-022-000	ion- 06/25/20	-			
		Variance-Amended		0.00	166.6500 Total:		0.00 166.65
	Remitter		Рау Туре	Reference	Tendered	Change	Net Paid
	Panciocco Law, LLC		CHECK	TREASR CHK 5300271	06 166.65	0.00	166.65
				Т	otal Due:		166.65
				Т	otal Tendered:		166.65

Total Change: Net Paid: 0.00

166.65

HUDSON ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT Variance Decision Work Sheet (Rev 11-06-18)

On **06/25/20**, the Zoning Board of Adjustment heard Case **173-022 (deferred from 05/28/20)**, being a case brought by **Turbo Realty, LLC by Patricia M. Panciocco, One Club Acre Lane, Bedford, NH** for an amended Variance application at **15 Tolles St., Hudson, NH to request a one-year extension from the previously imposed June 7, 2020 deadline, to submit a complete site plan application to the Hudson Planning Board.** [Map 173, Lot 022-000; Zoned Town Residence (TR); HZO Article V, Permitted Uses, §334-21, Table of Permitted Principal Uses].

After reviewing the petition, hearing all of the evidence, and taking into consideration any personal knowledge of the property in question, the undersigned member of the Zoning Board of Adjustment sitting for this case made the following determination:

Y	Ν	1. Granting of the requested variance will not be contrary to the public interest, since the proposed use does not conflict with the explicit or implicit purpose of the ordinance and does not alter the essential character of the neighborhood, threaten public health, safety, or welfare, or otherwise injure "public rights."
.		
Y	N	2. The proposed use will observe the spirit of the ordinance, since the proposed use does not conflict with the explicit or implicit purpose of the ordinance and does not alter the essential character of the neighborhood, threaten public health, safety, or welfare, or otherwise injure "public rights."
Y	Ν	3. Substantial justice would be done to the property-owner by granting the variance, and the benefits to the property owner are not outweighed by harm to the general public or to other individuals.
Y	Ν	4. The proposed use will not diminish the values of surrounding properties.
Y	Ν	5. Special conditions exist such that literal enforcement of the ordinance would result in unnecessary hardship , either because the restriction applied to the property by the ordinance does not serve the purpose of the restriction in a "fair and reasonable" way <u>and</u> <u>also</u> because the special conditions of the property cause the proposed use to be reasonable, or, alternatively, there is no reasonable use that can be made of the property that would be permitted under the ordinance, because of the special conditions of the property.
Meml Signe	d:	on:
Stinu		ing member of the Hudson ZBA Date
Supu		

Land Use Division



12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

Zoning Administrator Staff Report Meeting Date: June 25, 2020 384-11-29

<u>Case 158-035 (06-25-20)</u>: Carolyn Sassak, 145 Highland Street, Hudson, NH requests a Home Occupation Special Exception for a home beauty salon business in the garage of the primary residence. [Map 158, Lot 035-000; Zoned Residential-Two (R-2); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-24, Home Occupations].

Summary:

Applicant is requesting a Home Occupation Special Exception to conduct a home based beauty salon within the existing attached garage.

Property description:

This lot is a legal non-conforming lot with regards to the area requirements. The use as a single family is also a legal existing use.

In-house (Town) review/comments:

Fire Dept: none received Engineering: yes Town Planner: none received Zoning Administrator: yes

History: Assessing: Listed as single family

Attachments:

"A" Assessing record."B" Town Engineer in-house review/comments."C" Zoning Administrator comment

Previous Assessments							
Year			1			Special Land	
	101 - ONE FAMILY		0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	183,200	0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	183,200	0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	183,200	0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	183,200	0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	183,200	0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	142,800	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
	101 - ONE FAMILY	183,200	0	98,900	0.82	0.00	282,100
	101 - ONE FAMILY	142,800	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
	101 - ONE FAMILY	<u></u>	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
2015	101 - ONE FAMILY	142,800	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
j	101 - ONE FAMILY	142,800	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
	101 - ONE FAMILY	142,800	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
		142,800	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	237,200
2013	101 - ONE FAMILY	138,100	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	232,500
2013	101 - ONE FAMILY	138,100	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	232,500
		138,100	0	94,400	0.82	0.00	232,500
2012	101 - ONE FAMILY	156,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	282,400
2011	101 - ONE FAMILY	156,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	282,400
2011	101 - ONE FAMILY	156,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	282,400
2010	101 - ONE FAMILY	156,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	282,400
2010	101 - ONE FAMILY	156,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	282,400
2009	101 - ONE FAMILY	157,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	283,400
2008	101 - ONE FAMILY	157,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	283,400
2008	101 - ONE FAMILY	157,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	283,400
2007	101 - ONE FAMILY	157,600	0	125,800	0.82	0.00	283,400
2007	101 - ONE FAMILY	165,800	0	89,400	0.82	0.00	255,200
2006	101 - ONE FAMILY	165,800	0	89,400	0.82	0.00	255,200
2006	101 - ONE FAMILY	164,500	0	89,400	0.82	0.00	253,900
2005	101 - ONE FAMILY	164,500	0	89,400	0.82	0.00	253,900
2005	101 - ONE FAMILY	164,500	0	89,400	0.82	0.00	253,900
2004	101 - ONE FAMILY	164,500	0	89,400	0.82	0.00	253,900
2004	101 - ONE FAMILY	134,500	0	68,100	0.82	0.00	202,600
2003	101 - ONE FAMILY	134,500	0		0.82	0.00	202,600
2003	101 - ONE FAMILY		0			0.00	202,600
2002	101 - ONE FAMILY	134,500				0.00	202,600
2002	101 - ONE FAMILY	134,800	0			0.00	206,700
2001	101 - ONE FAMILY	85,700	0	47,600		0.00	133,300
2000						0.00	133,300
1999						0.00	133,300

Previous Assessments



ZONING ADMINISTRATOR REQUEST FOR INTER DEPARTMENT REVIEW TOWN OF HUDSON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

REQUEST FOR REVIEW/COMMENTS:

Case: 158-035 (06-25-20)

Property Location: 145 Highland Street

	For Town Use	
Plan Routing Date: 06/12/2020	_Reply requested by: <u>06/16/2020_ZB</u> A	A Hearing Date: 06/25/2020
I have no comments	I have comments (see below	w)
EZD Name: ELvis	Dhima, P.E.,	Date: 06/15/2020
(Initials)	Fire/Health Department	Town Planner

 The property currenty is connected to Town water only.
 The applicant shall provide a statement, stamped by a licensed septic system designer, related to the existing septic system capability to handle the additonal sewer flow related to the proposed busness.

r B

Land Use Division



12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

Zoning Administrator Comments 8, 1-20

<u>Case 158-035 (06-25-20)</u>: Carolyn Sassak, 145 Highland Street, Hudson, NH requests a Home Occupation Special Exception for a home beauty salon business in the garage of the primary residence. [Map 158, Lot 035-000; Zoned Residential-Two (R-2); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-24, Home Occupations].

Summary:

Applicant is requesting a Home Occupation Special Exception to conduct a home based beauty salon within the existing attached garage.

Comment:

The applicant is removing the garage capability, therefore the parking arrangement should be evaluated for the applicant's vehicles as well as patron's vehicles.

I would suggest applicant consult with Town Engineer as to a possible turnaround be incorporated within the existing driveway to facilitate a safer egress/exit from property.

From the Z.O. §334-24 L: Parking.

(1) Parking for the home occupation shall be provided off-street and shall not be located in the front yard or within the required setbacks from the side and rear lot lines. Only the existing driveway may be used for the parking of customers. Customer parking shall be limited to a maximum of two vehicles at any one time.

SPECI	OR A HOME OCCUPATION AL EXCEPTION	
To: Zoning Board of Adjustment	Entries in this box are to be filled out by Land Use Division personnel Case No. $158-035(06-25-20)$ Date Filed $6/4/20$	
Name of Applicant Carolyn Sassak	Map: 158 Lot: 035 Zoning District: R-2	
Telephone Number (Home) 603-557-12	214 (Work)	
Mailing Address 145 Highland	St. Hudson, NH 03051	
Owner David & Carolyn Sassak	{	
Location of Property145 Highland St. H	Hudson, NH 03051	
(Moly Street Addre	ss) May 28, 2020	
Signature of Applicant	Date	
Dava Sasal CIMPLIN	Alla May 28,2020	
Signature of Property-Owner(s)	Date	

NOTE: Fill in all portions of the Application Form(s) as appropriate. This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if space provided is inadequate. If you are not the property owner, you must provide written documentation signed by the property owner(s) to confirm that the property owner(s) are allowing you to speak on his/her/their behalf or that you have permission to seek the described home occupation special exception.

Items in this box are to be filled out by Land Use Division pe	
COST: $\$130.00$ 4 Direct Abutters x $\$4.05 =$ $\cancel{24.60}$ 4 Indirect Abutters x $\$0.55 =$ $\cancel{2.20}$	Date received: <u>6/4/20</u>
$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	Amt. received: \$ 156.80 Cht #202
Received by:	Receipt No.: 597,991
By determination of the Zoning Administrator or Building Inspec Departmental review is required:	ctor, the following
Engineering Fire Department Heal	th Officer Planner

TOWN OF HUDSON, NH NOTICE TO APPLICANTS

The following **requirements/checklist** pertain to the Town of Hudson, NH, Zoning Board of Adjustment applications, as applicable:

Applicant Initials		Staff Initials
CS	The applicant must provide 13 copies including the original of the filled-out application form, together with this checklist and any required attachments listed. (Paper clips, no staples)	76.
CS	Before making the 13 copies, please review the application with the Zoning Administrator or staff.	TG-
CS	A separate application shall be submitted for each request, with a separate	TG
	application fee for each request i.e.: Variance, Special Exception, Home Occupation Special Exception, Wetland Special Exception, Appeal from an Administrative Decision, and Equitable Waiver but only one abutter notification fee will be charged for multiple requests. If paying by check, make the check payable to the Town of Hudson.	
n/a 	If the applicant is not the property owner(s), the applicant must provide to the Town written authorization, signed and dated by the property owner(s), to allow the applicant or any representative to apply on the behalf of the property owner(s). (NOTE : if such an authorization is required, the Land Use Division will not process the application until this document has been supplied.)	NA
	Provide two (2) sets of mailing labels from the abutter notification lists (Pages 4 & 5) prepared by applicant, with the proper mailing addresses, must be dated within (30) thirty days of submittal of the application. The abutter lists can be obtained from the assessor's office. (NOTE: the Land Use Division cannot process your application without the abutter lists. It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that the abutter lists are complete and correct. If at the time of the hearing any applicable property owner is found not to have been notified because the lists are incomplete or incorrect, the Zoning Board will defer the hearing to a later date, following notification of such abutters.)	16-
CS	A copy of both sides of the assessor's card shall be provided. (NOTE: these copies are available from the Assessor's Office)	Tb-
CS	A copy of the Zoning Administrator's correspondence confirming either that the requested use is not permitted or that action by the Zoning Board of Adjustment is required must be attached to your application.	TG
n/a	For a Wetland Special Exception, a letter or a copy of the relevant decision from the Hudson Conservation Commission shall be attached to the application for existing single-family and duplex residential uses. All other Wetland Special Exceptions (multifamily, commercial, or industrial uses) must have letters both from the Conservation Commission and from the Planning Board.	NA

n/a	PLOT PLAN-	
1	Except for requests pertaining to above-ground pools, sheds, decks and use variances, μ/A	
	the application must include a copy of a certified plot plan from a licensed land	_
	surveyor. The required plot plan shall include all of the items listed below. Pictures and	1
	construction plans will also be helpful. (NOTE: it is the responsibility of the applicant	
	to make sure that all of the requirements are satisfied. The application may be deferred if all items are not satisfactorily submitted):	- 61
a)	The plot plan shall be drawn to scale on an 8 1/2" x 11" or 11" x 17" sheet with a North	-d)
/	pointing arrow shown on the plan.	/
b)	The plot plan shall be up-to date and dated, and shall be no more than three years old.	
c)	The plot plan shall have the signature and the name of the preparer, with his/her/their	
	seal.	
d)	The plot plan shall include lot dimensions and bearings, with any bounding streets and	
	with any rights-of-way and their widths as a minimum, and shall be accompanied by a	
	copy of the GIS map of the property. (NOTE: copies of the GIS map can be obtained at	
	the Land Use Division.)	
e)	The plot plan shall include the location and dimensions of existing or required services,	
	the area (total square footage), all buffer zones, natural features, any landscaped areas,	
	any recreation areas, any safety zones, all signs, streams or other wetland bodies, and	
_	any drainage easements.	
f)	The plot plan shall include all existing buildings or other structures, together with their	
	dimensions and the distances from the lot lines, as well as any encroachments.	
g)	The plot plan shall include all proposed buildings, structures, or additions, marked as	
	"PROPOSED," together with all applicable dimensions and encroachments.	
h)	The plot plan shall show the building envelope as defined from all the setbacks required	
	by the zoning ordinance.	
i)	The plot plan shall indicate all parking spaces and lanes, with dimensions.	

The applicant has signed and dated this form to show his/her awareness of these requirements.

anolyn Chrod Signature of Applicant(s)

May 28, 2020

Date

The Land Use Division will schedule a public hearing at the next available meeting of the Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment for your properly-completed application. Applications are scheduled on a first-come, firstserved basis. Public notice of the hearing will be posted on public bulletin boards in the Town Hall, the Post Office, and the Rogers Library and also printed in a newspaper, and a notice will be mailed to the applicant, all abutters, and any other parties whom the Board may deem to have an interest.

After the public hearing, the Board will deliberate and then reach a decision either to grant the request (perhaps with stipulations to make it palatable) or to deny the request—or to defer final action to another meeting, or perhaps to accept a request for withdrawal. You will be sent a Notice of Decision during the following week.

If you believe that the Board's decision is wrong, you have the right to appeal. In addition, any third party/parties affected by the decision also has/have the right to appeal the decision of your case. To appeal, you must first ask the Board for a rehearing; this motion for rehearing may be in the form of a letter to the Board. The rehearing request must be made in writing within thirty (30) days following the Board's decision, and must set forth the grounds on which it is claimed the decision is unlawful or unreasonable.

The Board may grant such a rehearing if, in the Board's opinion, good reason is stated in the motion. In general, the Board will not allow a rehearing unless a majority of its sitting members conclude either that the protested decision was illegal or unreasonable or that the request for rehearing demonstrates the availability of new evidence that was not available at the original hearing. The Board will not reopen a case based on the same set of facts unless it is convinced that an injustice would be created by not doing so. Whether or not a rehearing is held, you must have requested one before you can appeal the decision to the Court(s). When a rehearing is held, the same procedure is followed as for the first hearing, including public notice and notice to abutters.

Please refer to NH RSA Chapter 677 for more detail on rehearing and appeal procedures.

ALL DIRECT ABUTTERS

List name(s) and mailing addresses of the owner(s) of record of the property and all direct abutters as of the time of the last assessment of taxation made by the Town of Hudson, including persons whose property is either contiguous or separated from the subject tract of land by a street or stream. If at the time of your hearing any applicable property owner is found not to have been notified because your lists are incorrect or incomplete, the Zoning Board will defer your hearing to a later date, following notification of such abutters. (Use additional copies of this page if necessary)

MAP	LOT	NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER	MAILING ADDRESS
158	003	Mark Evans	22 Goonan Rd. Hooksett, NH 03106
158	034	Christopher & Renee Callery	147 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
167	049	Patrick H, Verhoff TR Patrick H. Verhoff Rev. Trust	141 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
158	002	Steven Tate	140 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
158	020	Ronald & Robyn Descoteau	5 Glover Brook Lane Hudson, NH 03051
158	035	David & Carolyn Sassak	145 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
			5

ALL INDIRECT ABUTTERS WITHIN 200 FEET

List name(s) and mailing addresses of all indirect abutters (those whose property is not contiguous but is within 200 feet from the property in question) as of the time of the last assessment of taxation made by the Town of Hudson. If at the time of your hearing any applicable property owner is found not to have been notified because your lists are incorrect or incomplete, the Zoning Board will defer your hearing to a later date, following notification of such abutters. (Use additional copies of this page if necessary)

1	NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER	MAILING ADDRESS
004-001	Marie Gautreau	148 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
004-002	James & Annie Covey	150 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
033	Daniel & Gail McGillvary	149 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
050	Kevin & Pamela Moreau	139 Highland St. Hudson, NH 03051
	004-002 033	004-002 James & Annie Covey 033 Daniel & Gail McGillvary

SENDER:	1	TOWN OF HUDSO 12 SCHOOL STRI HUDSON, NH 030	EET	US POSTAL SERVICE - CERTIFIED MAIL	Case# 158-035 Home Occupation SE145 Highland StreetMap 158/Lot 035-0001 of 1
		ARTICLE NUM	IBER	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address	6/25/2020 ZBA Meeting
1	201.8	2290 0001		DAVID M. SASSAK; CAROLYN M. SASSAK	APPLICANT/OWNER-NOTICE MAILED
				145 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
2	7018	2290 0001	3001 3928	CHRISTOPHER M. CALLERY; RENEE L. CALLERY	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
	1020			147 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
3	2018	7000 0622	3001 3959	RONALD E. DESCOTEAU; ROBYN DESCOTEAU	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
	1020			5 GLOVER BROOK LANE, HUDSON, NH 03051	
4	701.A	1000 0625	3001, 391,1,	MARK R. EVANS	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
	1020			22 GOONAN RD., HOOKSETT, NH 03106	
5	2018	2290 0001	3001 3942	STEVEN W. TATE	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
	1010			140 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
6	7018	5540 0007	3001 3935	PATRICK H. VERHOFF, TR.; PATRICK H. VERHOFF REV. TRUST	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
				141 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051	
7					
8					0351-9998
					0051-5000
9					0
10		1 State State State			NOS SON
					S S
11	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	S Des Standard			
					17 · J2
12					
		Total Number sender 6	of pieces listed by	Total number of pieces rec'vd at Post Office	Postmaster (receiving Employee)

SENDER:	TOWN OF HUDSON 12 SCHOOL STREET HUDSON, NH 03051	US POSTAL SERVICE - FIRST CLASS MAIL	Case# 158-035 Home Occupation SE145 Highland StreetMap 158/Lot 035-0001 of 1		
	ARTICLE NUMBER	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address	6/25/2020 ZBA Meetin		
1	Mailed First Class	DANIEL R. MCGILLVARY; GAIL MCGILLVARY	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED		
		149 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051			
2	Mailed First Class	KEVIN C. MOREAU,TR.; PAMELA A. MOREAU,TR; MOREAU FAMILY TRUST	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED		
		139 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051			
3	Mailed First Class	JAMES L. COVEY; ANNIE M. COVEY	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED		
		150 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051			
4	Mailed First Class	MARIE GAUTREAU	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED		
		148 HIGHLAND STREET, HUDSON, NH 03051			
5					
6					
7					
8					
0			ANAEA		
9			WH 03057-995		
10					
10			7 2020		
11			(<u>F</u> 1, <u>1</u> 2000)		
11			17 2020 JUN 17 2020		
12					
			-9		
13			USPS		
14					
15					
	Total Number of pieces listed by sender 4	Total number of pieces rec'vd at Post Office	Postmaster (receiving Employee)		

For any appeal, the application form must be properly filled out. The application form is intended to be self-explanatory, but be sure that you show:

- 1. Who owns the property. If the applicant is not the owner, please include a statement from the owner that you have permission to speak on his/her behalf.
- 2. Where the property is located.
- 3. What you propose to do. Supply twelve copies of an 8.5" x 11" or 11" x 17" scale plan which gives lot area, frontage, side and rear lot likes, natural features, existing and proposed structures, alteration to the lot, and distance to lot lines or wetlands. Pictures and construction plans are helpful.
- 4. Why your proposed use requires an appeal. Please fill out the attached appeal forms completely. Include why the appeal should be granted.
- 5. List of abutters, per NH RSA 672:3. This includes property and the name(s) of the owner(s) of properties which physically touch the subject property, across the street and kitty-corner to the subject property.

Prepare a list of all abutting property owners using the Assessing Office records, and attach it to your application. The accuracy of the list is your responsibility. If the list is found to be incorrect, you may be required to appear before the ZBA a second time, at your expense.

6. Deliver the completed application, with all attachments, to the office of the Zoning Administrator. A fee is charged sufficient to cover the cost of preparing and mailing the legally required notices. If paying by check, make the check payable to the Town of Hudson and submit with your application.

The Zoning Office will schedule a public hearing within thirty (30) days of receipt of your **properly-completed** application. Applications are scheduled on a first come, first serve basis. **Only completed applications will be scheduled for a hearing.** Public notice of the hearing will be posted and printed in a newspaper, and a notice will be mailed to you, all abutters and other parties whom the Board may deem to have an interest.

After the public hearing, the Board will reach a decision. You will be sent a Notice of Decision.

If you believe that the Board's decision is wrong, you have the right to appeal. Any party affected has the right to appeal the decision of your case. To appeal, you just first ask the Board for a rehearing; this motion for rehearing may be in the form of a letter to the Board. The motion must be made within thirty (30) days of the Board's decision, and must set forth the grounds on which it is claimed the decision is unlawful or unreasonable.

The Board may grant such a rehearing if, in its' opinion, good reason is stated in the motion. The Board will not reopen a case based on the same set of facts unless it is convinced that an injustice would be created by not doing so. Whether or not a rehearing is held, you must have requested one before you can appeal the decision to the Court(s). When a rehearing is held, the same procedure is followed as for the first hearing, including public notice and notice to abutters.

See NH RSA · Chapter 677 for more detail on rehearing and appeal procedures.

Requests before the Board may require connection to the municipal sewer system. Please contact the Town Engineer prior to submittal of this application to determine if connection is required, and the procedures for such application.

APPLICATION FOR A HOME OCCUPATION SPECIAL EXCEPTION

A home occupation is a sales or service operation for goods produced or services provided on-site and is permitted only as a special exception upon approval by the Zoning Board of Adjustment. In granting such an exception, the Board must find the home occupation to be in full compliance with the requirements listed below.

Please explain, in detail, the nature of your home business.

I am a licensed Cosmetologist in NH, I am looking to have a legal home beauty salon offering Hair color, Hair cuts and EyeLash Extensions.

I will have regular inspections from the State Board of Cosmetology.

Is the home occupation secondary to the principal use of the home as the business owners' residence? Please explain.

The Home Occupation of Beauty Salon is Secondary to the home's principal use of primary residence

Will the home occupation business be carried on within the residence and/or within a structure accessory to the residence? Please explain.

The Home Occupation of Beauty Salon will be carried out in Garage of primary residence.

Other than the sign(s) permitted under Article XII, will there be exterior display or other exterior indications of the home occupation? Will there be any variation from the primarily residential character of the principal or accessory building? Please explain.

Only use of sign permitted for Home Occupation.

No changes to the existing exterior except to change existing garage door into windows and door so customers can walk in and out

APPLICATION FOR A HOME OCCUPATION SPECIAL EXCEPTION (CONTINUED)

Will there be exterior storage and will it be screened from neighboring views by a solid fence or by evergreens of adequate height and bulk at the time of planting to effectively screen the area? In situations where a combination of existing foliage and/or long distances to neighboring views provide screening, the fencing requirements may be waived at the discretion of the Board. Please explain how you will comply.

No exterior storage

Will there be noise, vibrations, dust, smoke, electrical disturbances, odors, heat or glare produced? Please explain, and if there will be electrical disturbances, describe the frequency.

None

Will the traffic generated by the home occupation activity be substantially greater in volume that would normally be expected in the neighborhood? Please explain the expected traffic to your business. Approximately 15-20 cars per week, Some of whom already travel Highland Street,

based on the past several years of customer count per week

1 car at a time in the driveway and 1 client at a time. No Double Booking

Where will customer/client parking for the home occupation be located? Please explain.

Parking in the existing driveway. 1 car at a time. I will stagger appointments so there isn't overlap of customers waiting.

Who will be conducting the home occupation? Please explain.

Home owner, Carolyn Sassak

Will there be a vehicle(s) for the home occupation? Please explain the type and number of vehicle(s).

NO

Land Use Division



12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

Zoning Determination #20-049

May 27, 2020

Carolyn Sassak 145 Highland St Hudson, NH 03051

Re: <u>145 Highland St Map 158 Lot 035-000</u> District: Residential Two (R-2)

Dear Ms.Sassak,

Your request: If you can have a home occupation business as a home salon?

Zoning Review / Determination:

This lot is a legal non-conforming lot with regards to the area requirements of §334-32 <u>Table of Dimensional Requirements</u>. The use as a single family is also a legal existing use. Your proposal would require a Home Occupation Special Exception from the Zoning Board of Adjustment and would need to meet the requirements in Article VI, §334-24 Home Occupations.

Sincerely, Butte

Bruce Buttrick, MCP Zoning Administrator/Code Enforcement Officer (603) 816-1275 bbuttrick@hudsonnh.gov

Encl: Home Occupation Special Exception cc: Public File File

NOTE: this determination may be appealed to the Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment within 30 days of the receipt of this letter.

		TION	L	от		SUE		0055	S ADD	RAISAL	SUMM				CA	RD		H	ludso	on		USE	KAISEI VALUI ESSEI	E:	282, 282, 282,	100/	282,10 282,10 282,10
ROPERT No	Alt No	TION	Dire	ection/St	reet/City		Use				Building \		Yard It	ems	Land V	/alue	Total	Value		Legal Desc	crintio			User.			,
145	AILNO	ысы		r, HUDS			101	ouue	Lanu	0.820		183,200	Turu II	cino	Eand	98,900	Total	282,100		Leyal Dest	criptio	/11		340			
		INONE								0.020														GIS			
WNERSI				Unit #:	-		_↓																-	010	IVEI		
Owner 1: S	and the second se	A Real Property lies of the local division o	Concession of the local division of the loca																				-	GIS	Def		~
Owner 2: S	ASSAK, (CAROLY	NM.				Total C	ard		0.820		183,200	1			98,900		282,100		Entered L	ot Size			615	Ref		
Owner 3:							Total P			0.820		183,200				98,900		282,100		Total Land:	0.82				D	Dati	int
Street 1: 1	45 HIGHL	AND ST	REET				and a supervision of the supervi	all and the second	Market	Adj Cost			alue per	SQ unit	/Card:	158.13	/Par	cel: 158.13	Lan	d Unit Type:	- CONTRACTOR - CON		******	Insp	Date	Propert	
Street 2:								Jour oo.	manier									1			70		07	7/14/09		USER DEFIN	
wn/City: H	IUDSON						PREV	IOUS	ASSE:	SSMENT							Pa	arcel ID	158-035-0	000				3051	!	Prior Id # 1: 0	
St/Prov: N	ΙH	Cr	ntry		Own O	cc:	Tax Y	Use	Cat	Bldg Value	Yrd I	tems	Land Size	Land \	Value T	otal Value	Allowing step in the start of	'd Value	0.00	otes		Date				the second se	in the second seco
Postal: 0	3051				Type:		2020	101	JB	183,2	200	0	.8	2 9	98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye	ear End Ro	oll	5/6/202	20	PR	INT		Prior Id # 2: 0	
REVIOUS	SOWNE	R					2019	101	FV	183,2	200	0	.8	2 9	98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye	ear End Ro	bll	9/16/20		Provide and the	Date	Time	Prior Id # 3: 0	000
Owner 1: S			an durace in				2019	101	JB	183,2	200	0	.8		98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye			5/8/201				08:22:47	Prior Id # 1:	
Owner 2:	, tooran, I						2018	101	FV	183,2		0	.8		98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye			8/27/20		L		1	Prior Id # 2:	
Street 1: 1	45 HIGHI	AND ST	REET				2018	101	JB	183,2	200	0	.8		98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye			5/9/201			ST RE		Prior Id # 3:	
wn/City:				_			2017	101	FV	183,2	200	0	.8		98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye			10/26/2		1.17	Date	Time	Prior Id # 1:	
		0	otru				2017	101	PV	183,2	200	0	.8		98,900	282,100		282,100 Ye			8/28/20		01/*	11/16	14:27:21	Prior Id # 2:	
St/Prov: N		C	ntry				2017	101	JB	142,8	800	0	.8	2 9	94,400	237,200		237,200 Ye	ear End Ro	bll	5/10/20	017		Imudg	e	Prior Id # 3:	
Postal: C		Star Providence	(Hereiter)				SALE	SINE	ORMA	TION			TAY	DISTR	CT					PA	TACO	CT.		305		ASR Map:	North States
ARRATI	VE DESC	CRIPTIC	ON				JALL	Gran		Legal	Ref 1	уре	Date		Code	Sale P	rice	V Tst V	/erif			Notes		000	1	Fact Dist:	
his parcel of							SACC	AK, DAV		8506-07			18/2012			Juic I		No No								Reval Dist:	
NE FAMIL							0,000	IN, DAN	ω,	2025-04				FAMILY			12	No No									
imarily VI						Unit, 1				2020-04	10	-1/1	0,1000													Year:	
ath, 1 3/4 I	Bath, 0 Ha	ilfBath, 7	Rooms	, and 4 E	sdrms.					2							ł:									LandReason:	
THER A	CEECON	ENTO																								BldReason:	
Code	Descrip/N		Amou	Int	Co	m. Int																				CivilDistrict:	
Code	Descrip/N	0	AIIIOL	uit	00	ana mu	-1																			Ratio:	
										-	_									AOTI		EOD	MATIC	M			
							parte and a second		PERMI				010	ot \ //-**	Ecd O	do E D	orin	0	nmont	ACTIN Date		VFURI	Resu		By	Nan	10
ROPERT	VEACT	OPE					Da	ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE OWNER OWNE	Number					ist Visit	Fed Co	de F.De	and an international states	UTLETS SV	nment			ermit Vis		ш	Ву 15	APPR TECH 5	
	e Desci		%	Item	Code [Description	6/18/2			73 ELECTR		3,700							1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1						10	APPR TECH 5	
	RESD			water 3		OWN WATE	0/0/20			73 INT REN	0	45,400		10000						11		eas/Insp ermit Vis			4	APPRAISER II	
	REOL	, 100		Sewer 0		EPTIC	5/16/2	005 2	005-507	DECK		8,000	6 6/21	/2006			8	x8 DECK - I	MATERI			ermit vis leasured			4	RB	
0					0	LITIO	-41															ew Maps			1	CHIEF ASSES	s
n				Electri																[1] 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		earing -			0	PATRIOT	
Cens				Exmpt																		spected			0	PATRIOT	
Flood H	az. A			Topo 4	-	OLLING	-															eft Notice			0	PATRIOT	
D			+	10.20		OLLING	_															spected				AVITAR	
S				Street																	Contraction of the second					1	
t	OTIONU		line	Gas:																Sign	I: VEI	RIFICAT	ION OF	VISIT NO	DT DATA	_/_	_/
AND SE	CTION (First 7	lines o	only)	1			1.7	Deci	a			Noigh	Noich				1	Δρο	La contra de la co	1	Snec	, d		11	Contract of the second s	
Jse ode	ription	No c	of Units	Depth	Unit	Type La	and Type	LT	Base r Value		Adj	Neigh	Ineign	Mod	Infl 1	% Infl 2	2 %	Infl 3	% App	alue Class	%	Land	Code	Fact	Use Value	Notes	
		act				ACRE SITE		racto	value	0 110,000		RE	initu	NOU	OCATIC	-5	-		Ve	98,857		Lanu	0000			TOPO	
101 ONE	AMILY		0.82		SILE	AURE SITE	-			0 110,000		NE		L	COATIC	-0				00,007					50,000		
												-															
					1011	740			0.404		AUX	Dut				and any -	-		Total:	98,857	Spl C	rodit		Т	otal: 9	98,900	
Total AC/H	A: 0.820	00		I otal SF	/SM: 35	0/19	Par	cel LU	C: 101	ONE FAN	VILY	Prin	ne NB De	SC RES	AVG				TOLAI.	90,007	Shic	reuit			otal. S	,0,000	

Type: 19 - RANCH	Full Bath 1 Rating: AVERAGE	2006 - SMALL DECK ADDED TO BACK OF			
Sty Ht: 1 - ONE STY	A Bath: Rating:	HOUSE BRICK, WALK-OUT BMU, 1 BATH HAS SHOWER NO TUB- VERY SMALL. EXTRA SINK			
(Liv) Units: 1 Total: 1	3/4 Bath: 1 Rating: FAIR	IN BATH	Г		
Foundation: 1 - CONCRETE	A 3QBth Rating:	IN DATH			
Frame: 1 - WOOD	1/2 Bath: Rating:			8 WDK	
Prime Wall: 04 - VINYL	A HBth: Rating:		19	8	50
Sec Wall: 08 - BRICK VEN 30 %		RESIDENTIAL GRID			
Roof Struct: 1 - GABLE	OTHER FEATURES	1st Res Grid Desc: CONV # Units 1	8		
Roof Cover: 1 - ASPH SHING	Kits: 1 Rating: GOOD	Level FY LR DR D K FR RR BR FB HB L O	17		
Color: BRICK/WHITE	A Kits: Rating:	Other			
'iew / Desir:	Frpl: 2 Rating: GOOD	Upper		1	FL
ENERAL INFORMATION	WSFlue: Rating:			I	30 BMT 30
Grade: C - AVERAGE	CONDO INFORMATION		GAR 18		50
Year Blt: 1969 Eff Yr Blt:	Location:	Totals RMs: 7 BRs: 4 Baths: 1 HB	21 GAR 10		
Alt LUC: Alt %:	Total Units:				
Jurisdict: Fact: .	Floor:	REMODELING RES BREAKDOWN		26	8
Const Mod:	% Own:	Exterior: No Unit RMS BRS FL			2 5
Lump Sum Adj:	Name:	Interior: 1 7 4 M	3	34	26
	DEPRECIATION	Additions:			
ITERIOR INFORMATION	Phys Cond: AG - Avg-Good 30.	% Kitchen:			
Avg Ht/FL: STD		% Baths:			
Prim Int Wal 1 - DRYWALL		% Plumbing:			
Sec Int Wall: %		⁸ Electric: T-t-t-			
Partition: T - TYPICAL		Totals			
Prim Floors: 03 - HARDWOOD	Total: 30.4		SUB AREA		SUB AREA DETAIL
Sec Floors: %	CALC SUMMARY		Code Description	Area - SQ Rate - AV	Lindons Value Sub 9/ 9/
Bsmnt Fir: 12 - CONCRETE	Basic \$ / SQ: 105.00	COMPARABLE SALES	BMT BASEMENT	1,784 21.370	38,126 Area Usbl Descrip 70 Qu #Te
Subfloor:	Size Adj.: 1.00448430 Ra	ate Parcel ID Typ Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR	1,784 105.740	188,649 BMT 100 RRM 30 FA
Bsmnt Gar:	Const Adj.: 1.00259876		GAR GARAGE	357 39.430	14,078
Electric: 3 - TYPICAL	Adj \$ / SQ: 105.745		CNP CANOPY	118 38.000	4,484
Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL	Other Features: 16150		WDK WOOD DECK	64 27.640	1,769
Int vs Ext: S	Grade Factor: 1.00				
Heat Fuel: 2 - GAS	NBHD Inf: 1.00000000				
Heat Type: 3 - FORCED HW					
# Heat Sys: 1	LUC Factor: 1.00	tAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Ind.Val	Net Sketched Area:		247,105
% Heated: 100 % AC: 0	Adj Total: 263255	Juris. Factor: Before Depr: 105.74	Size Ad 1784 Gross	s Area 4107 FinAre	a 1784
Solar HW: NO Central Vac: NO	Depreciation: 80030	pecial Features: 0 Val/Su Net: 44.61		IMAGE	
% Com Wal % Sprinkled	Depreciated Total: 183226	Final Total: 183200 Val/Su SzAd 102.69		IMAGE	AssessPro Patriot Properties, Inc
	Model:	Serial # Year:	Color:		
	Model.			1	9. S
PEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS			D 158-035-000		i senter a sector a s
Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size/Dim Qual Con Year Unit Pri	ce D/S Dep LUC Fact NB Fa Appr Value JC	od JFact Juris. Value		W. Brits
					the states and
				and the second s	
				A CARLES AND AND AND AND	
				-	

145 Highland St.





June 1, 2020

----- Easement_Lines

Parcels

Highland St



Google Satellite 145 Highland St.



Proposed Layout Beauty Salon 145 Highland Street 265 SQTH K- VALLY 6 4 Ft Vin -8Ft bin (Sink Culbr Bar 3. Bath Existing Laundry X5 \ Shanpo Chair Existing Kitchen tair hion TANT Existino Shalves blosef? ЧFf Ft Door +Window 6in > E 9Ft bin Existing Driveway 2 cars wide 3 Cars Deep

Proposed door replacement



Printed 6/05/2020 11:19AM Created 6/05/2020 11:16 AM			Town of 12 Sc	t ion Receipt f Hudson, NH shool Street NH 03051-4249		Receipt#	597,991 tgoodwyn
		Description		Current Invoice	Payment	Balance	e Due
	1.00	ZBA Application 145 Highland Street Map/Lot 158-035-000 Home Occupation SE		0.00	156.8000		0.00
					Total:		156.80
	Remitter		Рау Туре	Reference	Tendered	Change	Net Paid
	Carolyn &	David Sassak	CHECK	CHECK # 202	156.80	0.00	156.80
					Total Due:		156.80
					Total Tendered:		156.80
					Total Change:		0.00
					Net Paid:		156.80

HUDSON ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Home Occupation Special Exception Decision Worksheet

On 06/25/20, the Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment heard Case 158-035, being a request by Carolyn Sassak, 145 Highland St., Hudson, NH, to allow for a Home Occupation Special Exception for a home beauty salon business in the garage of the primary residence. [Map 158, Lot 035-000; Zoned Residential-Two (R-2); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-24, Home Occupations].

After reviewing the petition, and after hearing all testimony and documentary evidence supplied by the Applicant(s) and any other interested citizens, and after taking into consideration personal knowledge of the property in question, the undersigned member of the Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment, sitting for this case, made the following determinations.

The intended use for which a Home Occupation Special Exception has been requested complies with the definition of a home occupation as an accessory use which by custom has been carried entirely within a dwelling unit, which is incidental and subordinate to the dwelling use, and which complies with the requirements of §334-24 as follows:

- Y N The proposed use is a sales / service operation for goods produced or services provided on-site.
- Y N The proposed use shall be secondary to the principal use of the home as the business owner's residence.
- Y N The proposed use shall be carried on within the residence and / or accessory structure.
- Y N Other than the home occupation sign(s) permitted under Article XII, Section 334-67, there shall not be any exterior display nor other exterior indication of the home occupation, and there shall not be any variation from the primarily residential character of the principal or accessory building.
- Y N There shall not be any exterior storage, unless permitted by a special exception (if permitted, must be screened from neighboring views by a solid fence or by evergreens of adequate height and bulk at the time of planting to effectively screen the area, unless this requirement is waiver by the Board because of existing foliage and / or long distances).
- Y N There shall not be any objectionable circumstances (such as noise, vibrations, dust smoke, electrical disturbances, odors, heat or glare) produced as the result of this proposed use.
- Y N Traffic generated by the proposed home occupation activity shall not be substantially greater in volume than would normally be expected in the neighborhood.
- Y N Parking provided for the home occupation activity shall be off-street, located outside of the setback areas and / or the front yard, in driveways or paved areas, and limited to no more than two vehicles at one time.
- Y N The home occupation shall be conducted only by residents of the dwelling.
- Y N Excluding any personal vehicle that can also be used for business purposes, parking of no more than one business vehicle (limited to no more than 13,000 pounds with adequate screening in the B, G. and I zones) shall occur.

Signed:

Sitting Member of the Hudson ZBA



Land Use Division



12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

Zoning Administrator Staff Report Meeting Date: June 25, 2020 。 みしんパン

<u>Case 204-002 (06-25-20)</u>: Keri Demers, 23 Dexter Street, Nashua, NH requests a Special Exception for 153 Lowell Rd, Hudson, NH to allow a dog day care, grooming, animal rescue services, retail sales, community pet education and kennel (day & overnight boarding) facility. [Map 204, Lot 002-000; Zoned Business (B); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-23, General Requirements]

Summary:

Applicant requests a Special Exception to allow a Dog Daycare and Boarding facility which will have uses of retail grooming, training, community pet education and kennel/boarding of dogs (day & overnight), because of the "boarding aspect", I have made a Zoning Determination that this proposal constitutes as a "kennel" and according to the Table of Permitted Principal Uses (334-21) is allowed by Special Exception.

Property description:

This property is currently a developed existing non-conforming lot of record with mixed uses: 1) Existing non-conforming single family residential (A-1), and 2) Medical office/clinic (D-17), With an approved site plan for such uses.

In-House review/comments:

Fire Dept: None requested (will be a site plan review) Planner: None requested (will be a site plan review) Engineering: None requested (will be a site plan review) Animal Control Officer: Reviewed: "no comment"

History:

Assessing: Mixed use: SFR (residential) and Office Planning Board: 4-1987 Site plan Building Permits: Dec 6 1982 # 167-83 8 x 16 shed; C.O. 2/22/1988 20 x 36 addition

Attachments:

"A" Assessing record
"B" Dec 6 1982 # 167-83 8 x 16 shed
"C" C.O. 2/22/1988 20 x 36 addition
"D" Animal Control Officer 6/13/20 email
"E" 4-1987 Site plan

Year	Code		Yard Items		Acres	Special Land	Total
			5,600		0.30	0.00	222,624
	340 - OFFICE	65,052	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,024
		<u> </u>	5,600		0.30	0.00	222,624
	340 - OFFICE	65,052	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,076
2019	101 - ONE FAMILY		5,600	101,376	0.30	0.00	222,624
in the second se	340 - OFFICE	65,052	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,076
2018	101 - ONE FAMILY		5,600	101,376	0.30	0.00	222,624
2018	340 - OFFICE	65,052	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,076
2018	101 - ONE FAMILY	115,648	5,600	101,376	0.30	0.00	222,624
2018	340 - OFFICE	65,052	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,076
2017	101 - ONE FAMILY	115,648	5,600	101,376	0.30	0.00	222,624
2017	340 - OFFICE	65,052	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,076
2017	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
2017	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
2017	101 - ONE FAMILY	116,992	5,600	101,376	0.30	0.00	223,968
2017	340 - OFFICE	65,808	0	57,024	0.17	0.00	122,832
2016	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
2016	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
2016	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
2015	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
J		102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
2014	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
<u></u>	101 - ONE FAMILY	102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
		102,592	5,900	87,360	0.30	0.00	195,852
	340 - OFFICE	57,708	0	49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
	101 - ONE FAMILY				0.30	0.00	195,852
<u> </u>	340 - OFFICE	í.		49,140	0.17	0.00	106,848
			5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	225,960
	340 - OFFICE				0.17	0.00	123,840
	·····	ş	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
i	340 - OFFICE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
<u> </u>			5,800		0.30	0.00	224,744
2011	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156

Previous Assessments

¥8
Year	Code	Building	Yard Items	Land Value	Acres	Special Land	Total
2010	101 - ONE FAMILY	86,848	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
2010	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
2010	101 - ONE FAMILY	86,848	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
2010	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
2009	101 - ONE FAMILY	86,848	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
2009	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
2008	101 - ONE FAMILY	86,848	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
2008	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
2008	101 - ONE FAMILY	86,848	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
2008	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
2007	101 - ONE FAMILY	86,848	5,800	132,096	0.30	0.00	224,744
2007	340 - OFFICE	48,852	0	74,304	0.17	0.00	123,156
2007	101 - ONE FAMILY	83,328	5,400	101,696	0.30	0.00	190,424
2007	340 - OFFICE	46,872	0	57,204	0.17	0.00	104,076
2006	101 - ONE FAMILY	83,328	5,400	101,696	0.30	0.00	190,424
2006	340 - OFFICE	46,872	0	57,204	0.17	0.00	104,076
2006	101 - ONE FAMILY	83,328	5,400	101,632	0.30	0.00	190,360
2006	340 - OFFICE	46,872	0	57,168	0.17	0.00	104,040
2005	101 - ONE FAMILY	83,328	5,400	101,632	0.30	0.00	190,360
2005	340 - OFFICE	46,872	0	57,168	0.17	0.00	104,040
2005	101 - ONE FAMILY	83,328	5,400	101,632	0.30	0.00	190,360
2005	340 - OFFICE	46,872	0	57,168	0.17	0.00	104,040
2004	101 - ONE FAMILY	83,328	5,400	101,632	0.30	0.00	190,360
2004	340 - OFFICE	46,872	0	57,168	0.17	0.00	104,040
2004	101 - ONE FAMILY	72,256	3,900	84,672	0.30	0.00	160,828
	340 - OFFICE	40,644	0	47,628	0.17	0.00	88,272
2003	101 - ONE FAMILY	72,256	3,900	84,672	0.30	0.00	160,828
2003	340 - OFFICE	40,644	0	47,628	0.17	0.00	88,272
2003	101 - ONE FAMILY	72,256	3,900	84,672	0.30	0.00	160,828
2003	340 - OFFICE	40,644	0	47,628	0.17	0.00	88,272
2002	101 - ONE FAMILY	72,256	3,900	84,672	0.30	0.00	160,828
2002	340 - OFFICE	40,644	0	47,628	0.17	0.00	88,272
2002	101 - ONE FAMILY	80,256	3,900	94,080	0.30	0.00	178,236
2002	340 - OFFICE	45,144	0	52,920	0.17	0.00	98,064
2001	101 - ONE FAMILY	91,000	0	99,000		0.00	190,000
2000	MXU - N/A	90,600	400	99,000	0.47	0.00	190,000
1999	MXU - N/A	123,300	1,200	117,900	0.47	0.00	242,400

"Å"2

No. No. 19 1.	Name of Owner	Description			mer difference		is subject to the conditions and unless work thereunder shall have	L NOT be occupied until a		Administrative Officer	
Town of Hudson, N. H. Office of Town Building Inspector BUILDING PERMIT				at and known as	and the Reveree Rever	o that end.	This permit is issued on application number	This Permit is issued under the condition that this building WILL NOT be occupied until	Certificate of occupancy is obtained from the Building Inspector.		
	This certuries that	en bestaar en de regelije een d	of Building	on premises located at an to		and to do things lawful to tha	This permit is issued on a thereof and to the provisions been commenced within 60 d	This Permit is issued un	Certificate of occupancy is ob	Value \$ <u>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ </u>	H.cc.

7

 \mathbb{R}'_{i}

書句



TOWN OF HUDSON, N. H.

Application for a Permit To Build

Date Det ENER 2 19

Residential	Subdivision	Yes	No	New	Permit Number
Cammerciai 🗸	Planning Bd, Approval Sub Div.	Yes	No	Alter	167-83
Industrial	Water Pollution Approval Sub D	ly. No.	****		
Garage	Septic Construction Permit No.			Addition	
Breezeway	Necessary Bonds Posted	Yes	No	Repair	
No. of Units	l				
	Bd. of Adj. Variance Granted H	r Neec.]		
Name of Owner De. Cost	<u>et Geruße</u> a	ddress -	<u> 153</u>	Lovie 640	— Tel. <u>392-3722</u>
Land Purchased From Frank	* *				
Location 153 Lovier	<u>c 1240</u> P	roperty	Tax N	lo 7525-2	Se 4325
Name of General Contractor	andres N	1ap and	Lot	No. 140	¥ - Lot 7
Name of Heating Contr.	N	ame of I	Electri	ical Contractor <u></u>	sæ
Type of Heat	N	ame of 1	Plumb	ing Contractor	XÆ
Name of Fireplace Mason	NE N	ame of l	Mason	ary Contractor 🖉	XE
Material of Building	2 Style of	Roof	4	Roof Cov	ering ASPHACT Sunt
Size of Foundation	Living F	loor Are	2a	No. of Sto	ories
Size of Garage	Water			Sewer	
Foundation Material	Width		Helg	ht Footings	Yes No
Fireplace 🗌 No. of Flues	Size			Chimney Material	
Brief Description of Repair, Alt	er or Other TOOL	[w	00	0 54 <u>e</u> 0	and discussion in water of the same build and discussion in a manual sequence of the same state of the same state
8×16, LARED	ix & Food of	1 -	AL	ee block.	
i lines and		**************************************		A DRIVEWAY	YF, AN APPLICATION EOR PERMIT MUST BE FILED
Value 3 2 gled	, ₂ , 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,			WITH THE CI	VIL-ENGINEER.
for a					ייייייין איז

> OVER Sketch of building, show streets set back from property lines on all sides on other side.

Owner's Signature ... Contractor's Signature Address 153 Lowell



Norp 3-7 Addition Town of HUDSON



OCCUPANCY PERMIT

De Rube N M Z

Owner or Builder

R

Address of Building

PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED TO OCCUPY THIS BUILDING:

Date.

00-61-

No person shall occupy this building until Inspector's approval.

Building Inspector's Approval

	in the state of the second second Second second	a beliarie - Contra Charact Marine Marine			
A LIGHT MARK HE	TOWN OF	HUDSON, N. H.			
	Application fo	r a Permit 1	To Build		
The second se			Date	6-19 19 81	7
Residential Commercial advisital Gerege Breezewey He, of Units Name of Owner _ Collect /	Sebdivision Planning Bd. Approval Sub Water Pailution Approval Septic Construction Percuit Necessary Senda Pested Bd. of Adj. Variance Gran Bd. of Adj. Variance Gran	Sub Div. No. No. Tos No trod if Noc.	Hew Alter Addition Repair 3 Jourell R. M.	Permit Number	232
Land Purchased From		Address		- 1999ء 1999ء 1999ء - 1999 - 1999ء	
Location Huckon V	· H-	Property Tax No			1
Name of General Contractor	h naleant Sonford	Demap and Lot M	No	27,	
Name of Heating Contr. A.C. U		Name of Electric	a se la compañía de l	eph Chouin	and
Type of Heat Force Hot le	later	Name of Plumbi	ng Contractor A.C	Universal	······
Name of Fireplace Mason		·	y Contractor Dan	$\rho \to L\tau$	under
Material of Building	<u></u>	le of Roof asph	AL E	ring Salt Box	
Size of Foundation 20 X	36 Livi	ing Floor Area	nord debt n y iy Belle Beer (n		r screetiers
Size of Garage		ter Town	Sewer	Pentia	
Foundation Material	4.	ith <u>///</u> Heigh	01	No No	
Fireplace 🔲 No. of Flues	Size	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Chimney Material		
3rief Description of Repair, Alte	er or Other	dition to	existing	structure	
			0-		
			ECESSARY, AN APP IVEWAY PERMIT ML	ICATION FOR	
		WITH	THE CIVIL ENGINE	ER.	
	rees that the propose	d work shall be c	tone in accordance	with the foregoing	
talement, and with the plans a vith the building laws and regu nspector when foundation, fram or inspection. I also certify the	nd specifications subn lations of the town of ie, chimneys, fire-stops	nitted; and that the Hudson, and that, and heater-pipes.	work connected ther Maddae will electrical wiring and	ewith shall conform notify the Building plumbing are ready	
OVER			1 20 -	RIA	1
Sketch of building, s	how streets	Owner's Signatu		Slule	
set back from prope all sides on othe	rty lines on	Contractor's Sign	nature Kich ar	VE Madlea	<u>z</u>
A CARACTER AND A CARACTER	i shaci	Address	ALLAND T	-[.5.0-5.48-5.64,52	03060 h

Buttrick, Bruce

From:	J McMillan <k9kamp@hotmail.com></k9kamp@hotmail.com>
Sent:	Saturday, June 13, 2020 8:36 AM
То:	McMillan, Jana; Claydon, John; Goodwyn, Tracy
Cc:	Buttrick, Bruce
Subject:	Re: ZBA Request for Inter-Office Comments-

Hello, Hopefully, this email will suffice. I have no comments.

Thanks, Jana

Get Outlook for Android

From: Goodwyn, Tracy <tgoodwyn@hudsonnh.gov> Sent: Friday, June 12, 2020 3:27:07 PM To: McMillan, Jana <jmcmillan@hudsonnh.gov>; Claydon, John <jclaydon@hudsonnh.gov> Cc: Buttrick, Bruce <bbuttrick@hudsonnh.gov> Subject: ZBA Request for Inter-Office Comments-

Hello John,

I am sending this request to you per Jana's out of office reply message. Jana has had meetings with the applicant for the same request except it was at a different location. This is a Request for Comments from the Zoning Administrator, B. Buttrick. If you have any questions, please contact him directly for further info.

The next ZBA meeting is on Thursday, 06/25/2020. Please review the attached case and provide your comments on the fillable form attached. Please send your replies to my attention. Don't forget to check the appropriate corresponding boxes, i.e. Comments/No Comments, initials, name, date and dept. Please type your comments (if any) in the space below.

We are requesting a reply by Monday, June 15, 2020 if possible. Your assistance is greatly appreciated. Thank you!

Tracy Goodwyn Zoning and Code Enforcement Administrative Aide



Town of Hudson Land Use Division 12 School Street Hudson, NH 03051 Ph: (603) 886-6008 Fax: (603) 594-1142

	OWNER OF RECORD IS ROBERT P. BERUBE, 153 LOWELL ROAD, HUDSON, NH LOGL, D/B/A MERRIMACK VALLEY NEAL TH CENTER	TAX MAP NO.	107 102	HANE AND GUDHESS	DIGITAL EQUIPMENT CORP	
	(BOOK 2905, PAGE 210). TOTAL AREA OF PARCEL IS 20.513 SQUARE FEET:	13	\$	Donald and sure clouter 155 Lowell RD.	IAG MAIN GT. MAYNARD, MA. 01754	
. 3 .	ZORING IS 0-1 BUSINESS	12	\$	Hudson, nh court Disital courtert corp.	N22, 21, 54 E	
	BUILDING SETBACKS - 50' FRONT 15' SIDE AND REAR THE EXISTING LAND USE PRESENTLY CONSISTS OF A 2-STORY	- 1		140 MAINEST. Maynard, Ma 01754		
	RESIDENTIAL AND MEDICAL OFFICE BUSINESS USE BUILDING.	13	\$	REPERT E. JR. AND ALICE E. TATE 147 LOWELL RD. HUDSON, NH MASI		
2000 A	THE DESIGN INTERT IS TO DEVELOP AND EXPAND THE EXISTING. BUILDING BY ADDING A 2-STORY STRUCTURE FOR A TOTAL OF 170 SQUARE FEET PER FLOOR.	. 14	3	ROSEMARIE L BOYER 20 TIGES RD	SLOPE 56/FT BACKYARD SETBACK	
	THE DESIGN INTERT IS TO UTRIZE THE FIRST FLOOR. SECOND FLOOR, AND BASEMENT OF BUILDING FXPANSION AND RASESAMENT OF EXISTING BUILDING FXR MICHIAL OFFICE USE. THE	14	4	HUDSON, NH 69951 NURMAN C. BOYER	DRANAGE FLOW DIRECTION (TYR). PARKING SPACE (TYR)	
- Canada Calanda	FIRST AND SECOND FLOOR OF EXISTING STRUCTURE WILL BE FOR RESIDENTIAL USE	. 54	5	156 Lowell Rd. Hugson, NH 63051 Richard and Mark Charbofineau	SILA DECROSED PEREULAL GARDENS	
and the state of the	MEDICAL OFFICE SPACE WILL TOTAL 1874 GOUARE FEET INEN BUILDING - 1 FLOORS AT 725 SULARE FEET/FLOOR AND EXISTING BASEMENT - 24 X 26 SQUARE FEET.	. 24	3	NCHARD AND GARK CHARDUNNEAD 153 LOOVELL'RD. HUDSON, NH BJOSI	MAN - I AND -	
1	THE PROPOSED BUILDING EXPANSION IS TO BE LOCATED ENTIRELY OUTSIDE OF SUILDING SETBACK AREA					1 4 (
6.	NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES PROPOSED ARE AS FOLLOWS: - I PARKING SPACE PER 388 SQUARE FEET MEDICAL OFFICE					N/
	- LEPHENING SPACE PER SHORE FEEL MODULAL OFFICE USE SCOOL DIVISION STARS - 10 SPACES - 2 SPACES PER RESIDENTIAL UNIT - TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS = 12 SPACES				ARKING AREA TO	
	LOADING AREA IS NOT REQUIRED FOR THIS MEDICAL OFFICE USE				TAN DOST MEG. Co. NORTON, OH	
.3.	THE EXISTING PAVED PARKING LOT AREA ENCROACHES WITHIN THE IS-FOOT SIDE VARD SETBACK. IT IS INTENDED TO MAINTAIN THE PAVED PARKING WITHIN THIS AREA AND TO				RECEIVELY APPROVED	
	ENCROACH SUGHTLY WITHIN IT FOR EXPANDED PARKING FACILITIES AS SHOWN ON SITE PLAN.				SYSTEM (H20 LOADHUG)	
a	LITILITY SHEDS WHICH ARE CURRENTLY WITHIN THE 15-FOOT SIDE VARO SETEACK ARE PROPOSED TO BE RELOCATED TO THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY AS SHOWN ON THE SITE PLAN.				The American Street	
9.	EXISTING AND PROPOSED EXPANSION OF BUILDING WILL BE SURVICED BY TOWN WATER ISNHWCOI AND BY A SUBSURFACE				DONALD JUINE CLOUTIER	
10,	SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM AS APPROVED BY MIDES/WSPCD. A WAIVER IS REQUESTED TO INCREASE SITE RUMOFE BY THE				HUDSON, NH OBOSI	
	Amount of 0.5 cfs: (0 = c/a, c = 0.9 runder coefficient for paved, house area, 1 = 6.0 in the randfall intensity, a = 0.1 area, for paved, house area).					4 7
	THIS OFF-SITE RUNOFF WEL BE DIRECTED TOWARDS THE SIDES AND REAR OF THE LOT VIA SHEET FLOW				STEEL RAR PENCE 3 % H + 120 L	
11	HULDING EXPANSION AS DETAILED IN ITEM NO. 5 OF THESE NOTES WILL NOT RESULT IN ADDITIONAL SERVICES BUT WILL				BUILDING WALKWAY	
	ONLY BE TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL BOOM FOR BOTH THE RESIDENCE AND REDICAL DIFICE OVER AND ADOVE THAT WHICH PRESENTLY EXISTS, A WANKE IS THEREFORE REDUCTED NAT				EXPANSION	.
	TO PERFORM A TRAFFIC STUDY ON ACCOUNT OF NO PERCEIVED AND/OF SEMIMAL TRAFFIC IMPACT				WALL HOUNTED EXTERIOR 5- LIGHT MODEL NO. 2001/343 BRKT. AS MPG. BY AQUISTA	
12	THE EXTERIOR PROPERTY DOUNDARY PLAN OF THE TOTAL PARCEL WAS FIELD SURVETED BY DAVID N. O'HARA, LLS 107. BOUTE JUN A AND CALOWELL DRIVE, ANNERST, INH STOLT 1021				PROFINED FOR ALL BE	
13.	952-8221. SEE PLAN REFERENCE NOTE NO. 1 THE TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION AS SHOWN AS TAKEN FROM A				House	
	FIELD SURVEY BY PIANTIDOSLASSOCIATES, INC., 24 LEDGE ROAD, HUDSON, NN 03831, DATED HANY 22, 1687, AND FIELD VERIFIED BY COSTELLO, LOMASNEY 2, DENAPOLI, INC. IN SEPTEMOGROCTORER 1987.				AREA TO BE LANDSCAPED	
14.	THE SOIL TYPE IS VAL TAKEN FROM PACE 21 OF THE HILLSBORD COUNTY SOIL SURVEY.				LILL A BOOTTON S	
15.	THE PREPARED SITE PLAN PROPOSES THAT 45% OF SITE WILL REMAIN AS "OPEN SPACE" DEFINED AS DRASBED, THEED,					
16.	LANDSCAPED ON NATURAL GROWTH AREAS. A NHDES/WSPCC INDIVIDUAL SUBSOIRACE APPROVAL HAS BEEN GRANTED FOR THE RECENTLY CONSTRUCTED 24'X J2" CHAMBERED SYSTEM WITH HIS SEA LUMDING. THE DESIGN WAS				LEGEND	
	CHARABERED SYSTEM WITH HRUSSA (MADING, THE DESIGN WAS COMPLETED BY PRANTIDOSI ASSOCIATES, INC. MILEDGE ROAD, HUDSON, NH 00SIL DATED MAY 28, 1987, THE NHDES/WSPCD				PRCP LANOSCAPING PERENAL GARDENS 21/2 to 3	
54 1	Apprived Permit Humber is 119:65.				CEDATE EXIST LANDSCAPING HEDGES AND CEDAT TREES 2 19.00 2 19.00 2 19.00 2 19.00	
	SPECIAL NUTER: REFERENCE IS MADE TO THE SPECIAL NOTE OF THE APPRIVED SUBDIVISION AND CONSOLIDATION PLAN (SEE				Z 10.10 EXIST LANDSCAPING	
	PLAN REFERENCE NO. 1) RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE "LOWELL ROAD/RIVER				EXIST LANDSCAPING ENACCOUNTS STATES	
	ROAD CORRECT TAXANNE STUDY, HUBSON, NU PREPARED FOR HUBSON, RESEAUARI, 1985 & COPY OF WHICH IS ON FILE ST THE OFFICE OF THE				100 cm - 170 cm - 170 cm	
	TOWN ENGINEER OF HUDSON. THAT STUDY CONTAINS MAPS CHITTLED LOWELL ROAD, INVER ROAD, RECOMMENDED WORROREMENT PLAN," SAID				522° 28° 28° W	
-	MAPS RECOMMEND THE RECENSITY TO LAY OUT, AN IMPROVEMED LOWELL ROAD HIGHWAY PARTIALLY (INTO THE LOTS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.					
Ż,	BE LUXDICEPLEURECONDERT WA CHARLE CITE DA AND				ROAD ROUTE (3A)	
	APPROACH TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE LOWELL ROAD COMMENDATIONS OF THE LOWELL ROAD COMMENDATIONS OF THE				LOWELL KOAD	
	THE PROJECT OWNER WILL ACCEPT & FAIR SHARE COST ALLOCATION PERTAINING TO THIS PROJECT INSCIDAD					
	AS TRAFFIC IMPACTS ARE CONCERNED AS DETERMINED BY THE STUDY REPERENCED ABOVE IN THIS NUTE.				THE AS BOYER NORMAN C. BOYER	
	IT IS NOTED THAT THE PROCEDURE FOR ADDRESSING MINOR PROJECTS IS NOW BEING PREPARED BY TRAFFIC ENGINEERS UNDER CONTRACT BY THE TOWN.				20 TIGER RD HUGGON, NH 03051	
10-1	ADDED TAX MAP LIST No. PROP					
. 1230	LINE CERT & LABEL SEVER LIVE			GRAWN DESIGNED	SP Costello Lomasney & de Napoli no ROBERT P. BERUBE	
				CHECKED	TTS Consulting Engineers D/B/A MERRIMACK VALLEY HEALTH CTR.	
NG DA				APPROVED: 2	HUDSON, NH 03051 1603) 882-3022 (TAX MAP/LOT = 13-7)	
	<u>A</u>				Research Res	<u></u>
					H.C.	



ONN OF HUDSOZ	
	Entries in this box are to be filled out by Land Use Division personnel
JUN 09 10. Zoning Board of Adjustment Town of Hudson	Case No. 204-002 (06-25-20)
Toning Departmol	Date Filed6/9/20
Name of Applicant <u>Beri Demers</u>	204 000 - $000Map: 3 Lot: 3 Zoning District: 3$
Telephone Number (Home) 978-337-0	(Work) 781-334.4161
Mailing Address 23 Dexter	Street MASHUA NH 03060
Owner Patrick IAA COL	oan, PhD
Location of Property (Street Address	Bood Hudson, NH 03051
Heri Jemeis	6/8/2020
Signature of Applicant	Date
Signature of Property-Owner(s)	<u>6-6-2020</u> Date

NOTE: Fill in all portions of the Application Form(s) as appropriate. This application is not acceptable unless all required statements have been made. Additional information may be supplied on a separate sheet if space provided is inadequate. If you are not the property owner, you must provide written documentation signed by the property owner(s) to confirm that the property owner(s) are allowing you to speak on his/her/their behalf or that you have permission to seek the described special exception.

Items in this box are to be filled out by Land Use Division personnel	
cost: Application fee: $_$ Direct Abutters x \$4.05 = $_$ Indirect Abutters x \$0.55 = Total amount due:Date received: $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$ $_$	
Received by:	120
By determination of the Zoning Administrator or Building Inspector, the following Departmental review is required:	
EngineeringFire DepartmentHealth OfficerPlanner	



Patrick Ian Cowan, PhD. 186 Bridgton Road Sweden, Maine 04040

May 21, 2020

Town of Hudson, New Hampshire Planning Board:

I, Patrick Ian Cowan, owner of the property at 153 Lowell Road, Hudson, New Hampshire have a Purchase and Sale agreement in place and I authorize **Richard Demers and Keri Demers of Dewey & Friends Pet Resort** to apply for ZBA special exception and all permits required from the Town of Hudson for use of this property.

Please contact me should you require further documentation or information. I may be reached at <u>pic@megalink.net</u> or 207.647.2954.

Yours truly, atrick San Cowar

Patrick Ian Cowan

TOWN OF HUDSON, NH NOTICE TO APPLICANTS

The following requirements/checklist pertain to the Town of Hudson, NH, Zoning Board of Adjustment applications, as applicable:

Applicant Staff Initials Initials The applicant must provide 13 copies including the original of the filled-out application XI 15 form, together with this checklist and any required attachments listed. (Paper clips, no staples) Before making the 13 copies, please review the application with the Zoning Administrator or staff.A separate application shall be submitted for each request, with a separate application fee for each request i.e.: Variance, Special Exception, Home Occupation Special Exception, Wetland Special Exception, Appeal from an Administrative Decision, and Equitable Waiver but only one abutter notification fee will be charged for multiple requests. If paying by check, make the check payable to the Town of Hudson. 16 If the applicant is not the property owner(s), the applicant must provide to the Town written authorization, signed and dated by the property owner(s), to allow the applicant or any representative to apply on the behalf of the property owner(s). (NOTE: if such an authorization is required, the Land Use Division will not process the application until this document has been supplied.) 6 Provide two (2) sets of mailing labels from the abutter notification lists (Pages 4 & 5) prepared by applicant, with the proper mailing addresses, must be dated within (30) thirty days of submittal of the application. The abutter lists can be obtained from the assessor's office. (NOTE: the Land Use Division cannot process your application without the abutter lists. It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure that the abutter lists are complete and correct. If at the time of the hearing any applicable property owner is found not to have been notified because the lists are incomplete or incorrect, the Zoning Board will defer the hearing to a later date, following notification of such abutters.) A copy of both sides of the assessor's card shall be provided. (NOTE: these copies are available from the Assessor's Office) A copy of the Zoning Administrator's correspondence confirming either that the N)A requested use is not permitted or that action by the Zoning Board of Adjustment is required must be attached to your application. For a Wetland Special Exception, a letter or a copy of the relevant decision from the Hudson Conservation Commission shall be attached to the application for existing single-family and duplex residential uses. All other Wetland Special Exceptions (multifamily, commercial, or industrial uses) must have letters both from the Conservation Commission and from the Planning Board.

DIOTDIAN

		PLOT PLAN-	A.
-	NA	Except for requests pertaining to above-ground pools, sheds, decks and use variances, the application must include a copy of a certified plot plan from a licensed land surveyor. The required plot plan shall include all of the items listed below. Pictures and	Foroposed
		construction plans will also be helpful. (NOTE: it is the responsibility of the applicant to make sure that all of the requirements are satisfied. The application may be deferred if all items are not satisfactorily submitted):	Floor plans submitted
а) NA	The plot plan shall be drawn to scale on an $8 \frac{1}{2}$ x 11" or 11" x 17" sheet with a North pointing arrow shown on the plan.	1.10/70
b) NA	The plot plan shall be up-to date and dated, and shall be no more than three years old.	NA
с) NA	The plot plan shall have the signature and the name of the preparer, with his/her/their seal.	
d	1) <u>M</u>	The plot plan shall include lot dimensions and bearings, with any bounding streets and with any rights-of-way and their widths as a minimum, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the GIS map of the property. (NOTE: copies of the GIS map can be obtained at	NA
e) <u>pA</u>	the Land Use Division.) The plot plan shall include the location and dimensions of existing or required services, the area (total square footage), all buffer zones, natural features, any landscaped areas, any recreation areas, any safety zones, all signs, streams or other wetland bodies, and any drainage easements.	<u>NA</u>
f	MA	The plot plan shall include all existing buildings or other structures, together with their dimensions and the distances from the lot lines, as well as any encroachments.	A M
g	5) M	The plot plan shall include all proposed buildings, structures, or additions, marked as "PROPOSED," together with all applicable dimensions and encroachments.	N/A
h	MA	The plot plan shall show the building envelope as defined from all the setbacks required	NA
i	MA	by the zoning ordinance. The plot plan shall indicate all parking spaces and lanes, with dimensions.	NA
	The a	pplicant has signed and dated this form to show his/her awareness of these requirem	ents.
1	Ben) Jemen 6/3/20	
ign	ature of A	pplicant(s) Date	

The Land Use Division will schedule a public hearing at the next available meeting of the Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment for your properly-completed application. Applications are scheduled on a first-come, firstserved basis. Public notice of the hearing will be posted on public bulletin boards in the Town Hall, the Post Office, and the Rogers Library and also printed in a newspaper, and a notice will be mailed to the applicant, all abutters, and any other parties whom the Board may deem to have an interest.

After the public hearing, the Board will deliberate and then reach a decision either to grant the request (perhaps with stipulations to make it palatable) or to deny the request-or to defer final action to another meeting, or perhaps to accept a request for withdrawal. You will be sent a Notice of Decision during the following week.

If you believe that the Board's decision is wrong, you have the right to appeal. In addition, any third party/parties affected by the decision also has/have the right to appeal the decision of your case. To appeal, you must first ask the Board for a rehearing; this motion for rehearing may be in the form of a letter to the Board. The rehearing request must be made in writing within thirty (30) days following the Board's decision, and must set forth the grounds on which it is claimed the decision is unlawful or unreasonable.

The Board may grant such a rehearing if, in the Board's opinion, good reason is stated in the motion. In general, the Board will not allow a rehearing unless a majority of its sitting members conclude either that the protested decision was illegal or unreasonable or that the request for rehearing demonstrates the availability of new evidence that was not available at the original hearing. The Board will not reopen a case based on the same set of facts unless it is convinced that an injustice would be created by not doing so. Whether or not a rehearing is held, you must have requested one before you can appeal the decision to the Court(s). When a rehearing is held, the same procedure is followed as for the first hearing, including public notice and notice to abutters.

Please refer to NH RSA Chapter 677 for more detail on rehearing and appeal procedures.

ALL DIRECT ABUTTERS

List name(s) and mailing addresses of the owner(s) of record of the property and all direct abutters as of the time of the last assessment of taxation made by the Town of Hudson, including persons whose property is either contiguous or separated from the subject tract of land by a street or stream. If at the time of your hearing any applicable property owner is found not to have been notified because your lists are incorrect or incomplete, the Zoning Board will defer your hearing to a later date, following notification of such abutters. (Use additional copies of this page if necessary)

MAP	LOT	NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER	MAILING ADDRESS
204	075	Boyer Associates	65 Plateau Ridge RD Loudon, NH 03307-0711
204	003	TAte, Ropert TR. REV TRST; TATE, Alice E., TR., REV.	7 Prince Drive Hodson, NH 03051
		5 way Realty Trust	P.O. Box 1435
209	001	clo Walter Flowers	North Hampton, NH 03862 1 Continental Drive
204	074	CHArboneau, Richard H CHArboneau, MARK SO R and M Realty	Londondery, NH 03053
204	001	Lowell hord LLC	POBOX 1435 North Hampton, NH 03062
204	002	Cowen, Patrick I	153 Lowell Road Hodson, N/ 03051

ALL INDIRECT ABUTTERS WITHIN 200 FEET

List name(s) and mailing addresses of all indirect abutters (those whose property is not contiguous but is within 200 feet from the property in question) as of the time of the last assessment of taxation made by the Town of Hudson. If at the time of your hearing any applicable property owner is found not to have been notified because your lists are incorrect or incomplete, the Zoning Board will defer your hearing to a later date, following notification of such abutters. (Use additional copies of this page if necessary)

MAP	LOT	NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER	MAILING ADDRESS
204	074	CHarboneau, Richard CHArboneau, Mark Continental Paving, Inc	1 Continental Drive LondonderryMH 03053

SENDER:		TOWN OF HUDSON 12 SCHOOL STREET HUDSON, NH 03051	US POSTAL SERVICE - CERTIFIED MAIL	Case# 204-002 Special Exception 153 Lowell Road Map 204/Lot 002-000 1 of 1
				6/25/2020 ZBA Meeting
1		ARTICLE NUMBER	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address COWAN, PATRICK I.	APPLICANT/OWNER-NOTICE MAILED
1	7018	2290 0001 3001 3997		
2.00	and the second second		153 LOWELL ROAD, HUDSON, NH 03051 KERI DEMERS	APPLICANT/OWNER-NOTICE MAILED
2	7018	2290 0001 3001 4000		
			23 DEXTER STREET, NASHUA, NH 03060 BOYER ASSOCIATES	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
3.	7018	2290 0001 3001 4017		
			65 PLATEAU RIDGE RD, LOUDON, NH 03307-0711 TATE, RUPERT E., TR. REV. TRST; TATE, ALICE E., TR., REV.	
1	7018	2290 0001 3001 4024	TRIE, RUPERI E., IR. REV. IRSI; IAIE, ALICE E., IR., REV.	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
			7 PRINCE DR., HUDSON, NH 03051	
5.		2290 0001 3001 4031	5 WAY REALTY TRUST; C/O WALTER FLOWERS	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
	1070		PO BOX 1435, NORTH HAMPTON, NH 03862	
		2290 0001 3001 4048	CHARBONNEAU, RICHARD H.; CHARBONNEAU, MARK;	
б	1070	55JO DOOT 2007 4040	C/OR AND M REALTY	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
			1 CONTINENTAL DRIVE, LONDONDERRY, NH 03053	
7	701.8	2290 0001 3001 4055	LOWELL ROAD, LLC	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
-			PO BOX 1435, NORTH HAMPTON, NH 03862	
8				20051-9990 20051-99900 2000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010
				2051-9990
9				(HO)
		5		A A
10	STREET.			0 252
10				
11				
11				
	Sec. 1			UEP
12				
		Total Number of pieces listed by sender 7	Total number of pieces rec'vd at Post Office	Postmaster (receiving Employee)

SENDER:	TOWN OF HUDSON 12 SCHOOL STREET HUDSON, NH 03051	US POSTAL SERVICE - FIRST CLASS MAIL	Case# 204-002 Special Exception153 Lowell RoadMap 204/Lot 002-0001 of 1
	ARTICLE NUMBER	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address	6/25/2020 ZBA Meeting
1	Mailed First Class	Name of Addressee, Street, and post office address CHARBONNEAU, RICHARD; CHARBONNEAU, MARK; CONTINENTAL PAVING, INC	ABUTTER NOTICE MAILED
		1 CONTINENTAL DRIVE, LONDONDERRY, NH 03053	
2			
3 .			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			NH 03051-99
11			201 NIL 3000
12			(JUN 17 2000
13			
14			USPS
15			
	Total Number of pieces listed by sender 1	Total number of pieces rec'vd at Post Office	Postmaster (receiving Employee)

For any appeal, the application form must be properly filled out. The application form is intended to be self- explanatory, but be sure that you show:

- 1. Who owns the property? If the applicant is not the owner, please include a statement from the owner that you have permission to speak on his/her behalf.
- 2. Where the property is located.
- 3. What you propose to do. Supply twelve copies of an 8.5" x 11" or 11" x 17" scale plan which gives lot area, frontage, side and rear lot lines, natural features, existing and proposed structures, alteration to the lot, and distance to lot lines or wetlands. Pictures and construction plans are helpful.
- 4. Why your proposed use requires an appeal. Please fill out the attached appeal forms completely. Include why the appeal should be granted.
- 5. List of abutters, per NH RSA 672:3. This includes property and the name(s) of the owner(s) of properties which physically touch the subject property, across the street and kitty-corner to the subject property.

Prepare a list of all abutting property owners using the Assessing Office records, and attach it to your application. The accuracy of the list is your responsibility. If the list is found to be incorrect, you may be required to appear before the ZBA a second time, at your expense.

6. Deliver the completed application, with all attachments, to the office of the Zoning Administrator. A fee is charged sufficient to cover the cost of preparing and mailing the legally required notices. If paying by check, make the check payable to the Town of Hudson and submit with your application.

The Zoning Office will schedule a public hearing within thirty (30) days of receipt of your **properlycompleted** application. Applications are scheduled on a first come, first serve basis. **Only completed applications will be scheduled for a hearing.** Public notice of the hearing will be posted and printed in a newspaper, and a notice will be mailed to you, all abutters and other parties whom the Board may deem to have an interest.

After the public hearing, the Board will reach a decision. You will be sent a Notice of Decision.

If you believe that the Board's decision is wrong, you have the right to appeal. Any party affected has the right to appeal the decision of your case. To appeal, you just first ask the Board for a rehearing; this motion for rehearing may be in the form of a letter to the Board. The motion must be made within thirty (30) days of the Board's decision, and must set forth the grounds on which it is claimed the decision is unlawful or unreasonable.

The Board may grant such a rehearing if, in its' opinion, good reason is stated in the motion. The Board will not reopen a case based on the same set of facts unless it is convinced that an injustice would be created by not doing so. Whether or not a rehearing is held, you must have requested one before you can appeal the decision to the Court(s). When a rehearing is held, the same procedure is followed as for the first hearing, including public notice and notice to abutters.

See NH RSA· Chapter 677 for more detail on rehearing and appeal procedures.

Requests before the Board may require connection to the municipal sewer system. Please contact the Town Engineer prior to submittal of this application to determine if connection is required, and the procedures for such application.

APPLICATION FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION

Note: This form is not for filling of wetlands.

Description of proposed use showing justification for a Special Exception, as specified in the Zoning Ordinance.

ARTICLE: 7 VI Please see Attached			
		ç	
	-		.

Dewey & Friends Pet Resort will be a state of the art Dog Day Care and Boarding facility that will also have grooming, training, retail, and community pet education. The use of the retail, grooming, training, and community education will only be on the inside of the building, while the kennel aspect comes from the overnight and day boarding of the dogs in our care. The kennel aspect is NOT for outside living quarters for the dogs at any time, although they will have access to outside for the use of eliminations.

A. The use requested is listed as permitted by special exception in the Table of Permitted Principle for the district in which the use is requested or is so similar to other uses permitted by special exception in the relevant district. Dog kennel is listed as requiring a Special Exception in this district: (B) Business B. The proposed use meets all the applicable requirements established in this chapter

* All Questions A-E

C. The proposed use is consistent with the purpose and intent of the district in which it is proposed to be located.

Dewey & Friends will be a dog day care and boarding facility, with a small retail sales of goods in the front. We hope to expand to offer dogs and cats for adoption, grooming, client education, training, pet massage, Reiki, among other services to the community to offer to their pets. The B District is established to provide for the development of general wholesale and <u>retail</u> <u>commercial uses, services, offices uses</u>, industry, warehousing, multifamily dwellings and customary accessory uses and structures.

The boarding of dogs overnight triggers a use (kennel) that requires a Special Exception and Thus the request from the ZBA for a Special Exception.

D. The proposed use is compatible with the character of the surrounding neighborhood.

* The location is a commercial area and we are offering a service to the public. Many homes have pets, most families work full time, we are asking to give a service to assist these families

in letting their dogs be monitored safely while they are at work or away for a period of time.

E. Nonresidential principle uses proposed to be located in residential districts must take primary access from arterial or collector roads [Not applicable as in the B district.]

Common Concerns:

Cleaning and odor:

Dewey & Friends will take every precaution they can to help ensure that all animals in their care will get the best of care, and this includes cleanliness and odor. All animals will be required to not only have the core vaccines (Rabies, Distemper, Leptospirosis, and Kennel Cough) as well as a negative stool check every 6 months. We will be using a cleaning solution called Rescue (Accel) Disinfectant. When used as directed concentrate is proven to deliver superior kill performance with contact times that are 50 percent faster against a broadspectrum of organisms. It kills canine parvovirus, influenza A virus, canine distemper virus, certain strains of *salmonella* and *staphylococcus*, and other pathogenic microorganisms.

For flooring we will use Polysafe Mosaic can be easily cleaned as it is robust and therefore can withstand regular cleaning with animalfriendly Anigene disinfectant cleaner.



Land Use Division



12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

Zoning Determination #20-045

May 12, 2020

Keri Demers 23 Dexter Street Nashua, NH 03060

Re: <u>153 Lowell Rd Map 204 Lot 002-000</u> District: Business (B)

Dear Ms. Demers,

Your request if you can locate a dog day care, grooming, animal rescue services, retail sales, and boarding facility at this address, has been completed and reviewed.

Zoning Review / Determination: This property is currently a developed existing non-conforming lot of record with mixed uses: 1) existing non-conforming single family residential (A-1), and 2) medical office/clinic (D-17) w/ an approved site plan for such uses.

Providing there is no expansion of the existing non-conforming residential use, you would not need any Zoning Board of Adjustment (ZBA) variances. You will need a Special Exception from the ZBA because the specifics of conducting the daycare/boarding would constitute a kennel, and kennels (D-2) in the Table of Permitted Principle Uses require a Special Exception from the ZBA in accordance with Article VI, section §334-23 General requirements.

You will need to amend the existing site plan to reflect the change (of use) from professional office (D-17) to kennel (D-2). Please contact Brian Groth (Town Planner) for the specifics of that process etc. at 886-6008.

After successful Board approvals, this project would require the necessary building permits (for change of use/occupancy) etc. please review the specifics (and HVAC exhaust/ventilation requirements) with Dave Hebert - Building Official.

Sincerely,

CC:

Bruce Buttrick, MCP Zoning Administrator/Code Enforcement Officer (603) 816-1275 bbuttrick@hudsonnh.gov

Public Folder D. Hebert – Building Official B. Groth, Town Planner Owner File

NOTE: this determination may be appealed to the Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment within 30 days of the receipt of this letter.

		A A 1 12 1 191 1 191 A		OL/PTOLL					
EXTERIOR INFORMATION	BATH FEATURES	COMMENTS		SKETCH		<u> </u>		7	
Type: 52 - MIXED USE	Full Bath 1 Rating: AVERAGE					₽₽	20		
Sty Ht: 2 - TWO STY	A Bath: Rating:	ATTEMPTED. REMOVED TWO FEET TO REAR SEC	알았다. 양신하지 않고 같은 것은 것도를 생각하고 요구하는 것이다.						
(Liv) Units: 1 Total: 1	3/4 Bath: 1 Rating: AVERAGE	OF PAVING, NO MEASUR							
Foundation: 1 - CONCRETE	A 3QBth Rating:	WEDE MADE AT THIS INC							
Frame: 1 - WOOD	1/2 Bath: 2 Rating: AVERAGE	town SEWER =-1% func.	SPECITOR./NOT ON						
Prime Wall: 02 - CLAPBOARD	A HBth: Rating:						SFL		
Sec Wall: %						31	FFL		
Roof Struct: 1 - GABLE	OTHER FEATURES	1st Res Grid Desc: CON					BMT		
Roof Cover: 1 - ASPH SHING	Kits: 1 Rating: AVERAGE		R RR BR FB HB L 0						
Color: brown/nat	A Kits: Rating:	Other							
View / Desir:	Frpl: Rating:	Upper			WDI				
GENERAL INFORMATION	WSFlue: Rating:	Lvl 2			L	6 4		_	
Grade: C - AVERAGE	CONDO INFORMATION	Lvi 1			1 9	10	20		
Year Bit: 1940 Eff Yr Bit:	Location:	Lower	Dathard UD 0						
	Total Units:	Totals RMs: 6 BRs:	3 Baths: 1 HB 2						
Alt LUC: 340 Alt %: 36	Floor:	DEMODELING DE	O DDF ALCOUNT			HST	TQS		
Jurisdict: Fact:	% Own:	personal data and the second s	S BREAKDOWN		FFL28 BMT	FFL 28			
Const Mod:	Name:	And and a second s	Unit RMS BRS FL 1 6 3 M		BINIT	BMT	BMT		
Lump Sum Adj:	DEPRECIATION	Interior:	1 6 3 M						
INTERIOR INFORMATION		32. % Kitchen:							
Avg Ht/FL: STD	and the second sec	THORE I							
Prim Int Wal 1 - DRYWALL		Dauis.			L			-	
Sec Int Wall: %	Economic:	% Plumbing:							
Partition: L - LIGHT	Special.		Totals						
Prim Floors: 04 - CARPET	Override:	% Heating:	1 6 3				eup	AREA DETAIL	
Sec Floors: 03 - HARDWOO 50 %	CALC SUMMARY	9.48 % General:		SUB AREA	A 00 1				% ~ "-
Bsmnt Fir: 12 - CONCRETE	OFEC CONTINUE	COMPARABLE SALES		Code Description	instanting of the second state of the second s		Indepr Value Sub	Descrip	7% Qu #Ten Type
	Basic \$ / SQ: 95.00		Date Sale Price	BMT BASEMENT	1,812	32.940	59,684 Area		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor:	Size Adj.: 0.79107374	Rate Parcel ID Typ	Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR	1,812	72.150	130,743 BMT	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar:	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607		Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR	1,812 720	72.150 72.150	130,743 BMT 51,951		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154		Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY	1,812 720 420	72.150 72.150 72.150	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273		Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY	1,812 720 420 140	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S -	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00		Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK	1,812 720 420 140 128	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000	Rate Parcel ID Typ		FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY	1,812 720 420 140	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 Insulation: 2 Int vs Ext: S Heat Fuel: 1 Heat Type: 1 FORCED AIR	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod: 1.0000000		Date Sale Price	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK	1,812 720 420 140 128 20	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 Insulation: 2 Int vs Ext: S Heat Fuel: 1 Heat Type: 1 + Heat Sys: 2	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod: LUC Factor:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate:	Ind.Val	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800	130,743 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360		the serve have to an other data is not the server of the server.
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 Insulation: 2 Int vs Ext: S Heat Fuel: 1 Heat Type: 1 + Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod: LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor:	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360		the serie have been as when a shift is not a true to be series of the se
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 Insulation: 2 Int vs Ext: S Heat Fuel: 1 Int vs Ext: S Heat Type: 1 + Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Central Vac: NO	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod: LUC Factor: LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Central Vac: NO % Com Wal % Sprinkled %	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Gross	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092		95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Central Vac: NO	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod: LUC Factor: LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year:	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Gross	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: Solar HW: NO Central Vac: NO % Com Wal % Sprinkled Make:	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year:	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Gross	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.0000000 NBHD Mod:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Gross	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 Solar HW: NO Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV
Subfloor: Bsmnt Gar: Electric: 3 - TYPICAL Insulation: 2 - TYPICAL Int vs Ext: S - Heat Fuel: 1 - OIL Heat Type: 1 - FORCED AIR # Heat Sys: 2 % Heated: 100 % AC: 100 % AC: 100 % Com Wal % Sprinkled MOBILE HOME Make: SPEC FEATURES/YARD ITEMS Code Description A Y/S Qty	Size Adj.: 0.79107374 Const Adj.: 0.96010607 Adj \$ / SQ: 72.154 Other Features: 12273 Grade Factor: 1.00 NBHD Inf: 1.00000000 NBHD Mod: 1.00 LUC Factor: 1.00 Adj Total: 298632 Depreciation: 117900 Depreciated Total: 180732 Model:	Rate Parcel ID Typ WtAv\$/SQ: AvRate: Juris. Factor: Special Features: 0 Final Total: 180700 Serial #	Ind.Val Before Depr: 72.15 Val/Su Net: 35.77 Val/Su SzAd 58.44 Year: PARCEL NB Fa Appr Value Ju	FFL FIRST FLOOR SFL SECOND FLR TQS 3/4 STORY HST HALF STORY WDK WOOD DECK OFP OPEN PCH Net Sketched Area: Size Ad 3092 Color:	1,812 720 420 140 128 20 5,052 5 Area	72.150 72.150 72.150 72.150 19.210 55.800 Total:	130,743 BMT 51,951 30,305 10,102 2,459 1,116 286,360 3092 3092	100 GFB	95 AV

Total:

5,600

5,600 Total Special Featues:

Total Yard Items:

204		002	000							01.1	RESIDENTIAL	ludeen		APPR	AISED:	Total C 344,	ard / Total 700/	Parcel 344,700
MAP		LOT	SUE	3					C	ARD	r	ludson		USE V	ALUE:	344,	700/	344,700
PROPERTY LOCA	TION			IN PROCES	S APPRAIS									ASSE	SSED:	344,	700/	344,700
No Alt No		irection/Stre		Use Code	Land Size		Iding Value	Yard Item		d Value	Total Value	Leg	al Descripti	on	User			
153	LOWELL RD	, HUDSON		101	0.3		115,648		,600	101,376	222,624				the second second second second second	73		
OWNERSHIP		Unit #:	l] 340	0.1	/0	65,052			57,024	122,076				GIS	Ref		
Owner 1: COWAN, F	PATRICK I.														010	-		
Owner 2:				Total Card	0.4	71	180,700	5	.600	158,400	344,700	E	Entered Lot Size	9	GIS	Ref	100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100	
Owner 3:	L DOAD			Total Parcel	0.4		180,700		,600	158,400	344,700		tal Land: 0.471			Dete	Dati	riot
Street 1: 153 LOWE	LL ROAD			Source	Market Adj C	ost	Total V	alue per SC	unit /Card:	111.48	/Parcel: 111.48	Land Un	nit Type: AC			Date	Proper	iies Inc.
Street 2: Twn/City: HUDSON						and a state of the					DenselUD				09/18/01		USER DEFIN	
St/Prov: NH	Cntry	T	Own Occ:	PREVIOUS			Mad Harra	Land Oine	Land Makes	Tetelliteles	Parcel ID			Data	1516	i!	Prior Id # 1: C	
Postal: 03051	Ondy		Type:	Tax Yr Use 2020 013	Cat Bldg JB	Value 180,700	Yrd Items 5600	Land Size 1	Land Value 158,400	Total Value 344,700		Notes ear End Roll	5/6/20	Date			Prior Id # 2: 0	
PREVIOUS OWNE	0		1900.	2019 013	FV	180,700	of an end of the second	.471	158,400			ear End Roll	9/16/2		PRINT	sign a	Prior Id # 3: 0	
Owner 1:	R			2019 013	JB	180,700		.471	158,400	344,700		ear End Roll	5/8/20		Date	Time	Prior Id # 1:	
Owner 2:				2018 013	FV	180,700		.471	158,400	344,700		ear End Roll	8/27/2		h	08:37:38	Prior Id # 2:	
Street 1:	~			2018 013	JB	180,700	Sec. Sec.	.471	158,400	344,700	and the second	ear End Roll	5/9/20		LAST RE		Prior Id # 3:	
Twn/City:				2017 013	FV	180,700	2012 Contract (1977)	.471	158,400	344,700		ear End Roll	10/26/		Date	Time	Prior Id # 1:	
St/Prov:	Cntry	1		2017 013		182,800		.471	158,400	346,800		ear End Roll	8/28/2		10/02/17	09:07:29	Prior Id # 2:	
Postal:	2			2017 013	JB	160,300	5900	.471	136,500	302,700	302,700 Y	ear End Roll	5/10/2		jmicha	aud	Prior Id # 3:	
NARRATIVE DESC	CRIPTION			SALES INF				TAX D	ISTRICT				PAT AC	Contraction of the second s	15	16	ASR Map:	
This parcel contains .4		land mainly	classified as	Grant		Legal Re		Date	Sale Code	Sale P		/erif		Notes	****		Fact Dist:	
RES-COMM with a MI	IXED USE Buil	ding built al	bout 1940,	ALEXIS, JANE	T, 607	'6-1173	2/1	8/1999 BA	NK TRANS	2	20,000 No No						Reval Dist:	
having primarily CLAP											l						Year	
with 1 Unit, 1 Bath, 1 3	3/4 Bath, 2 Hal	fBaths, 6 Ro	ooms, and 3														LandReason:	
Bdrms. OTHER ASSESSM	ENTS			1													BldReason:	
Code Descrip/No		ount	Com. Int	7													CivilDistrict:	
				11													Ratio:	
				BUILDING	PERMITS								ACTIVITY I	NFORM	ATION			
				Personal division of the state	water and the second se	Descrip	Amount	C/O Last	/isit Fed 0	Code F. De	scrip Corr	nment	Date		Result	By	Nan	ne
PROPERTY FACT		14	Dental Dental dent										9/15/2017 H			9	PVA	
Item Code Descr	ription %	Item C water 3	TOWN WATE										6/21/2017 F			9	PVA	
		Sewer 0	SEPTIC	_									5/18/2012 F		V	9	PVA	
0 N		Electri	BEF IIG	4									10/6/2011 N 6/30/2007 N			14 6	APPR TECH 4 RB	
Census:		Electri		-									7/17/2006 N			0	CHIEF ASSES	S
Flood Haz: C		- Anpt											9/3/2002 H		ı	1	CHIEF ASSES	
D		Topo 2	ABV ST	-									8/15/2002 H			0	PATRIOT	
S		Street											3/4/2002 18	&E Mailed	The surface of the su	0	PATRIOT	
t		Gas:											Sign:		1.65.76.710	6.6.4.9	1	1
LAND SECTION (I	First 7 lines	only)												-	a on them the			
Use Description Ll	UC No of Units	Depth /	Unit Type La	nd Type		Unit	Adj Neigh	Neigh Ne		% Infl:	2 % Infl 3	% Appraised		1000 Contraction (J Fact	Use Value	Notes	
Code Family Family	act 0.47		SITE ACRE SITE	Factor		Price 0,000.	1.16 ML2	Influ Mo	od DEVELC			Value	Class // 64 340 36	Land C	Jode	158 400	IMPROVED	
IUT UNE FAMILY	0.47		SIL AURE SILE		0 29	0,000.	I. TO WILZ		DEVELC	-20		100,0	01 010 30			100,400		
L																······		
Total AC/HA: 0.4710			SM: 20517		2: 013 RES			ne NB Desc			[58,364 Spl 0	Credit		otal: 15	8,400	
Disclaimer: This In	nformation is	believed	to be correct b	ut is subject t	o change a	nd is no	ot warrante	eed.	Databas	e: Assess	Pro - HudsonNH	4	jmichaud				2019	

153 Lowell Road





----- Easement_Lines

Parcels

1 inch = 102 feet 110 220 Feet

0



153 Lowell Rd (Aerial View)









Parcels - Aerials





Parcels



Business Information

Business Details

Business Name: DEWEY AND FRIENDS LLC	Business ID: 842533
Business Type: Domestic Limited Liability Company	Business Status: Good Standing
Management Style: Manager Managed	
Business Creation Date: 05/19/2020	Name in State of Formation:
Date of Formation in	
Jurisdiction: 177	
Principal Office Address: 23 Dexter Street, Nashua, NH, 03060, USA	Mailing Address: 23 Dexter Street, Nashua, NH, 03060, USA
Citizenship / State of Formation:	
	Last Annual Report
	Year: WA
	Next Report Year: 2021
Duration: Perpetual	
Business Email: deweyandfriendsdaycare@gmail.com	Phone #: 978-995-5827
Notification Email: deweyandfriendsdaycare@gmail.com	Fiscal Year End Date:

Principal Purpose

S.No	NAICS Code	NAICS Subcode			
1	Other Services (except Public Administration)	Pet Care (except Veterinary) Services			
Page 1 of 1, records 1 to 1 of 1					

Principals Information

Name/Title	Business Address
Keri Demers / Manager	23 Dexter Street, Nashua, NH, 03060, USA
Page 1 of 1, records 1 to 1 of 1	

Registered Agent In	formation		
Name:	Keri Demers		
Registered Office Address:	23 Dexter Street, Nashu	ıa, NH, 03060, USA	
Registered Mailing Address:	23 Dexter Street, Nashu	ıa, NH, 03060, USA	
Trade Name Informa	ation		
No Trade Name(s) associ	ated to this business.		
Trade Name Owned No Records to View. Trademark Informat			
Trademark Number	Trademark Name	Business Address	Mailing Address
		No records to view.	
Filing History	Address History	View All Other Addresses	Name History Shares
ļ	Businesses Linked to Reg	jistered Agent Return to Sea	arch Back
NH Department of Stat		oom 204, Concord, NH 03301 C	ontact Us (/online/Home/ContactUS) Reserved.

Dewey & Friends Pet Resort

153 Lowell Road, Hudson, NH

Floor Plan – Main Floor



Dewey & Friends Pet Resort

153 Lowell Road, Hudson, NH

Floor Plan – Top Floor



Dewey & Friends Pet Resort

153 Lowell Road, Hudson, NH

Floor Plan – Lower Floor



Dewey & Friends Pet Resort 153 Lowell Road, Hudson, NH Site Plan - Proposed Outdoor Play Areas



Printed 6/12/2020

1:00PM Created

6/12/2020 12:55 PM

Transaction Receipt

Town of Hudson, NH 12 School Street Hudson, NH 03051-4249

	Description		Current Invoice	Payment	<u>Balan</u>	<u>ce Due</u>	
1.00	ZBA Application- 06/25/20 153 Lowell Rd Map/Lot 204-002 Special Exception Ap) ZBA Mtg	0.00	155.1500		0.00	
				Total:		155.15	
Remitter	F	Рау Туре	Reference	Tendered	Change	Net Paic	
Keri Deme	ers (CHECK	CHECK # 128	155.15	0.00	155.15	

Keri Demers	CHECK	CHECK # 128	155.15 0.00	155.15
		<u></u>	Total Due:	155.15
			Total Tendered:	155.15
			Total Change:	0.00
			Net Paid:	155.15

HUDSON ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Use Special Exception Decision Work Sheet

On **06/25/20**, the Zoning Board of Adjustment heard Case# **204-002**, being a case brought by **Keri Demers, 23 Dexter Street, Bedford, NH** for a Use Special Exception for **153 Lowell Rd, Hudson, NH to allow a dog day care, grooming, animal rescue services, retail sales, community pet education and kennel (day & overnight boarding) facility.** [Map 204, Lot 002-000; Zoned Business (B); HZO Article VI, Special Exceptions, §334-23, General Requirements].

After reviewing the petition, hearing all of the evidence, and taking into consideration any personal knowledge of the property in question, the undersigned member of the Zoning Board of Adjustment sitting for this case made the following determination:

Y	Ν	1.	The use requested is listed as permitted by Special Exception in the Table of Permitted Principal or Accessory
			1 1 5
			Uses for the district in which the use is requested, or is so
			similar to other uses permitted by Special Exception in
			the relevant district that prohibition of the proposed use could not have been intended.

- Y N 2. The proposed use meets all the applicable requirements established in this Ordinance.
- Y N 3. The proposed use is consistent with the purpose and intent of the district in which it is proposed to be located.
- Y N 4. The proposed use is compatible with the character of the surrounding neighborhood.
- Y N 5. Nonresidential principal uses proposed to be located in residential districts must take primary access from arterial or collector roads.

Signed:

Sitting member of the Hudson ZBA

Date

1	TOWN OF HUDSON Zoning Board of Adjustment
3	Charlie Brackett, Chairman Marilyn E. McGrath, Selectmen Liaison
4	12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-
5	594-1142
6 7 8	MEETING MINUTES – May 21, 2020 - edited
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	COVID-19 Meeting Procedure In response to the NH State of Emergency Order #12 Pursuant to Executive Order 2020- 04 regarding COVID-19, The Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a virtual public meeting and hearings, to be held electronically by remote video & conference call on Thursday, May 21, 2020, at 7:00 PM. Written comments can be sent in advance either by: 1) Emailto bbuttrick@hudsonnh.gov prior to 5:00 pm, May 21, 2020; or 2) Mail to ZBA, c/o Bruce Buttrick, Hudson Town Hall, 12 School St., Hudson, NH 03051. For details on how the public can listen in and/or comment, visit the Town Website, Zoning Board of Adjustment page at: <u>https://www.hudsonnh.gov/bc-zba</u> or call (603) 886-6008 prior to the date of the meeting. Applications on the agenda may be viewed/downloaded using the same link above (then click: View Agendas, Minutes, Packets & Videos).The meeting will be streamed live on Hudson Community Television, Cable Channel 22 or online at: <u>http://hudsonctv.com/CablecastPublicSite/watch/2?channel=3</u> . J. CALLTO ORDER Chairman Brackett called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM; read the Covid-19 Meeting Procedure into the record; noted that the Members have logged in remotely; that voting would be by roll call vote; and that the Board would go into recess so that the public could call in their questions/concerns during public testimony and added that if anyone cannot gain access, that the meeting would need to be adjourned. Mr. Brackett noted that specific instructions for meeting access was included in both the Applicant Notification and the Abutter Notification. Mr. Dearborn read the Preamble into the record, identified as Attachment A of the
35 36 37	Board's Bylaws, that included the procedure and process for the meeting, and the importance of the 30-day time period for appeal.
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Clerk Daddario took the roll call. Members present through audio and visual remote access were Charlie Brackett (Regular/Chair), Gary Daddario (Regular/Clerk), Gary Dearborn (Regular/Vice Chair), Brian Etienne (Regular) and Jim Pacocha (Regular). Each Member attested that they were alone at their access location. Also present were Bruce Buttrick, Zoning Administrator, who was located in the Community Development Paul Buxton Meeting Room in the lower level of Hudson Town Hall, and Louise Knee, Recorder, via remote access. It was noted that there was no one present in the meeting room and that HCTV Staff was in the adjoining room. Excused was Marilyn McGrath, Selectman Liaison. For the record, all Members voted.

47

48 49 50

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS OF SCHEDULED APPLICATIONS BEFORE THE BOARD:

51 52

53

1. <u>Case 246-001 (05-21-20) (deferred from 3-12-20)</u>: 2 Stonemill Dr., Hudson, NH. Received applicant email—Request to be deferred to May 28, 2020 ZBA Meeting.

54 Mr. Buttrick read the Case into the record and noted that an email dated 4/15/202055 was received from the Applicant's attorney (Jay Leonard) requesting deferment to the 56 May 28th meeting due to a scheduling conflict. It was noted that this Case had also 57 been deferred from the 3/12/2020 meeting, due to lack of a full Board present, to the 58 3/26/2020 meeting, which was then cancelled due to Covid-19. Motion made by Mr. 59 Dearborn and seconded by Mr. Daddario to accept the request and defer the Case to 50 the 5/28/2020 meeting. Roll call vote was 5:0. Request approved.

61 62 63

64

65

66

67

68

69 70 <u>Case 235-002 (05-21-20)</u>: Jack Beard, 4 Stuart St., Hudson, NH requests a Home Occupation Special Exception to operate a fence installation service business with office activities of computer work for client estimates and ordering fence materials for delivery & install at job site. Some work in garage for preparation/custom installs and limited outdoor storage of material with new fence surround. [Map 235, Lot 002-000; Split Zoned General-One (G-1) and Residential-Two (R-2); HZO Article VI, §334-24, Special Exceptions, Home Occupations].

Clerk Daddario read the Case into the record. Applicant Jack Beard joined the
meeting remotely (visual and audio), introduced himself and stated that he was alone.

Mr. Buttrick referenced his Zoning Determination #19-004 dated 1/14/2019 and his Staff Report signed 5/14/2020, noted that this is an existing non-conforming lot of record, due to insufficient area and frontage, and is split by two (2) Zones (G-1 & R-2). The Home Occupation Special Exception is for an off-site fence installation operation that would use the house for computer work to provide client estimates and order supplies, store some tools in the garage and occasionally do work in the garage for custom installs and temporary outside storage of materials behind the fence.

82

83 Mr. Beard unmuted himself and addressed the Board, stated that Mr. Buttrick 84 outlined what is needed for the fence installation service he wants to provide, noted 85 that he is now retired and his home is split diagonally by two (2) Zones with part of it in the Business Zone, that he meets potential customers at their location to measure 86 87 and then provides the estimate and if they want the fence, he orders the material from 88 his home computer. Mr. HackettBrackett asked Mr. Beard if he had more information 89 to offer why the Board should consider granting a Special Exception and Mr. Beard 90 stated that it was all in his application. Mr. Beard's handwritten application was 91 posted on the screen for everyone's viewing.

92

Mr. HackettBrackett asked Mr. Buttrick if any public input (phone calls or emails or mail) had been received and Mr. Buttrick responded that there has been no public input.

96

97 Public testimony opened at 7:15 PM. Mr. HackettBrackettBrackett declared a five (5)
98 minute recess for Mr. Buttrick to man the phones and check email. Board resumed
99 the meeting at 7:20 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that there was no public input
100 received. Public testimony closed at 7:20 PM

101

102 Board deliberation ensued. Mr. Brackett noted that there are several letters going 103 back a few years. Mr. Buttrick responded that the applicant started making inquiries 104 in 2017 and only recently submitted an application, and, as per usual, it was 105 circulated among Town Staff. Only the Town Engineer responded with concerns if the 106 lot required a second driveway for access to storage. Mr. Brackett noted that it can't 107 have a second driveway without a Variance and that is not before the Board. Mr. 108 Brackett also noted that it is not a traditional residential neighborhood, that there are 109 several homes with business as well as a church, a hairdresser, an auto repair facility, 110 an auto sales facility and a dog day care in the neighborhood.

111

Mr. Dearborn stated that he drove by to view the site and it appears that access to the storage area is already being used as a second driveway, that a home Occupation is supposed to be 'silent' to the neighborhood but there was a flatbed Isuzu truck parked in the driveway and expressed concern that the Home Occupation would increase traffic to the neighborhood.

117

Mr. Etienne stated that this Home Occupation would be similar to the other uses in 118 119 the neighborhood and questioned whether the deliveries to the home could be in the 120 evening hours and whether it also included a cement truck. Mr. Pacocha noted that a 121 Home Occupation is for the homeowner and according to the application more people 122 are involved, like his friend Shawnn Swett and his daughter Tiffany Beard, and 123 questioned if any of the vehicles exceeds 13,500 GVW (Gross Vehicle Weight). Mr. 124 Daddario shared Mr. Pacocha's questions and questioned the parking and whether 125 there would be off-street parking involved.

126

Mr. Beard responded and the following information was obtained: Shawn Swett lives 127 128 at 4 Stuart Street as does his daughter and grandson; there are four (4) vehicles, his 129 daughter's Toyota Corolla that is in the garage, Mr. Swett's and his pickups which are 130 parked in the driveway, and the Isuzu flatbed used for business also parked in the 131 driveway and weighs approximately eleven thousand ($\sim 11,000$) pounds; and noted that 132 generally materials arrive one day and leaves the next day to be installed. Mr. 133 Pacocha asked if there are any business logos on the vehicles and Mr. Beard 134 responded that there was on only one vehicle - the Isuzu.

135

Mr. Brackett noted that Stuart Street is between two (2) arterial roadways, that the change to the Business Zone included an arbitrary five hundred foot (500') swath of land that entrapped several neighborhoods in Town, that the majority of the properties in this neighborhood area have some business use, and that, in his opinion, to not grant the Special Exception would be a disservice because it is no longer really a residential area and even though it is unusual it is not unusual to this area.

142

143 Discussion arose on the second driveway. It is not allowed and appears to already be 144 in use. An aerial view taken from 2017 was posted and a second driveway was not 145 apparent. It was noted that a second driveway would require a separate Variance that 146 Variance is not in front of the Board. Attaching a condition to the Home Occupation
147 Special Exception prohibiting a second driveway was considered and dismissed so as 148 not to prevent a Variance from being pursued.

149

150 Motion made by Mr. Etienne and seconded by Mr. Pacocha to approve the Home 151 Occupation Special Exception with no stipulations. Roll call vote was 4:1. Mr. 152 Dearborn opposed. Home Occupation Special Exception granted. The 30-day appeal 153 period was noted.

- 154
- 155

157

163

164

165

166 167

168 169

170

171

- 156
 - 3. Case 175-088 (05-21-20): Albert J. & Ann M. Antosca, 13 Alpine Ave., Hudson, NH [Map 175, Lot 088-000; Zoned Business (B)] requests four (4) Variances:
- 158 (1) To allow the addition of an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) to be attached to an 159 existing single-family dwelling on a lot in the Business (B) Zoning District 160 which does not allow single family dwellings. [HZO Article V, §334-20 and 161 §334-21, Table of Permitted Principal Uses; and HZO Article XIIIA, §334-73.3, 162 Accessory Dwelling Units, Provisions];
 - (2) To allow the enlargement of an existing single family dwelling in the B District to be extended/enlarged to accommodate the ADU. [HZO Article VIII, §334-29, Extension or enlargement of nonconforming uses];
 - (3) To allow the ADU with a 34.6 ft. setback from Alpine Ave., 21.2 ft. setback from Cliff Ave. and 48 ft. setback from Cliff Ave. where 50 foot setbacks are required. [HZO Article VII, §334-27, Table of Minimum Dimensional Requirements];
 - (4) To allow an approx. 947 sqft. ADU where 750 sqft. is allowed. [HZO Article XIIIA, §334-73.3 H, Accessory Dwelling Units, Provisions].
- 172 173 Clerk Daddario read the Case into the record. Mr. Brackett asked the Board's 174
- preference whether to hear each Variance separately or to hear the total Case and 175 vote on each Variance separately. Consensus was to hear the whole Case and vote on
- 176 each Variance separately.
- 177

Electronic remote connections established separately with Atty. Brad Westgate of 178 179 Winer & Bennett, LLP located in Nashua, NH, Albert & Ann Antosca applicants and 180 occupants of 13 Alpine Ave, and civil engineer and septic designer Michael Grainger, 181 LLS, of MJ Grainier Engineering, Inc. in Hudson, NH. Each attested to being alone at 182 their respective locations.

183

184 Atty. Brad Westgate introduced himself as representing the property owners and 185 applicants Albert & Ann Antosca and thanked the Board for the opportunity to present 186 their Case.

187

188 Atty. Westgate stated that the property lies in the Business District and is the cause 189 for three (3) of the Variances being requested. The property is an existing non-190 conforming lot being a residence in the Business District and failing to meet two of the

- 191 three required front setbacks and failing to meet the minimum lot size. The site has
- three (3) road frontages 150' on Cliff Avenue, another 113' on Cliff Avenue and 155' 192
- 193 on Alpine Avenue – and only one side that abuts land. The Zoning Map was displayed
- 194 and it was noted that the site is at the outer edge of the Business Zone in a
- 195 neighborhood that has retained its residential character with the abutting Residential
- 196 Zone. A GIS Overview was next displayed displaying the site with the location of the

197 proposed ADU. It was noted that the land across from the ADU to the East is not

developed along Cliff Avenue. The site has Town water and an onsite septic system.

- 199 According to the Town's records, the house was built in 1952 and is almost seventy 200 years old (70 y/o).
- 201

Atty. Westate stated that the property is unique having three (3) road frontages and now located in the Business Zone even though well removed from any business aspect and with the Residential Zone across Alpine Avenue. The neighborhood is residential

and all the homes were constructed in the same era. The house across the street, 16 Alpine Avenue, is a single-family residence with an ADU above their detached garage.

200

Atty. Westgate stated that it is the Business Zone setting that requires three (3) of the four (4) Variances before the Board. The location of the ADU was selected because it enables it to be setback from the front of the home and maintain the Business Zone fifty foot (50') setback and generates a very minimal Variance to the setback from Cliff

- Avenue (leaving 48' of the 50' required setback from Cliff Avenue to the rear and 21.2'
- 213 from the easterly side of Cliff Avenue to the side).
- 214

215 Atty. Westgate stated that the design of the ADU accommodates all the ADU

216 requirements of the Zoning Ordinance except for Criteria H that limits the square 217 footage (SF) to 750 SF. The proposed ADU is 974 SF, approximately 197 SF over. The 218 occupant of the ADU will be the Applicants' daughter, who was raised in their home 219 on Stuart Avenue, and her fiancé. Atty. Westgate stated that the spirit and Intent of 220 the Zoning Ordinance have been met with this proposal and that the increase in 221 square footage is not excessive.

222

Atty. Westgate referenced the Zoning Determinations rendered on this Case where it was noted that a single family home is not allowed in the Business Zone but the home was built prior to the Zone change and became a non-conforming requiring two (2) Variances – one to expand a non-conforming structure and another to expand the use and add an ADU. Atty. Westgate noted that the business zone requires a fifty foot (50') front setback and considering that this lot has three (3) road frontages leaves a very small building envelope and the need for a third Variance.

230

231 Atty. Westgate complimented Mr. Buttrick on the preparation of his Staff Report and 232 addressed the two (2) comments received from the Town Engineer, Elvis Dhima, PE, 233 dated 3/6/2020 regarding septic system capability and driveway widening. Mr. 234 Grainger applied to NHDES (NH Department of Environmental Services) for a septic 235 permit and posted NHDES Approval dated 2/7/2020 and noted item D.4 that 236 identifies that the approval is for a two bedroom house and a one bedroom studio 237 apartment. Atty. Westgate stated that the existing septic system is capable of 238 handling the ADU based on the gallons calculated but in the event there arose an 239 issue a replacement system has been designed and approved. Atty. Westgate stated 240 that Mr. Grainger is present (connected remotely) to answer any questions. Atty. 241 Westgate noted that the driveway is along Alpine Avenue and with regard to the 242 question raised of driveway widening, he consulted with his clients and can confirm 243 that there will be no driveway widening at the apron. Atty. Westgate stated that Mr. 244 Buttrick's Staff Report also noted that a shed on the property does not comply with 245 the fifty foot (50') setback; that the shed was permitted in 1989; and questioned 246 whether it is considered "grandfathered" or whether an Equitable Waiver should be

247	sought. Before discussion ensued, Mr. Brackett noted that the shed is not related to
248 249	the Variances before the Board and its resolution should be pursued independently.
249 250 251	Atty. Westgate next addressed the Variance criteria. The information shared included:
252	1. not contrary to public interest
253	• despite being currently in the Business Zone, the house was
254	constructed approximately seventy (70) years age
255	• the neighborhood is still residential
256	an ADU is appropriate to a residence
257	2. spirit of Ordinance observed
258	 the Spirit is derived from the Purpose and ADU's provide Affordable
259	Housing
260	 there is no burden to Town's infrastructure
261	 the location is in a residential neighborhood
262	3. substantial justice done
263	 there would be no gain to the public from a denial
263	 there would be no consequence to the public from an approval
265	4. will not diminish surrounding property values
265	 there will be no adverse impact
267	 neighbors/abutters contacted regarding the ADU project and nine
268	(9) submitted independent letters of support. The letters
269	submitted were from: June Parker, 15 Alpine Avenue; Scott &
270	Melissa Weikle, 6 Cliff Avenue; Leo & Karen Graham, 12 Alpine
271	Avenue; Claudette Duval, 16 Alpine Avenue; Rick & Cheri Chenel,
272	4 Cliff Avenue; Maurice & Laura Charron, 13 Burnham Road; Vaya
273	& Arthur Handaras, 162 Central Avenue; Jacqueline Thissell, 19
274	Alpine Avenue; and Stanley Eaton, 7 Burnham Road.
275	5. hardship
276	• special condition exists – property now located in the Business
277	Zone and has three (3) road frontages
278	• neighborhood has retained the residential character and abuts the
279	Residential Zone
280	• three (3) frontages in the Business Zone at fifty feet (50') each,
281	leaves a very small building envelope
282	• there is open space on the lot to the east
283	• an ADU is a reasonable residential Use
284	
285	Public testimony opened at 8:29 PM. Board went into recess while Mr. Buttrick
286	manned the phones and checked email. At 8:36 Pm, Mr. Buttrick reported that there
287	was no public input received. Public testimony closed at 8:36 PM
288	
289	Board deliberation ensued. Mr. Dearborn stated that even though located in the
290 291	Business Zone, there are no businesses in the neighborhood and does not have a concern regarding the Business Zone increased setback infringement but the lot is
291 292	half the size required and the Board has a history with denying other ADUs with

- greater square footage and noted that none of the abutters' letters submitted identified
 the significant increase in square footage. Atty. Westgate stated that the lot size not
 being conforming has been recognized. Mr. and Mrs. Antosca stated that they showed
- 296 the plan and the ADU layout to their neighbors.
 297

Mr. Etienne shared Mr. Dearborn's concerns and asked to view the construction detail of the proposed ADU. The ADU floor plan was posted and Mr. Etienne noted that the den creates the overage in square footage and noted that it could readily be converted to a second bedroom. Mr. Antosca stated that the door in the den provides the unit with a second egress. Mrs. Antosca stated that that den's door connects to her home.

303

Mr. Daddario shared Mr. Etienne's observations, noted that multiple variances are needed for an ADU but noted that nothing has been submitted justifying a larger ADU and questioned why there is a staircase, going up and down in the ADU if it is to be a single story unit. Mr. Antosca responded that the stairways lead to the attic and to the basement.

309

310 Mr. Brackett stated that the Zone changed to commercial because of the proximity to

- 311 Ferry Street and an arbitrary five hundred foot (500) swath of land was selected that
- hit the Residential Zone. The area has maintained its residential character. Mr.
 Brackett stated that, in his opinion, an ADU is to be part of the residence and easily
- 313 Brackett stated that, in his opinion, an ADU is to be part of the residence and easily 314 re-converted to the main residence once no longer needed, but this ADU looks like a
- 315 separate building which could easily be converted to a two-family structure and noted
- that it appears that the connector to the main house is the garage. Mr. Buttrick
- 317 responded and pointed out that the connector is the breezeway, to be constructed,
- behind the garage to connect the main house to the ADU and that there is nothing in
- the Zoning Ordinance or RSA 674:71 mandating the single-house look. Mr. Dearborn
- 320 agreed with Mr. Brackett regarding the look of it being two separate houses.
- 321

322 Atty. Westgate noted that the house across the street (16 Alpine Avenue) has an ADU 323 in its detached garage on the second floor and stated that the practicality and age of 324 the property was taken into serious consideration. The house is seventy (70) years old 325 and a second story addition is not possible, there will continue to be single utilities 326 and the occupant for the ADU is a family member. Mr. Brackett noted that the law 327 changed and ADU's are no longer restricted to family member(s). Mr. Antosca 328 recapped the various designs considered and the flaws that resulted (conflicting roof 329 lines, greater setback intrusions etc.) and why they will create the breezeway to 330 connect the ADU to the main house.

331

Mr. Etienne asked if the exterior of the ADU would match the house and why there is
a separation on the newly approved septic plan. Mr. Antosca answered that the
existing house will actually be renovated to match the proposed siding for the ADU.
Mr. Grainger responded that, in his professional opinion, installing a separate septic
tank to feed into the existing leach field was a better plan.

- 337
- Board proceeded to consider each Variance separately.
- 340 Variance (1) allow an ADU to existing house in Business Zone
- 341

342 Motion made by Mr. Etienne and seconded by Mr. Daddario to grant the Variance for 343 the addition of an ADU to the residence in the Business Zone.

344

345 Mr. Daddario spoke to his second noting that, in his opinion, all five (5) variance 346 criteria have been satisfied: the addition of an ADU is not contrary to public interest, it 347 is in character with the neighborhood; the request observes the Spirit of the 348 Ordinance; there is substantial justice done and it would be detrimental to not provide 349 housing; it will not diminish property values, there are similar ADUs in the 350 neighborhood and several neighbors have submitted letters of support; and hardship 351 has been met as it a a unique lot with three (3) road frontages and is located in the B 352 Zone. Mr. Etienne spoke to his motion and agreed with Mr. Daddario's reasoning as

353 the request is a natural expansion of a home residence, the neighborhood is 354 residential, substantial justice would be dome to the property owner with no adverse 355 impact onto the public, there is no conflict with the Zoning Ordinance and it is a 356 corner lot with three (3) road frontages with enough land for an ADU.

357

358 Roll call vote was 4:1. Mr. Dearborn opposed. Variance granted.

359

360 Variance (2) allow enlargement of dwelling unit in Business Zone for an ADU

361

Motion made by Mr. Pacocha and seconded by Mr. Daddario to grant the variance to 362 363 allow enlargement of the existing non-conforming family residence for an ADU.

364

365 Mr. Pacocha spoke to his motion noting that the variance is required because the 366 Town changed the Zone to Business, and an ADU is an allowed use for residences, the 367 granting will grant justice to the applicants and will cause no harm to the general public, it will increase property values and the hardship was caused by the Town. Mr. 368 369 Daddario spoke to his second, noted that this variance tracks with the prior variance, 370 that it observes the Spirit of the Ordinance particularly because the neighborhood 371 retained its residential character, that substantial justice would be done, that the 372 property owners seek to help a family member, that new construction increases 373 property values, that many abutters support the ADU and that hardship exists 374 because the Town changed the Zone. Mr. Brackett stated that he would vote against 375 the request as it is not, in his opinion, an enlargement of the family unit to 376 accommodate an ADU but a separate structure altogether. Mr. Dearborn stated that it 377 failed to meet any of the criteria for the granting of a variance as, in his opinion, it is 378 not an ADU but a separate building.

379

380 Roll call vote was 3:2. Mr. Brackett and Mr. Dearborn opposed. Variance granted.

381

382 Variance (3) to allow ADU to encroach into the three (3) front setbacks

383

384 Motion made by Mr. Dearborn and seconded by Mr. Pacocha to approve the 385 encroachments into the three (3) front setbacks for the addition of an ADU.

386

387 Mr. Dearborn spoke to his motion and stated that this is the lesser of all the variances

- 388 of this Case, the imposing of the Business Zone's fifty foot (50') front setback onto a
- 389 residential neighborhood is the hardship but also insignificant in this Case because
- 390 the encroachments are all behind the wooden fence and would not be visible. Mr. 391

392 that this is a classic case where variance is required because of the Zone change made 393 by the Town and also because of the unusual aspect that the lot has three (3) road 394 frontages, that substantial justice is done to the property owners and it will not 395 devalue the neighborhood's property values.

396

397 Roll call vote was 5:0. Variance granted.

398

399 Variance (4) to allow construction of a 934 SF ADU

400

401 Motion made by Mr. Etienne seconded by Mr. Dearborn to not grant a variance to 402 allow construction of a 934 SF ADU.

403

404 Mr. Etienne stated that even though it may not be contrary to public interest and does 405 provide affordable housing, the issue is hardship as it has not been satisfied and there 406 has been no evidence presented justifying an over-the-limit ADU. Mr. Dearborn stated 407 that it has been the Board's practice to uphold the 750 SF ADU, as specified in the

- 408 Zoning Ordinance, that there has been no justification presented requiring a larger
- 409 unit and added that this lot is also half the size of a lot's requirement. Mr. Pacocha
- 410 stated that the Board has made exceptions in the past. It was noted that there were
- 411 special circumstances for those exceptions. Mr. Etienne stated that the Zoning
- 412 Ordinance is clear in the 750 SF limitation and noted that it was voted into the
- 413 Ordinance by the Town's people. Mr. Brackett stated that there is nothing unique
- 414 requiring a larger living unit. Mr. Daddario added that three (3) variances are required 415 to create an ADU at this site and that nothing has been presented justifying a larger
- 416 size unit
- 417
- 418 Roll call vote on motion not to grant the Variance was 4:1. Mr. Pacocha voted to 419 grant. Variance request denied.
- 420
- 421 The 30 day appeal period was noted. 422
- 423 424 **III. REQUEST FOR REHEARING**
- 425 No requests were presented for Board consideration 426
- 427 428 **IV.REVIEW OF MINUTES:** 2/27/20 Minutes; 3/12/20 Minutes
 - 2/27/2020 Minutes
- 429 Board reviewed the edited version presented and made no further amendments. 430
- 431 Motion made by Mr. Dearborn and seconded by Mr. Etienne to approve the 2/27/20
- 432 Minutes as edited and presented. Procedural verbal vote was 5:0. Minutes approved. 433
 - 3/12/2020 Minutes
- 434 435 Board reviewed the edited version presented and made no further amendments.
- 436 Motion made by Mr. Dearborn and seconded by Mr. Daddario to approve the 3/12/20
- 437 Minutes as edited and presented. Procedural verbal vote was 5:0. Minutes approved. 438
- 439
- 440 V. RECAP
- 441

- Reminder given to Members to mail back their Decision Sheets in the prepaidenvelope.
- 444
- 445 Debrief of the first remote meeting. Comment made that it would be better to allow
- the applicant to respond to questions as they arise versus letting the questions
- 447 accumulate. Having a split screen showing the plans was beneficial and appreciated.
- 448
- 449 Next ZBA meeting is next Thursday, 5/28/2020.
- 450
- 451
- 452 Motion made by Mr. Dearborn, seconded by Mr. Etienne and unanimously voted to
- 453 adjourn the first remote meeting. The 5/21/2020 ZBA remote meeting adjourned at454 9:51 PM.
- 455
- 456 Respectfully submitted,
- 457 Louise Knee, Recorder
- 458
- 459



3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

23 24

TOWN OF



Zoning Board of Adjustment

Charlie Brackett, Chairman

Marilyn E. McGrath,

Selectmen Liaison

12 School Street • Hudson, New Hampshire 03051 • Tel: 603-886-6008 • Fax: 603-594-1142

MEETING MINUTES - May 28, 2020 - Edited

COVID-19 Meeting Procedure

11 In response to the NH State of Emergency Order #12 Pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04 regarding COVID-19, The Hudson Zoning Board of Adjustment conducted a virtual public 12 13 meeting and hearings, held electronically by remote video & conference call on Thursday, May 14 28, 2020, at 7:00 PM. Written comments were requested to be sent in advance either by: 1) 15 Email ...to bbuttrick@hudsonnh.gov prior to 5:00 pm, May 28, 2020; or 2) Mail to ZBA, c/o 16 Bruce Buttrick, Hudson Town Hall, 12 School St., Hudson, NH 03051. Details on how the 17 public could listen in and/or comment, were given on the Town Website, Zoning Board of 18 Adjustment page at: https://www.hudsonnh.gov/bc-zba or by calling (603) 886-6008 prior to 19 the date of the meeting. Applications on the agenda were posted on the Town Website for 20 viewing/download using the same link above (then click: View Agendas, Minutes, Packets & 21 Videos). The meeting was streamed live on Hudson Community Television, Cable Channel 22 22 and online at: http://hudsonctv.com/CablecastPublicSite/watch/2?channel=3.

25 **I. CALL TO ORDER**

27 Chairman Brackett called the meeting to order at 7:02 PM; read the Covid-19 Meeting 28 Procedure into the record; noted that the Members have logged in remotely; that voting 29 would be by roll call vote; and that the Board would go into recess so that the public 30 could call in their questions/concerns during public testimony and added that if 31 anyone cannot gain access, that the meeting would need to be adjourned. Mr. 32 Brackett noted that specific instructions for meeting access was included in both the 33 Applicant Notification and the Abutter Notification and on the website. 34 35 Mr. Dearborn read the Preamble into the record, identified as Attachment A of the 36 Board's Bylaws, that included the procedure and process for the meeting, and the

- 37 importance of the 30-day time period for appeal.
- 38

Clerk Daddario took the roll call. Members present through audio and visual remote access were Charlie Brackett (Regular/Chair), Gary Daddario (Regular/Clerk), Gary Dearborn (Regular/Vice Chair), Brian Etienne (Regular) and Jim Pacocha (Regular). Each Member attested that they were alone at their access location. Also present were Bruce Buttrick, Zoning Administrator, who was located in the Community Development Paul Buxton Meeting Room in the lower level of Hudson Town Hall, and Louise Knee, Recorder, via remote access. It was noted that there was no one present in the meeting room and that HCTV Staff was in the adjoining room. Excused wasMarilyn McGrath, Selectman Liaison. For the record, all Members voted.

- 48 49
- 50 51

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS OF SCHEDULED APPLICATIONS BEFORE THE BOARD:

52 1. Case 246-001 (05-28-20) (deferred from 03-12-20): Dr. Warren Barclay, 24 53 Chalifoux Rd., Hudson, NH requests two variances for 2 Stonemill Dr., 54 Hudson, NH: 1) To permit the construction of an approx. 1,155 sqft. accessory one-story building to be used as a chiropractic office accessory to 55 56 the primary residential use and; 2) To permit the location of the building to 57 be within the front yard setback of 30 ft. where 50 ft. is required. [Map 246, Lot 001-000; Zoned Residential-Two (R-2); HZO Article III, §334-10 D, Mixed 58 59 or dual use on a lot and HZO Article VII, §334-27, Table of Minimum 60 Dimensional Requirements].

62 Clerk Daddario read the Case into the record. It was noted that is was deferred from 63 the 3/12/2020 meeting at the request of the applicant as a full Board was not 64 present.

65

61

Mr. Butterick referenced his Zoning Determination dated 1/29/2020 and his Staff Report signed 2/28/2020, noted that the lot is non-conforming with insufficient frontage on River Road and that the primary residence was converted from the mill abutting the sluiceway and that the applicant's desire to construct a chiropractic office is not an Accessory Use to the primary residential Principal Use with its placement in the front setback of River Road.

- 72
- Mr. Buttrick stated that he also received a late abutter email supporting the project.
 Clerk Daddario read the email from Renee Paquette to Atty. Leonard into the record.

Thomas J. Leonard, Esq. of Welts, White & Fontaine, P.C. at 29 Factory Street, Nashua, NH, introduced himself as representing Dr. Warren Barclay. Both Atty. Leonard and Dr. Barclay were present, visually and verbally, through remote access. Atty. Leonard expressed his appreciation to the Board and Mr. Buttrick, noted that their submitted packet is substantial and asked to address both variances simultaneously regarding the project as both are needed for the project.

82

Atty. Leonard posted the Guertin Lot Line Relocation Plan prepared by Maynard & Paquette Engineering Associates, LLC dated 8/22/2001, that showed the 1.38 acre-lot with its 119.83 foot frontage on River Road, the sluiceway that bisects the property and feeds into Eayrs Pond, the residence that was once the flour mill, the existing garage and noted that access to the residence is via a private ROW (Right-of-Way) from Stone Mill Road off of Chalifoux Road from River Road. Atty. Leonard noted that the old mill existed since the 1930's, pre Zoning.

90

91 Atty. Leonard stated that the area of River Road has been rezoned to residential yet in 92 this portion of River Road there exists several commercial enterprises. Atty. Leonard 93 posted the Hudson Property Map and identified the commercial operations in the 94 direct vicinity of the property that included the three (3) commercial building<u>s</u> across 95 River Road, Pioneer Automotive and BAE complex. Various pictures of the 96 surrounding area were posted. Atty. Leonard noted that the area is really a mixture of97 Uses.

98

99 Atty. Leonard next posted the Property Plan prepared by Maynard & Paquette 100 Engineering Assoc, LLC, Rev 10/22/2019, that showed the sluiceway, wetlands, the 101 50' wetland buffers and the steep slopes. Atty. Leonard noted that only approximately 102 one third (1/3) of the site is being used for the existing residence and garage. Dr. 103 Barclay wants a Home Office and requires a structure that equates approximately to a 104 3-car garage for the equipment necessary to run a chiropractic service. There is no 105 space by the residence, given the topography of the land, for such an addition; 106 however, the River Road side of the property has a building envelope. The proposal is 107 for an 1,155 SF (square foot) one-story building, out of the wetland buffer but 108 encroaching twenty feet (20') into the required fifty-foot (50') front/road setback and 109 thirteen (13) parking spaces to insure off street parking.

110

Atty. Leonard next referenced the Zoning Ordinance, specifically: Article III Section 111 112 334-10 Mixed or Dual Use on a Lot; Article IV Section 334-18, Districts described; and 113 Article V Section 334-22 Table of Accessory Uses. Even though Section 334-18.B 114 allows "complimentary nonresidential" uses, and Section 334-10.D allows multiple or 115 mixed uses on a lot, the Zoning Administrator, Bruce Buttrick, made the determination that both Uses would need to be Permitted Uses for the Zone; therefore 116 they are seeking a Variance for the Accessory Use of the chiropractic office to the 117 118 Primary Use as a residence. Atty. Leonard stated that it would be okay to have that as 119 a condition of approval as well as stating that its use is for the occupant of the 120 residence and that the land cannot be subdivided.

121

134

135

136 137

138

139

140

141

122 Atty. Leonard stated that the new one-story 1,155 SF professional office building 123 would be for the property owner/professional, one professional associate and one to 124 two staff members or employees or individuals. The principal professional will be the 125 owner/occupant of the single family home on the same lot. His client does a lot of work with the VA (Veterans Association) and they require certain hours of 126 127 operation/availability. The professional associate will be Dr. Warren Barclay's son 128 and together they would be offering approximately fifty (50) hours of chiropractic 129 services a week. 130

131 Atty. Leonard next addressed the Variance criteria. The information shared included: 132

- 133 1. not contrary to public interest
 - lot is unusual divided by a sluiceway residence on western 1/3 portion of the lot with no road frontage, just an easement from Stone Mill Drive – the remaining 2/3 of the lot is not developed and is the only segment that has road frontage, on River Road
 - there is no risk to public health and safety
 - it will be serviced with Municipal water
 - septic system will be kept out of the wetland and wetland buffer
 - there is ample frontage with good sight distance
- 142 2. spirit of Ordinance observed
- met purpose of Dual Uses is to insure compatibility and in this
 section of River Road there are several other businesses

145	 the location is not in a residential neighborhood
146	• the size of the proposed office is approximately equivalent to a
147	three-car garage and would be Accessory to the residence
148	3. substantial justice done
149	• currently only 1/3 of the lot is in use with a residence with no road
150	frontage, just an access easement
151	• currently 2/3 of lot is unused and not accessible from residence
152	due to the sluiceway
152	 there would be no gain to the public from a denial
155	 there would be no consequence to the public from an approval
154	 the reduce frontage proposed is in keeping with others in area
155	4. will not diminish surrounding property values
157	• there will be no adverse impact
158	• new construction tends to improve property values and the
159	proposal will also include renovation of residence
160	• surrounding area has several different commercial businesses and
161	the residential developments are to the north and west of the site
162	5. hardship
163	• special condition exists – the sluiceway bisects the lot and leaves
164	no room for an accessory building in proximity of residence
165	• there are significant wetlands and steep slopes barring connection
166	of proposed accessory building to residence
16/	
167	Deblie testimenes anomal at 7.40 DM
168	Public testimony opened at 7:49 PM.
168 169	
168 169 170	(1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone
168 169 170 171	(1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and
168 169 170	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in
168 169 170 171 172	(1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and
168 169 170 171 172 173	(1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board.
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr.
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr.
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up.
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12) from
$\begin{array}{c} 168\\ 169\\ 170\\ 171\\ 172\\ 173\\ 174\\ 175\\ 176\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 186\\ \end{array}$	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12') from River Road and expressed concern with water runoff and possible pollution.
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12') from River Road and expressed concern with water runoff and possible pollution. Atty. Leonard responded that they will follow the rules, know they will need to get a Building Permit from the Town and a septic permit from the State, that the plan only
$\begin{array}{c} 168\\ 169\\ 170\\ 171\\ 172\\ 173\\ 174\\ 175\\ 176\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 186\\ 187\\ 188 \end{array}$	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12) from River Road and expressed concern with water runoff and possible pollution. Atty. Leonard responded that they will follow the rules, know they will need to get a Building Permit from the Town and a septic permit from the State, that the plan only displays the geometry and land limitations that justify the placement of the building
$\begin{array}{c} 168\\ 169\\ 170\\ 171\\ 172\\ 173\\ 174\\ 175\\ 176\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 186\\ 187\\ 188\\ 189\\ \end{array}$	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12) from River Road and expressed concern with water runoff and possible pollution. Atty. Leonard responded that they will follow the rules, know they will need to get a Building Permit from the Town and a septic permit from the State, that the plan only displays the geometry and land limitations that justify the placement of the building and parking and added that they will only have the number of parking spaces needed
$\begin{array}{c} 168\\ 169\\ 170\\ 171\\ 172\\ 173\\ 174\\ 175\\ 176\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 186\\ 187\\ 188\\ 189\\ 190\\ \end{array}$	 John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12) from River Road and expressed concern with water runoff and possible pollution. Atty. Leonard responded that they will follow the rules, know they will need to get a Building Permit from the Town and a septic permit from the State, that the plan only displays the geometry and land limitations that justify the placement of the building
$\begin{array}{c} 168\\ 169\\ 170\\ 171\\ 172\\ 173\\ 174\\ 175\\ 176\\ 177\\ 178\\ 179\\ 180\\ 181\\ 182\\ 183\\ 184\\ 185\\ 186\\ 187\\ 188\\ 189\\ \end{array}$	 (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, connected over the phone lines. The connection was not good, garbled with much blowback and barely audible. After ten minutes, Chairman Brackett called for a recess in order for Mr. Buttrick to speak directly with Mr. Sullivan and other individuals wishing to address the Board and report back to the Board. At 7:59 PM the Board went into recess. Board meeting resumed at 8:09 PM. Mr. Buttrick reported that he spoke with Mr. Sullivan and that the other two (2) callers had disconnected/hung up. (1) John Sullivan, 53 River Road, direct abutter, noted that there is no septic system on the plan, questioned the parking by his property line and whether the spaces would be out of the setback, commented that his house was built before the road existed and that his well is twelve feet (12) from River Road and expressed concern with water runoff and possible pollution. Atty. Leonard responded that they will follow the rules, know they will need to get a Building Permit from the Town and a septic permit from the State, that the plan only displays the geometry and land limitations that justify the placement of the building and parking and added that they will only have the number of parking spaces needed

1

Second round of Public Testimony opened at 8:²12 PM. Board went into recess for Mr.
Buttrick to call Mr. Sullivan and check for other callers. Mr. Buttrick reported that
his call to Mr. Sullivan went directly to voice-mail and that there were no other callers.
Public Testimony ended at 8:15 PM. Atty. Leonard noted that Dr. Barclay had met
with Mr. Sullivan regarding the project prior to the meeting.

197

198 Board deliberation ensued. Mr. Dearborn asked Atty. Leonard to address the four (4) 199 items raised by the Town's Engineer dated 3/12/2020. The first (1st) item noted that 200 the proposed parking layout is not constructible as shown and Atty. Leonard responded that there is flexibility to the plan and the details would be finalized when 201 202 before the Planning Board. The second (2nd) item noted that the plan does not show 203 grading in the wetland buffer. Atty. Leonard noted that the plan is not the final plan 204 and that it is their intent not to have to do any grading in the wetland buffer. The 205 third (3rd) item noted that the plan has not been certified by a wetland scientist (CWS). 206 Atty. Leonard stated that they will incur the expense of a CWS once approval is 207 received from the ZBA and prior to going to the Planning Board. The fourth (4th) item 208 noted the curb cut on River Road which is a State road and would require a driveway permit by District 5. Atty. Leonard stated that they will comply and obtain the 209 210 Mr. Daddario questioned the proposal to have two (2) necessary State permit. 211 driveways on one (1) lot and Atty. Leonard noted that would be addressed by the Planning Board which has the authority to waive the restriction and could waive 212 213 because of the unique nature of this particular lot.

214

215 Mr. Brackett asked how long Dr. Barclay has owned the lot. Atty. Leonard stated that 216 Dr. Barclay does not yet live there. Dr. Barclay stated that he bought the lot two (2) 217 years ago from his sister's estate, that she lived there thirteen (13) years, that the 218 house was built in the 1940's as a rebuild of the old mill and in fact rests on the old 219 Dr. Barclay added that it is his plan to update the house at the mill's foundation. 220 same time he hopes to build the building for his practice. Mr. Brackett noted the 221 discrepancy in acreage on the Assessor's sheet and Dr. Barclay offered that the change may have been the result of the Guertin Lot Line Adjustment that occurred around 222 223 2001-02. 224

225 Mr. Brackett stated that he has concerns with the proposed building being twenty feet 226 (20') into the front setback as River Road is an arterial road and heavily traveled and 227 could conceivably be widened in the future and questioned if the proposed parking 228 and building could be reversed as it is easier to reconfigure parking than relocate a 229 building in the future event of road widening. Atty. Leonard stated that it could be 230 considered. Mr. Brackett also questioned the number of parking spaces and 231 expressed concern that it could lead to more chiropractors working at the site and 232 stated that, in his opinion, the number could be reduced to six (6). Atty. Leonard 233 stated that he is not certain how the number of parking spaces was derived and 234 suggested that maybe it was derived from Dr. Barclay's current practice. Dr. Barclay 235 disagreed as it could be possible that both chiropractors hours could overlap and even 236 though they only service one patient at one time the possibility exists that the next 237 patient could be waiting and stated that he originally proposed ten (10) parking spaces 238 and the number was increased by their consulting engineer, Richard Maynard. With 239 regard to swapping the building with the parking, Dr. Barclay stated that their intent 240 is to hook up to Municipal water and that would then require a longer pipe line 241 increasing his expense. After more discussion, which included the unknown impact of the Shoreland Protection Act being so close to Eayrs pond and what Site Plan Review requirements are regarding parking calculations, Atty. Leonard stated that it would be okay to condition an approval requiring the building to be located out of the front setback and honor the Shoreland Protection Act and that the number of parking spaces be limited to ten (10) noting that at least one space would need to be handicap.

- 248 Mr. Brackett polled the Board members whether to continue the application so that 249 the actual number of parking, per regulations, could be determined as well as the 250 impact of the Shoreland Protection Act. Mr. Dearborn saw no reason to postpone, that 251 he was ready to vote and noted that approximately two months ago the Board denied a 252 business into the Residential Zone and cautioned not being consistent. Mr. Brackett 253 concurred but noted that even though the Zone is residential, the neighborhood in the 254 area of River Road is not residential. Atty. Leonard added that Zoning has to reflect 255 what is on the ground, that even though this is the R-2 Zone, it is the TR Zone across 256 the street yet there exists several commercial businesses in this section of River Road 257 and that special circumstances exists by the property's geography and the 258 neighborhood. Mr. Dearborn also expressed concern regarding the enforceability and 259 the monitoring of the combined fifty (50) hours of chiropractic services.
- 260

261 Mr. Etienne stated that it was by Town Vote to change the Zone to residential, that, 262 even though there are businesses in the area, the direct abutter is a residence and 263 with two (2) chiropractors plus staff seems more than an 'accessory' use. Mr. Pacocha 264 asked why a variance is being pursued for an accessory structure for a Principal Use 265 and creating two (2) Principal Uses and noted that the chiropractic business/building 266 could possibly be sold as a stand-alone entity. Atty. Leonard responded and noted: the site is surrounded by non-residential uses to the northeast, the south and across 267 the street; the abutting residence existed pre-zoning; agreed that it could be 268 269 professional offices but they have proposed limitations for it to remain accessory and 270 subordinate to the Primary Use as a residence, and the two (2) part-time chiropractors 271 (the property owner and his son), with a total of one to two (1-2) staff people, will only 272 work a combined total of fifty (50) hours per week.

273

274 Mr. Daddario questioned the parking configuration if the building is moved out of the 275 setback, the inability to enforce that each doctor only would work twenty five (25) 276 hours a week and stated that according to his calculations with two (2) chiropractors 277 plus two (2) staff plus two (2) patients being serviced plus two (2) patients waiting 278 totals only needing eight (8) parking spaces. Mr. Pacocha questioned what the 279 Planning Board's regulation stipulates for the required number of parking spaces and 280 whether or not parking could be allowed thirty feet (30') from the road and in the setback. Atty. Leonard stated that they will be required to provide handicap parking 281 282 and agreed to limit the number of parking spaces to ten (10) and added that they will 283 also contemplate moving the building. Mr. Brackett stated that it has been clear that 284 the Board desires the building to be moved to the north and out of the setback and 285 suggested that it be reflected in the motion to approve.

- 286
- 287 Mr. Daddario made the motion to approve Case #246-001 with the following 288 stipulations:
- (1) the combined work hours for both doctors shall not exceed fifty (50) hours
 per week

- (2) the total number of parking spaces be established at the minimum amount required and not exceed ten (10) parking spaces
 - (3) that the lot remain in common ownership and cannot be subdivided or transferred separately
 - (4) that the plan be reconfigured moving the building out of the fifty foot (50') front setback and resituating the building to the right side (north) of the plan and the parking area to the left side (south) of the plan.

299 Mr. Pacocha seconded the motion. Mr. Daddario spoke to his motion stating that with 300 the conditions/stipulations the variance is not contrary to the public, does not conflict 301 with the neighborhood, that the of the spirit of the Ordinance is observed, that 302 substantial justice is rendered as currently the property owner has no use of two 303 thirds (2/3) of his property, that there is no harm to the general public, that property 304 values are not impacted as the surrounding is mostly commercial and hardship is met 305 with the unique configuration of the land with the sluiceway, wetlands, steep slopes 306 and frontage on River Road. Mr. Pacocha spoke to his second stating that it is not 307 contrary, that the second structure poses no harm to the public, that the spirit is 308 observed with an approved Use, that substantial justice is met allowing the property 309 owner full use of his property, that there is no negative impact to the property values 310 in the neighborhood, that the land's features (sluiceway, wetlands and buffers and 311 steep slopes) meet the hardship criteria and added that the proposal does not have 312 any wetland buffer impacts.

313

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

314 Roll call vote was 3:2. Mr. Dearborn and Mr. Etienne opposed. Mr. Dearborn stated 315 that the proposal does not meet the spirit of the Ordinance, that there is no way to monitor or enforce the number of hours to be worked and there is no definitive 316 317 location for the building or number of parking spaces. Mr. Etienne stated that, in his 318 opinion, the proposal fails variance criteria 1, 2, 3 and 5 and only satisfies criteria 4. 319 Variance granted with four (4) stipulations. Mr. Dearborn asked what would happen if 320 the building is not moved out of the front setback and both Mr. Brackett and Mr. Dearborn noted that the applicant would need to come back before the ZBA as the 321 322 stipulation places the obligation onto the applicant to reconfigure the plan. The 30-323 day appeal period was noted.

324

Board took a five-minute break at 9:21 PM. Meeting called back to order at 9:26 PM,
all Members present. Mr. Brackett directed the Board to Case #174-119-001.

- 328 329 330
- 331 332
- 333

<u>Case 174-119-001 (05-28-20</u>): Quan Hua Li, 74 Highland St., Hudson, NH 03051 requests a variance to allow a driveway to remain with an encroachment of 9.5 ft into the side yard setback leaving 5.5 ft. where 15 feet is required. [Map 174, Lot 119-001; Zoned Town Residence (TR); HZO Article II, §334-6, Terminology, Definitions, Building Setback; HZO Article VII, §334-27, Table of Minimum Dimensional Requirements].

334

Clerk Daddario read the Case into the record. Mr. Buttrick stated that the applicant seeks a variance to allow the expansion of the driveway into the side yard setback to remain and noted that this request was the result of a Code Enforcement action that involved Town Counsel. Mr. Brackett inquired why the request is not being presented as an Equitable Waiver of Dimensional Requirement. Mr. Buttrick responded than an Equitable Waiver would only apply if the action was permitted and an error occurred,and there was no permit pulled for this driveway expansion.

342

343 Mr. Quan Hua Li was connected remotely (audio and visual) and his wife was also 344 present in the room with him. Mr. Li stated that they moved to Hudson last year and 345 did not know that a permit was needed and that he checked with Stan's Paving who 346 told him a permit was not needed. After the driveway was installed, Mr. Li said his 347 neighbor informed him that he needed to go to Town Hall to get a permit, which he did 348 and that is when he learned that there was a fifteen-foot (15') setback. Mr. Li stated 349 that his land slopes on the left side of his property and that his original driveway was 350 too narrow for his vehicle to turn around and drive off his property. A hand drawing 351 was posted that showed the original driveway constructed 10/1/2015 and the 352 expansion to the driveway that was constructed 7/1/2019.

353

356

365

366

367

370

374

354 Mr. Li read the answers of his application that addressed the criteria for the granting 355 of a variance. The additional information shared included:

- Builder did not complete landscape they moved in in wintertime and after
 snow melted and spring rains, his soil was all muddy and seeping to his back
 yard
- A proper driveway, just a basic asphalt driveway, nothing fancy to attract attention, is an improvement over the mud and stops erosion, and allows him to make a three-point turn and head out of his driveway
- Original driveway too narrow for vehicle to turn around and head out of driveway onto Highland Street
 - Highland Street heavily traveled and many do not follow speed limit
 - Relied on Stan's Paving company, a local company presumably aware of Town's regulations, that a permit was not needed for the extra driveway paving
- Would not have incurred expense of paving driveway if he had knowledge of
 the setback
- Public testimony opened at 9:39 PM. Board went into recess while Mr. Buttrick
 checked the phone lines. At 9:44 PM Mr. Buttrick reported that there were no calls or
 emails received. Public testimony closed at 9:45 PM.
- Mr. Dearborn asked Mr. Li why he ignored Mr. Buttrick's letter and only responded after Town counsel wrote his letter. Mr. Li said it was basically due to money. His neighbor told him it would cost about fifty dollars (\$50) for the permit, which he came to Town Hall and paid and while there he was told he needed to file another application for more money that he did not have and just let it lie until his friend read Town Counsel's letter and told him to address it.
- 381

Mr. Etienne asked and received clarification that the widening of the driveway allowed for a three-point turn to occur on the property in order to head out of the driveway. Mr. Pacocha expressed displeasure at the advice received from the local paving company. Mr. Daddario referenced the plan in the package and questioned the driveway alignment and placement on the adjacent lot's driveway so close to the property line and noted that both the ZBA and the Planning Board approved the two lots with reduced frontage that leaves no real options. Mr. Brackett stated that the cultural differences led to confusion along with the incorrect information received from the contractor, noted that the adjacent neighbor has no issue with the driveway expansion and that safety consideration was what prompted the driveway expansion and recognized that the hardship is not the making of the applicant.

393

403

394 Motion made by Mr. Dearborn and seconded by Mr. Daddario to grant the variance 395 with no stipulations. Mr. Dearborn spoke to his motion noting that he too lives on 396 Highland Street, that it is a pass-through and heavily traveled road and the expanded 397 driveway addresses the safety issue of entering the road from a short driveway. Mr. 398 Daddario spoke to his second stating that it is in-line with the neighborhood, there is 399 no harm to the public, that it addressed the safety concern by being able to head out 400 of the driveway and that the same hardship situation was approved for the adjacent 401 neighbor. Mr. Brackett added that substantial justice is done with the granting of the 402 variance and that it is in the spirit of the Ordinance.

Roll call vote was 5:0. Variance granted. The 30-day appeal period was noted along
with the instruction to meet with Mr. Buttrick and the Town's Engineer to get the
driveway permit issued.

408 Board took a few minutes to complete their vote sheets at 9:59 PM. 409

- 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 413
 413
 414
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 417
 418
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 413
 414
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 417
 418
 419
 419
 410
 410
 411
 411
 411
 412
 412
 413
 414
 414
 415
 415
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 410
 411
 411
 412
 414
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 410
 411
 411
 411
 412
 412
 413
 414
 414
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 414
 414
 415
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 414
 414
 414
 415
 414
 415
 414
 415
 415
 414
 414
 414
 415
 414
 414
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 414
 414
 414
 415
- 416
 417
 4. <u>Case 173-012 & 014 (05-28-20):</u> Turbo Realty, LLC by Patricia M. Panciocco, 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 420
 420
 43 were granted by the ZBA on June 7, 2018 for three (3) variances at 15 & 421
 417 Tolles St. [Map 173, Lot 012-000 and Lot 173-014-000; Zoned Town 422
 423
 426
 427
 428
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 421
 421
 421
 422
 423
 423

425 Mr. Daddario read both Cases into the record. Mr. Buttrick stated that the request for 426 15 Tolles Street is to extend the time period for filing a Site Plan Review with the 427 Planning Board for another year and for the addresses of 4 & 14 Tolles Street their 428 intent is to merge with 15 Tolles and seek a variance for the application of the same 429 uses and referenced his Staff Reports signed 5/20/2020.

430

424

431 Atty. Patricia Panciocco was connected remotely (visual and audio) and introduced 432 herself as representing Turbo Realty and introduced Brent Cole & Jeff Merritt of 433 Granite State Engineering who were also connected remotely (visual & audio in the 434 same office). Atty. Panciocco stated that they were last before the Board in 2018 and 435 noted that there are two new Members on the Board and offered a brief overview of the 436 properties long history, all the related parcels together comprising of eight plus (8+) 437 acres of land in the TR Zone but it is industrial. The lots in the vicinity were created 438 in 1927 and were camp lots, not ever really developed as intended. By the 1950's it

became an industrial dump site, a junkyard, and cited some of the businesses at thesite at that time.

441

442 Brent Cole of Granite State Engineering provided a pictorial review of the site 443 beginning in 1965 with an aerial view of the properties that showed Tolles Road built, 444 two (2) buildings of apparent industrial uses and a big field to the north (no 445 residences) and nothing to the south (no commercial development). An aerial view 446 from 1992 shows industry occurring and a third building, which was built in 1972, 447 still no development to the north and a one (1) commercial neighbor to the south. The 448 next picture is from 2003 and shows a residential development to the north and the 449 most intense use of the site with box trailers scattered and storage everywhere. 450 Several pictures were displayed covering the years 2005 to 2014 that showed 451 increasing industrial use and various collection areas of tires, metal scraps, old cars 452 and storage bins. The 2015 pictures were taken from the ground before Turbo Realty 453 LLC bought the property – mostly scattered junk – old lawn mowers, excavators, cars, trucks etc. A 2016 aerial view showed more organization and a cleanup of the site's 454 455 periphery.

456

457 Atty. Panciocco stated that the pictorial history demonstrates how the area evolved, 458 how the industrial uses of the lots pre-existed the Zoning Ordinance, when the 459 residential area emerged to the north, noting that the Zone was changed around 1996, 460 noting that the site was polluted due to prior uses and how the site became non-461 conforming. There was confusion with the non-conforming uses and the Town kept 462 insisting that her client (Turbo Realty) had to file for Change-of-Use. They met with Town Officials and came to the ZBA and worked through the existing uses and 463 464 identified what uses could be on the site on June 7, 2018 with the intent of applying 465 for a Site Plan in two (2) years.

466 Atty. Panciocco stated that there is a dangling particle from the Variances granted in 467 468 2018 and referred to the section of the Site Plan identified as Exhibit A in the 469 application packet and noted that only the pink area of Units A-E from Lot 22 were 470 included in the variances but not their corresponding appurtenant for outdoor storage 471 display and parking across Tolles Street on Lot 14, identified in green. That should be 472 rectified. The uses approved for the pink (Lot 22) should also be for the green (Lot 14). 473 Atty. Panciocco stated that part of the second variance is to extend those same uses to 474 Lot 12. 475

476 Atty. Panciocco stated that their first request before the Board has to do with 477 Condition #6 of the 6/7/2018 approval to file a Site Plan Review with the Planning 478 Board within two (2) years. The process was begun. They have a boundary survey 479 done of the property. However, there was an interruption. In the spring of 2019 his 480 building suffered a quarter of a million dollars (\$¼M) of property damage by a tenant 481 that took almost a year to solve and re-rent and that placed a substantial financial impact onto the Property Owner. It was resolved in January 2020 and then her client 482 483 met with the engineers from Granite State and during discussions explored the 484 concept of tackling the entire site and submitting a comprehensive site plan 485 application to the Planning Board. However, they now might miss the two-year mark 486 to submit a Site Plan to the Planning Board. The plans cost money. Considering the 487 current times, the Covid-19 pandemic, the financial stresses, the desire to address the

488 entire operation, they are asking for a year's extension to submit a comprehensive Site489 Plan Review application with the Planning Board.

490

Atty. Panciocco stated that she would also like to address Condition #3 of the 6/7/2018 approval regarding the hours of business operations, to avoid future confusion. Generally, the hours of operation are set by the Planning Board, but without a Site Plan, the ZBA deemed it appropriate to set the hours. Atty. Panciocco stated that the hours are fine, but if the Planning Board changes them, she would like not to have to come back to the ZBA for a modification to that Condition.

497

498 Atty. Panciocco asked if she should have Granite Engineering address the inclusion of 499 4 & 14 Tolles Street at this point or wait until a decision is reached on the extension of 500 Site Plan submittal to the Planning Board and the hours of operation to include a 501 clarification statement, such as "or as modified by the Planning Board during Site Plan 502 Review."

503

504 Mr. Brackett summed what is before the Board: address/clarify hours of operation; 505 extension of Site Plan Review application to the Planning Board until Spring 2021; and 506 the inclusion of 4 & 14 Tolles Street. Mr. Brackett asked Mr. Buttrick to check the 507 phones. 508

509 Mr. Buttrick stated that there are people on hold waiting to address the Board, but 510 before unmuting them, addressed information that would have been included in a 511 supplemental package, but due to Covid-19 procedure ended up emailing them to the Members late afternoon today. The first item has to do with #4 Tolles Street and a 512 current code enforcement case that was brought in May about and inappropriate 513 expansion of Use and when he inspected there was a pile of logs that constitutes a 514 515 Change of Use from what was approved in June 2018. The second item is that the 516 Town Planner submitted his comments late in reference to #4 & 14 Tolles Street. The 517 third item is an email received from an abutter, Meredith Radcliff.

518

520 521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528 529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

519 Public testimony opened at 10:34 PM.

- (1) Town Planner comments read into the record by Mr. Buttrick. In summary, comments made on violations, lack of site plan and communication and discouraged inclusion of two more lots.
- (2) email received from abutter Meredith Rackliff, 10 Campbello Street, expressed concerns, specifically hours of operation, and cited several examples that included: last night they were awakened at 9:45 PM with a truck idling out by Unit E; Saturday, 5/23, they were awoken at 7:00 AM with the start of a commercial vehicle only to have the vehicle idle for thirty minutes while the boom was in operation sixty feet (60') in the air. There have been other Saturdays with start times before 7:00 AM and on Mother's Day, 5/10, a logging vehicle started, idled and then left. Their privacy is being violated. They have an eight-foot (8') fence and the boom lies sixty feet (60') in the air above them and when being serviced, they look down on them. The noise operation in Unit E has been better than in the past but it is not fair to be woken up. Exhaust fumes from commercial vehicles so close to residential homes is still a problem

(3) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that he lives between 15 Tolles
Street and the logging company, there is incredible noise at all hours of the
night, the boom sits forty feet (40') in the air invading his privacy, the cars
that are repaired rip up the road causing more noise and concern for his
three (3) children, will admit that Turbo has done a lot to clean up the site
but there are still twenty to thirty (20-30) cars that are just sitting there at
the property line for months now and all he sees every day is a junkyard.
(4) Caller #9, Ed McNulty, 8 Campbello Street stated that he lives directly
behind the building at 15 Tolles Street by the fence and asked what are
people talking about as he hears nothing and added he has one neighbor
that complains about every little thing and noted that Tom has done a good
job cleaning up the site.
5 51
Being no one else waiting to address the Board, public testimony closed at 10:41 PM.
being no one cise waiting to address the board, public testiniony closed at 10.411 M.
Atty. Panciocco was offered a chance to address the comments just heard. Atty.
Panciocco's rebuttals included:
• The 4:30 PM arrival of the Town Planner's comment was unfortunate as is his
unawareness of the progressive movement so perhaps there is need for more
conversation but she take issue with his comment "refused to comply"
• Her client is opposed to a piecemeal Site Plan application and desires to
address it in its entirety
5
• There were a lot of complaints mentioned, but the majority appear to be from
one person, perhaps the same person Caller #9 attested to as being chronic
 There was a misunderstanding but it was resolved in December 2019
• Caller #8's complaint, from 6 Tolles Street, talked about cars, yes there are
cars, they have been repaired but not yet paid for, so they remain until
payment for services has been made
• The buckets have to be left up in the air when the machine is not in use, it is a
safety requirement
safety requirement
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for
safety requirementChainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the splitter was for a stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the splitter was for a stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the splitter was for a stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the splitter was for a stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the splitter was for a stated that when he received the notification of the meeting.
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2)
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2)
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth-with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2) lots. (2) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that the logging/chainsaw was
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2) lots. (2) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that the logging/chainsaw was operating on Mother's Day, a Sunday, and the explanation on the number of
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth-with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2) lots. (2) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that the logging/chainsaw was operating on Mother's Day, a Sunday, and the explanation on the number of cars makes no sense as Tom does custom work on cars and the cars just
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2) lots. (2) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that the logging/chainsaw was operating on Mother's Day, a Sunday, and the explanation on the number of
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth-with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2) lots. (2) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that the logging/chainsaw was operating on Mother's Day, a Sunday, and the explanation on the number of cars makes no sense as Tom does custom work on cars and the cars just sitting there are not worth even two thousand dollars (\$2,000).
 safety requirement Chainsaw use is exempt from Zoning Ordinance Noise Stored logs? They are wood finished and the tractor with the splitter was for personal use, not a business Mr. Brackett opened the second round of public testimony at 10:53 PM (1) Caller #10, Richard Suter, 12 Campbello Street stated that when he received the notification of the meeting, he went next door and chatted woth-with the owner. He is okay with how his business is operated, two of his lots have already been granted use and he is okay with including the other two (2) lots. (2) Caller #8, Nick Deluca, 6 Tolles Street, stated that the logging/chainsaw was operating on Mother's Day, a Sunday, and the explanation on the number of cars makes no sense as Tom does custom work on cars and the cars just

585 Mr. Brackett stated that given the hour and the Board's Bylaws, polled the Board 586 whether to continue hearing the Cases to the next meeting. Each Member stated that 587 a continuance was in order.

588

589 Mr. Pacocha noted that the current Town Planner, Brian Goth, was not the Town 590 Planner when this first came before the Board and perhaps is not privileged with all 591 that went into getting this site to the condition it has reached today. Mr. Dearborn 592 stated that the two (2) new Members need to view the site and Mr. Buttrick asked if a 593 Site Walk should be scheduled. Mr. Brackett said no to a Site Walk as they are not re-594 opening the Case, that it is before the Board for an extension for submitting a Site 595 Plan Review application and clarification on the hours of operation and the inclusion 596 of the other two (2) lots, but encouraged the new Members to drive by before the next 597 meeting.

598

599 Mr. Brackett asked if Town Counsel should be contacted. Mr. Buttrick stated that he 600 did contact Town Counsel regarding the extension for the Variances for Site Plan 601 Review application and received advice that, per ZBA Bylaws, the ZBA has the 602 authority to grant an extension. Mr. Brackett asked to have Town Counsel present for 603 an Executive Session before the next meeting and for Mr. Buttrick to provide Town 604 Counsel with the Minutes from this meeting.

605

606 Atty. Panciocco thanked the Board for their consideration and looks forward to the 607 June meeting.

608

Motion made by Mr. Daddario and seconded by Mr. Pacocha to continue the public hearing on the two (2) Cases to the 6/25/2020 meeting. Roll call vote was 5:0.

611

Motion made by Mr. Etienne and seconded by Mr. Dearborn to adjourn the meeting.
Roll call vote was unanimous. The 5/28/2020 ZBA meeting adjourned at 11:10 PM.

- 614
- 615 Respectfully submitted,
- 616 Louise Knee, Recorder
- 617
- 618 619